

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 9 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

CRAIG PINKNEY,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 23-10005

D.C. No.

1:20-cr-00044-LEK-2

District of Hawaii,

Honolulu

ORDER

Before: SCHROEDER and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

Appellant’s motion for bail pending appeal (Docket Entry No. 12) is denied without prejudice to renewal, if necessary, following presentation of the motion to the district court. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 9(b); 9th Cir. R. 9-1.2(a). Any future motion must comply with Fed. R. App. P. 9 and 27, as well as 9th Cir. R. 9-1.2. In particular, appellant must, within his motion, “state with particularity the grounds for the motion . . . and the legal argument necessary to support it.” Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(2)(A); *see also* Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(2)(B)(ii); *United States v. Montoya*, 908 F.2d 450 (9th Cir. 1990) (order) (denying bail motion because appellant’s summary description of the issues he intended to raise on appeal did not allow the court to determine whether his appeal would raise a substantial question).

The existing briefing schedule remains in effect.