1 BRIAN M. BOYNTON Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General 3 ARUN G. RAO Deputy Assistant Attorney General 5 AMANDA N. LISKAMM Director HILARY K. PERKINS **Assistant Director** NOAH T. KATZEN 10 Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch 11 U.S. Department of Justice  $_{12}$  P.O. Box 386 Washington, DC 20044-0386 13|| (202) 305-2428  $_{14}$  (202) 514-8742 (fax) Noah.T.Katzen@usdoj.gov 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 17 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al., No. 1:23-cy-03026 18 19 Plaintiffs, DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR **CLARIFICATION** 20 v. 21 05/10/23 WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT U.S. FOOD AND DRUG 22 ADMINISTRATION, et al., 23 Defendants. 24 25 26

27

13 fo

Plaintiffs challenge FDA's January 3, 2023, approval of modifications to the Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) for mifepristone. On April 7, 2023, this Court declined to preliminarily enjoin FDA from enforcing or applying any requirement of mifepristone's REMS. Order at 26-27. But the Court preliminarily enjoined the agency from "altering the status quo and rights as it relates to the availability of Mifepristone under the current operative January 2023 Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy [(REMS)] under 21 U.S.C. § 355-1 in Plaintiff States." Order at 30.

Shortly before this Court entered its order, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas entered an order invoking 5 U.S.C. § 705 to stay the approval of the new drug application and abbreviated new drug application for mifepristone. *See Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine v. FDA*, 2:22-cv-00223-Z, Dkt. 137 (Apr. 7, 2023). That court stayed its order for seven days to give FDA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This motion uses the term "mifepristone" to refer to drug products that are approved for medical termination of early pregnancy, in both brand name and generic form. FDA has separately approved another manufacturer's drug, Korlym, which has mifepristone as its active ingredient and is approved for the treatment of Cushing's syndrome. This litigation, including the Court's order, does not affect Korlym or its generic.

time to seek relief from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, and FDA is seeking an emergency stay pending appeal. But if the Texas district court's order takes effect, the order would—of its own force and without any further action by FDA—stay the effectiveness of FDA's prior approvals of mifepristone nationwide. *See id*.

The result of that order appears to be in significant tension with this Court's order prohibiting FDA from "altering the status quo and rights as it relates to the availability of Mifepristone" in Plaintiff States. Order at 30. The Court did not address the interaction between the two orders, presumably because they were issued less than 20 minutes apart. To ensure that Defendants comply with all court orders in these unusual circumstances, Defendants respectfully request that this Court clarify their obligations under its preliminary injunction in the event that the *Alliance* order takes effect and stays the approval of mifepristone.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION

April 10, 2023

HILARY K. PERKINS Assistant Director

/s/ Noah T. Katzen
NOAH T. KATZEN
Trial Attorney
Consumer Protection Branch
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 386
Washington, DC 20044-0386
(202) 305-2428
(202) 514-8742 (fax)
Noah.T.Katzen@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Defendants

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I hereby certify that, on April 10, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Noah T. Katzen

NOAH T. KATZEN

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION