Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 19. PageID #: 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO.:
Plaintiff,))
v.))
CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY,) <u>COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND</u>
INC., RED ROSE RESCUE, LAURA GIES,)
LAUREN HANDY, CLARA MCDONALD)
(AKA "STEPHANIE BERRY"), MONICA)
MILLER, CHRISTOPHER MOSCINSKI,)
JAY SMITH (AKA "JUANITO)
PICHARDO"), and AUDREY WHIPPLE,)

Defendants.

The United States of America, by the undersigned counsel, asserts a civil cause of action

seeking damages and injunctive relief under the Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act

(FACE Act), 18 U.S.C. § 248.

1. In bringing this action, the United States alleges that: (1) Defendants Citizens for a Pro-Life Society, Inc., Red Rose Rescue, Laura Gies, Lauren Handy, Clara McDonald, Monica Miller, Christopher Moscinski, Jay Smith, and Audrey Whipple, have committed, and are likely to continue to commit, violations of the FACE Act; and (2) various persons who provide or obtain reproductive health services are being, have been, and will continue to be injured, intimidated, and/or interfered with by the Defendants' conduct.

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the FACE Act, 18 U.S.C.
 § 248 and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 19. PageID #: 2

The United States is authorized to bring this action pursuant to the FACE Act,
 18 U.S.C. § 248(c)(2).

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district, under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this complaint occurred in this judicial district.

II. <u>PARTIES</u>

5. Plaintiff is the United States of America.

6. Defendant Citizens for a Pro-Life Society, Inc. (CPLS) is a Michigan nonprofit corporation with its registered office at 67919 W 8 Mile Road, South Lyon, Michigan.

7. CPLS was organized to "form a public charity . . . that provides support to Pro-Life causes by raising awareness of critical and emerging human life issues through newsletters, website blogs and other public activities."

8. Defendant Red Rose Rescue (RRR) is an anti-abortion group that organizes events across the country in which participants trespass on the property of reproductive health services facilities and refuse to leave voluntarily in order to cause the facilities to stop operating. RRR has been active since at least 2017.

9. Defendant Monica Miller resides in South Lyon, Michigan. Miller incorporated CPLS and has been its president since its formation in 2009. Miller operates the RRR website, using it to solicit tax-deductible donations through CPLS. Despite numerous arrests and convictions arising from RRR events, Miller has publicly stated that RRR events "will continue" as long as abortion is legal in any state.¹

¹ Jury returns verdicts for 6 abortion protesters--Red Rose Rescue group charged with trespassing, other misdemeanors, The Oakland Press,

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 3 of 19. PageID #: 3

10. Defendant Laura Gies resides in Spring City, Pennsylvania.

11. Defendant Lauren Handy resides in Alexandria, Virginia and is currently incarcerated at the William G. Truesdale Adult Detention Center in Alexandria, Virginia.

12. Defendant Clara McDonald, also known as Stephanie Berry, resides in Brooklyn, New York.

13. Defendant Christopher Moscinski resides in Bronx, New York and is currently incarcerated at the Central Detention Facility in Washington, D.C.

14. Defendant Jay Smith, also known as Juanito Pichardo, resides in Freeport, New York and is currently incarcerated at the Metropolitan Detention Center Brooklyn in Brooklyn, New York.

15. Defendant Audrey Whipple resides in Canton, Michigan.

III. GENERAL FACTUAL BACKGROUND

16. Before the FACE Act was enacted in 1994, anti-abortion protestors used a variety of tactics to block entry to reproductive health services facilities in order to prevent providers and patients from providing or obtaining abortions.

17. In response, the FACE Act was enacted with bipartisan support "in the wake of continuing violence against, and other forcible interference with, abortion clinics, their staffs, and their clientele by radical elements of the anti-abortion movement." <u>United States v. Soderna</u>, 82 F.3d 1370, 1372 (7th Cir. 1996).

18. The FACE Act prohibits a person from, among other things:

by force or threat of force or by physical obstruction, intentionally injur[ing], intimidat[ing] or interfer[ing] with or attempt[ing] to

https://www.theoaklandpress.com/2023/02/24/jury-returns-verdicts-for-6-abortion-protesters/ (Feb. 24, 2023).

injure, intimidate or interfere with any person because that person is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons from, obtaining or providing reproductive health services²...

18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1).

A. <u>RED ROSE RESCUE AND ITS TACTICS</u>

19. In or around 2015, CPLS and Miller actively participated in forming the Red Rose Rescue (RRR) group and devising methods used in RRR events to obstruct the provision of "reproductive health services."³

20. RRR events are part of a broad effort by Defendants to disrupt the provision of reproductive health services across numerous states.

21. In general, RRR events are a coordinated effort by anti-abortion activists to temporarily shut down reproductive health services facilities in order to impede persons from obtaining or providing reproductive health services.

22. At RRR events, two to six RRR participants physically enter a reproductive health services facility and attempt to prevent abortions from taking place by, among other things, causing a disturbance in the facility that impedes the facility's ability to provide, or patients' ability to obtain, reproductive health services.

23. Generally, RRR participants (1) enter the facility, (2) occupy space in the facility's waiting room, (3) pass out red roses to those seeking reproductive health services,

² The term "reproductive health services" means "reproductive health services provided in a hospital, clinic, physician's office, or other facility, and includes medical, surgical, counselling or referral services relating to the human reproductive system, including services related to pregnancy or the termination of a pregnancy." FACE Act, 18 U.S.C. § 248(e)(5).

³ Monica Miller, 2020 Red Rose Rescue Conference: Why Do I Rescue? (Dec. 12, 2020), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q40HZ2Bv4F0&list=PL1TmA9lq8zIw0Zr38oB0Lu-C5gagMuTW7&index=7 [https://perma.cc/3JW4-2BME].

