

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil Action No.
v.	)	3-98-113
	)	
	)	<b>Judge Walter Rice</b>
OPERATION RESCUE NATIONAL, et al.	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

ORDER

I. INTRODUCTION

A. This civil action was instituted by the United States of America, Plaintiff, on March 23, 1998, pursuant to the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act of 1994, 18 U.S.C. § 248 (the "Access Act"). The United States sought injunctive relief and civil penalties, as well as statutory damages for persons aggrieved under 18 U.S.C. § 248(C)(2)(B). The United States brought this action because it had reasonable cause to believe that the Women's Medical Center of Cincinnati, the Women's Medical Center of Kettering and Dayton Women's Services had been injured by conduct constituting a violation of the Access Act.

B. In this action, the United States alleges that Defendant Mehaffie engaged in conduct prohibited by the Access Act at the Women's Medical Center of Cincinnati, located at 3219 Jefferson Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio; the Women's Medical Center of Kettering, located at 1401 East Stroop Street, Kettering, Ohio;

I. This Order resolves all of the United States' underlying claims in this action, including claims for injunctive relief, statutory damages and civil penalties in this action.

J. This Order shall be effective in the judicial district of the Southern District of Ohio.

## II. DEFINITIONS

As used in this Order, the following definitions shall apply:

A. "Interfere with" means to restrict a person's freedom of movement, in accordance with the definition set forth in the Access Act, 18 U.S.C. § 248(e).

B. "Physical obstruction" means to render impassible or unreasonably difficult or hazardous ingress or egress, in accordance with § 248(e).

C. "Intent" means intending to perform the act and aware of its natural and probable consequences.

D. "Attempt" means that the Defendant 1) intended to engage in the prohibited conduct, and 2) did one or more overt acts that was a substantial step toward engaging in the substantive prohibited conduct. The defendant's conduct must go beyond mere preparation, and must provide strong, objective corroboration of the defendant's intent to engage in the prohibited conduct. A substantial step beyond mere preparation is enough.

E. "Reproductive health services" means reproductive health services provided in a hospital, clinic, physician's office, or

other facility, and includes medical, surgical, counseling, or referral services relating to the human reproductive system, including services relating to pregnancy or the termination of pregnancy, in accordance with the definition set forth in the Access Act, 18 U.S.C. § 248(e).

F. "Clinic" means any facility that provides reproductive health services, in accordance with the definition set forth in the Access Act, 18 U.S.C. § 248(e).

G. "Defendant" includes Defendant Mehaffie and any person or entity acting as the agent, principal or employee of the Defendant.

### III. OBLIGATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Defendant shall not, by physical obstruction, intentionally interfere, or attempt to interfere, with any person because that person is or has been obtaining or providing, or is seeking to obtain or provide, reproductive health services. Examples of acts of physical obstruction include but are not limited to sitting, standing, lying or kneeling in such a way as to interfere with access to and/or egress from the clinics, or by using any physical object that interferes with access to and/or egress from the clinics;

B. The areas in which the prohibited conduct as defined in Paragraph A, above, may not occur include but are not limited to any clinic building, property, steps, stairways or parking lot and any exterior passageways leading to the clinic; and

C. Defendant shall not direct, aid or abet in any manner, or act in concert with, others to take any of the actions described in paragraphs A and B, above.

IV. ENFORCEMENT

A. The Court may order such relief as necessary to ensure full compliance with this Order.

B. The United States Marshal Service is empowered to enforce this Order. The Marshal's office shall:

1. In circumstances that show good cause to believe that this Order is being violated, communicate any and all terms of the Order to persons at or near clinic property by verbal means;
2. Immediately report to the United States' Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, who will then immediately report to the Court, remaining plaintiff's counsel, counsel for the clinics and defendant's counsel, events and circumstances that show good cause to believe that there have been violations of the terms of this Order.
3. Keep such logs and records as necessary to record the events and circumstances that show good cause to believe that there have been violations of the terms of this Order. Such logs and records must be provided to counsel for the parties concurrent

with any report to the Court in accordance with paragraph 2, above.

C. This Order may not be modified unless the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals or the United States Supreme Court subsequently finds the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act, 18 U.S.C. § 248, to be unconstitutional on its face.

---

WALTER HERBERT RICE, CHIEF JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ADDENDUM

The clinics at issue in this case - the Women's Medical Center of Cincinnati, the Women's Medical Center of Kettering, and the Dayton Women's Center - having been notified of this Order, hereby state that they will not bring any action against Defendant based on the conduct at issue in this case, which occurred at the clinics during the week of July 13, 1997.

---

Alphonse Gerhardstein  
Counsel for the Women's Medical  
Center of Cincinnati, the  
Women's Medical Center of  
Kettering, and the Dayton  
Women's Center  
Laufman & Gerhardstein  
1409 Enquirer Building  
617 Vine Street  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202