## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case: 2:23-cr-20700 Judge: Berg, Terrence G. MJ: Altman, Kimberly G. Filed: 12-27-2023
V.	) ) INFORMATION
ASPHALT SPECIALISTS LLC	) Count I: 15 U.S.C. § 1 ) Count II: 15 U.S.C. § 1
Defendant.	)

# **INFORMATION**

# COUNT ONE CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE (15 U.S.C. § 1)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH ITS ATTORNEYS, CHARGES:

## **DEFENDANT AND CO-CONSPIRATORS**

1. ASPHALT SPECIALISTS LLC ("Defendant") is hereby made defendant on the charge contained in this Count.

During the period covered by this Count, Defendant was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Michigan with its principal place of business in Pontiac,
 Michigan. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant was engaged in the provision of asphalt paving services in the State of Michigan.

3. Another corporation and other individuals not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of the conspiracy.

4. Whenever in this Count reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any

#### Case 2:23-cr-20700-TGB-KGA ECF No. 1, PageID.2 Filed 12/27/23 Page 2 of 9

corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE OFFENSE**

5. During the period covered by this Count, Company A was a corporation with its principal place of business in the Eastern District of Michigan and engaged in the provision of asphalt paving services within the State of Michigan.

6. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and Company A provided a range of asphalt paving services to customers in the State of Michigan, including to customers in the Eastern District of Michigan. These asphalt paving services included, but were not limited to, asphalt paving projects such as large driveways, parking lots, and private roadways.

7. During the period covered by this Count, potential customers solicited bids from providers of asphalt paving services. Potential customers typically required bids from at least two or more providers of asphalt paving services and awarded contracts for asphalt paving services after first reviewing and evaluating the bids submitted by asphalt paving services providers.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE**

8. Beginning at least as early as March 2013 and continuing until at least as late as November 2018, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Defendant entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy with Company A and other co-conspirators to suppress and eliminate competition by agreeing to rig bids for contracts to provide asphalt paving services in the State of Michigan. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by Defendant and its co-conspirators was a *per se* unlawful, and thus

#### Case 2:23-cr-20700-TGB-KGA ECF No. 1, PageID.3 Filed 12/27/23 Page 3 of 9

unreasonable, restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

9. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among Defendant and its co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to rig bids for contracts to provide asphalt paving services in the State of Michigan.

#### **MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY**

10. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, Defendant and its co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. engaging in conversations and communications to discuss which asphalt paving services contracts each co-conspirator company wanted to win;

b. agreeing, during those conversations and communications, to rig bids for asphalt paving services contracts;

c. soliciting intentionally non-competitive bids from each other so that an agreed-upon co-conspirator would win a particular contract to provide asphalt paving services;

d. exchanging pricing-related information to enable co-conspirators to submit non-competitive bids for asphalt paving services contracts to potential customers so that an agreed-upon co-conspirator would win the contract;

e. submitting, and causing to be submitted, non-competitive bids for asphalt paving services contracts containing higher prices than those prices submitted by the agreed-upon winning co-conspirator, in accordance with the agreement; and

f. providing asphalt paving services and accepting payment in accordance with contracts that were obtained through a collusive and non-competitive process.

## TRADE AND COMMERCE

11. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators provided asphalt paving services in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate trade and commerce. In addition, records and documents necessary for the sale and provision of such services, as well as payments for those services, traveled in interstate trade and commerce.

12. During the period covered by this Count, the business activities of Defendant and its co-conspirators in connection with the provision of asphalt paving services that are the subject of this Count were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce.

### VENUE

13. During the period covered by this Count, acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were carried out within the Eastern District of Michigan. Asphalt paving services that were the subject of this Count were provided by one or more of the co-conspirators to customers in the Eastern District of Michigan.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

Case 2:23-cr-20700-TGB-KGA ECF No. 1, PageID.5 Filed 12/27/23 Page 5 of 9

# COUNT TWO CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE (15 U.S.C. § 1)

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH ITS ATTORNEYS, CHARGES:

## **DEFENDANT AND CO-CONSPIRATORS**

14. Each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs One through Four of this Information is realleged and reasserted here as if fully set forth in this Count.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE OFFENSE**

15. Each and every allegation contained in Paragraph Seven of this Information is realleged and reasserted here as if fully set forth in this Count.

16. During the period covered by this Count, F. ALLIED CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. ("ALLIED") was a corporation with its principal place of business in Clarkston, Michigan, and engaged in the provision of asphalt paving services within the State of Michigan.

17. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and ALLIED provided a range of asphalt paving services to customers in the State of Michigan, including to customers in the Eastern District of Michigan. These asphalt paving services included, but were not limited to, asphalt paving projects such as large driveways, parking lots, and private roadways.

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE**

18. Beginning at least as early as July 2017 and continuing until at least as late as May 2021, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Defendant entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy with ALLIED and other co-conspirators to suppress and eliminate competition by agreeing to rig bids for contracts to provide asphalt paving services in the State of Michigan. The combination and

#### Case 2:23-cr-20700-TGB-KGA ECF No. 1, PageID.6 Filed 12/27/23 Page 6 of 9

conspiracy engaged in by Defendant and its co-conspirators was a *per se* unlawful, and thus unreasonable, restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

19. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among Defendant and its co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to rig bids for contracts to provide asphalt paving services in the State of Michigan.

#### MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

20. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, Defendant and its co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. engaging in conversations and communications to discuss which asphalt paving services contracts each co-conspirator company wanted to win;

b. agreeing, during these conversations and communications to rig bids for asphalt paving services contracts;

c. soliciting intentionally non-competitive bids from each other so that an agreed-upon co-conspirator company would win a particular contract to provide asphalt paving services;

d. exchanging pricing-related information to enable co-conspirators to submit non-competitive bids for asphalt paving services contracts to potential customers so that an agreed-upon co-conspirator would win the contract;

e. submitting, and causing to be submitted, non-competitive bids for asphalt paving services contracts containing higher prices than those prices submitted by the

agreed-upon winning co-conspirator, in accordance with the agreement; and

f. providing asphalt paving services and accepting payment in accordance with contracts that were obtained through a collusive and non-competitive process.

## TRADE AND COMMERCE

21. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators provided asphalt paving services in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate trade and commerce. In addition, records and documents necessary for the sale and provision of such services, as well as payments for those services, traveled in interstate trade and commerce.

22. During the period covered by this Count, the business activities of Defendant and its co-conspirators in connection with the provision of asphalt paving services that are the subject of this Count were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce.

#### **VENUE**

23. During the period covered by this Count, acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were carried out within the Eastern District of Michigan. Asphalt paving services that were the subject of this Count were provided by one or more of the co-conspirators to customers in the Eastern District of Michigan.

#### ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

# Case 2:23-cr-20700-TGB-KGA ECF No. 1, PageID.8 Filed 12/27/23 Page 8 of 9

Date: December 27, 2023

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ANTITRUST DIVISION, BY

/s/ Jonathan S. Kanter JONATHAN S. KANTER Assistant Attorney General /s/ Kalina M. Tulley

KALINA M. TULLEY Chief, Chicago Office

/s/ Michael N. Loterstein

MICHAEL N. LOTERSTEIN, IL Bar No. 6297060 Assistant Chief

RUBEN MARTINEZ JR., TX Bar No. 24052278 MELANIE G. WEGNER, IL Bar No. 6324826 ALLISON M. GORSUCH, IL Bar No. 6329734 AMBRIS S. SARAVANAN, IL Bar No. 6342503 Trial Attorneys

Chicago Office 209 South LaSalle Street, Suite 600 Chicago, IL 60604 Tel: (312) 984-7200

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cover Sheet		Case Number	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attorney signing this form to complete it accurately in all respects.				
<b>Companion Case Information</b>	n	Companion Case Number: 23-20381, 23-20538, 2		
This may be a companion case based upo	n LCrR 57.10 b 4 <sup>1</sup> :	<sup>1</sup> : Judge Assigned: Gershwin A. Drain		
Yes No		AUSA's Initials: MNL		
Case Title: USA v. Asphalt S	Specialists LLC			
County where offense occurred : Oakland County				
Check One: KFelony	⊠Felony □Misdemeanor □Petty			
Indictment/Information no prior complaint.        Indictment/Information based upon prior complaint [Case number:]        Indictment/Information based upon LCrR 57.10 (d) [Complete Superseding section below].         Superseding Case Information				
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:		
<ul> <li>Corrects errors; no additional charges or defendants.</li> <li>Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or adds counts.</li> <li>Embraces same subject matter but adds the additional defendants or charges below:</li> </ul>				
Defendant name	Cha	rges	Prior Complaint (if applicable)	
Please take notice that the below listed Assistant United States Attorney is the attorney of record for the above captioned case.				
December 27, 2023	s/ Michae	ichael N. Loterstein		
Date	Trial Attorn United Stat	tates Department of Justice, Antitrust Division h LaSalle Street, Suite 600		

<sup>1</sup> Companion cases are matters in which it appears that 1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or 2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.

312) 754-3931

Michael.Loterstein@usdoj.gov