

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

December 22, 2023

ARTIT WANGPERAWONG,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
	)	OCAHO Case No. 2024B00007
	)	
META PLATFORMS, INC.,	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Appearances: Artit Wangperawong, pro se Complainant  
Eliza A. Kaiser, Esq., Matthew S. Dunn, Esq., and Amelia B. Munger, Esq., for  
Respondent

ORDER REJECTING COMPLAINANT’S DISCOVERY REQUEST

This matter arises under the antidiscrimination provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended by the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 (IRCA), 8 U.S.C. § 1324b.

On October 3, 2023, Complainant, Artit Wangperawong, filed a complaint with the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (OCAHO) against Respondent, Meta Platforms, Inc.

On December 15, 2023, Respondent filed an answer.

On December 20, 2023, Complainant filed with the Court a Discovery Request for Respondent. He filed this alongside his response to an unrelated motion. The Court will consider his response to the unrelated motion, but will reject his Discovery Request filing.

“Unless required to do so by the Court, ‘[t]he parties shall not file requests for discovery, answers, or responses thereto with the Administrative Law Judge.’” *United States v. Klein’s Kosher Pickle*

*Co.*, 18 OCAHO no. 1500, 1 (2023)<sup>1</sup> (quoting 28 C.F.R. § 68.6(b)).<sup>2</sup> Complainant’s submission (his discovery request) will be rejected because it contains no motion (i.e., a request that the Court take or refrain from taking an action in the case), and it was not done at the direction of the Court.

If Complainant has a discovery-related issue or request, he should carefully review the governing regulations at 28 C.F.R. §§ 68.18–68.23,<sup>3</sup> and then file any appropriate motions. Acknowledging this Complainant is pro se, the Court would also direct his attention to the OCAHO Topical Index, which organizes published decisions by topic (including discovery). The full text of decisions identified in the Topical Index are separately organized chronologically. The Topical Index and the full text of the decisions are both available on OCAHO’s public website.<sup>4</sup>

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on December 22, 2023.

---

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton  
Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Citations to OCAHO precedents reprinted in bound Volumes 1 through 8 reflect the volume number and the case number of the particular decision, followed by the specific page in that volume where the decision begins; the pinpoint citations which follow are thus to the pages, seriatim, of the specific entire volume. Pinpoint citations to OCAHO precedents subsequent to Volume 8, where the decision has not yet been reprinted in a bound volume, are to pages within the original issuances; the beginning page number of an unbound case will always be 1, and is accordingly omitted from the citation. Published decisions may be accessed in the Westlaw database “FIMOCAHO,” or in the LexisNexis database “OCAHO,” or on the website at <http://www.justice.gov/eoir/OcahoMain/ocahosibpage.htm#PubDecOrders>.

<sup>2</sup> OCAHO Rules of Practice and Procedure, 28 C.F.R. pt. 68 (2022).

<sup>3</sup> The regulations can be found on OCAHO’s website at the following link: <https://www.justice.gov/eoir/office-of-the-chief-administrative-hearing-officer-regulations>.

<sup>4</sup> Available at <https://www.justice.gov/eoir/office-of-the-chief-administrative-hearing-officer-decisions>.