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 5 of 19. PageID #: 5

(4) protest the provision of reproductive health services, (5) refuse to leave the waiting room voluntarily, and (6) require police officers to physically remove each RRR participant from the facility.

24. RRR events are purposefully scheduled to take place on dates and times when the organizers believe abortions are scheduled to occur so the event will interfere with the provision, or obtaining, of as many abortions as possible.⁴

25. While two to six RRR participants are inside the facility, a larger group of RRR participants remains outside the facility to act as witnesses and spokespeople, and to film and photograph the event.

26. RRR participants are aware that their conduct—occupying the facility and refusing to leave—is unlawful and anticipate being arrested, jailed, and prosecuted.⁵

27. In fact, RRR participants count on a law enforcement response to their unlawful actions so that the facility will not provide reproductive health services, at a minimum temporarily, while the police are present.⁶

28. As a result, RRR participants try to remain in the waiting room, with police in and surrounding the facility, as long as possible in order to prevent as many reproductive health services as possible.

⁴ Christopher Moscinski, 2020 Red Rose Rescue Conference: Direct Action on Behalf of the PreBorn and Their Mothers (Dec. 12, 2020),

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NP96Ri6QE5o&list=PL1TmA9lq8zIw0Zr38oB0Lu-C5gagMuTW7&index=3&t=78s [https://perma.cc/P675-7L58].

⁵ Monica Miller, 2020 Red Rose Rescue Conference: Principles of Non-Violence (Dec. 12, 2020), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8aDXaP45FQQ&list=PL1TmA9lq8zIw0Zr38oB0Lu-C5gagMuTW7&index=2&t=15s [https://perma.cc/BKU6-EYRA].

⁶ Moscinski, *supra* note 4.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 6 of 19. PageID #: 6

29. For example, Defendant Moscinski stated at the first Red Rose Rescue Conference in 2020, "The longer we can disrupt the normal functioning of the killing place, the better. And if you have five or six police inside, eight or ten patrol vehicles outside setting up the police perimeter, the normal business of killing the children at that place has already stopped or has certainly paused."⁷

30. Similarly, in or around July 2020, Defendant Moscinski described his participation in RRR events and how his trespass into the facilities interferes with people's ability to obtain or provide reproductive health services, "The police engage us in conversation...and we have this conversation. Sometimes it's a short conversation. I've had times with the police...we're in the waiting room sometimes up to two to three hours going back and forth and all the time that we're there, the patients are not coming through. It's a real interruption to the whole killing business there."⁸

31. RRR events are deemed "successful" when they result in a reproductive health services facility closing early or canceling appointments.

32. For example, on or around October 17, 2020, in response to an October 2020 RRR event that Defendant Laura Gies helped plan, and in which Defendant Moscinski participated, Gies proclaimed "Victory!" and "God wins when an abortion clinic cannot operate" after describing how the targeted reproductive health services facility had to cancel appointments and close for the day.⁹

⁷ Id.

⁸ Interview by Gene Zannetti with Christopher Moscinski, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SsXyeR6eXro&t=437s [https://perma.cc/UPN8-R5X8].

⁹ Laura Gies, Facebook Live (Oct. 17, 2020), https://www.facebook.com/laura.a.gies/videos/10224847122864933 [https://perma.cc/FM4J-

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 7 of 19. PageID #: 7

33. In response to the same event, Defendant Miller stated, "We know from experience that as long as there is a pro-life presence inside the abortion centers the killing is halted."

B. <u>CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY AND MONICA MILLER'S</u> INVOLVEMENT WITH RED ROSE RESCUE

34. Defendants CPLS and Miller manage, operate, finance, organize, and support Defendant RRR and RRR events.

35. Defendant RRR's website, redroserescue.com, is operated by Defendants Miller and CPLS.

36. Defendant Miller is the contact person for RRR's Facebook page, available at: https://www.facebook.com/red.rose.rescue.

37. The "Donation" link on redroserescue.com redirects to Defendant CPLS' webpage, which then provides information on how to donate directly to CPLS electronically or via mail.

38. Defendant RRR's website, redroserescue.com, directs people interested in participating in RRR events to contact Defendant Miller directly and provides her email address.

39. Defendant RRR also directs people to contact Defendant Miller if they want to otherwise support the RRR association, apart from attending RRR events onsite at reproductive health services facilities, such as by assisting with the website and social media, coordinating trips and events, raising money, providing prison support for RRR participants, and engaging in outreach, recruitment, promotion, and advocacy.¹⁰

T9ZS].

¹⁰ https://www.redroserescue.com/get-involved.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 8 of 19. PageID #: 8

40. There have been approximately 28 RRR events since 2015; Defendants CPLS, RRR, and Miller have managed, operated, financed, or supported at minimum, approximately 21 of them.

C. <u>DEFENDANTS' PREVIOUS RED ROSE RESCUE EVENTS AND OTHER</u> <u>SIMILAR CONDUCT SINCE 2017</u>

41. In addition to the Northeast Ohio Events described in detail below, Defendants Gies, Handy, Miller, Moscinski, Smith, and Whipple have regularly engaged in unlawful and obstructive behavior while participating in RRR events at reproductive health services facilities across the United States since at least 2017, including but not limited to the following:

a. Defendant Gies has been arrested at least four times by police departments in Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia. Charges include obstructing the police, unlawful entry, and trespass. Gies has been twice convicted.

b. Defendant Handy has been arrested at least nine times by various police departments in Virginia, Maryland, Michigan, Ohio, and the District of Columbia on trespass, obstructing the police, and disorderly conduct charges. Handy has also been convicted of a criminal FACE Act violation case in the District of Columbia.

c. Defendant Miller has been arrested at least five times by various police departments in Ohio and Michigan on trespass and obstruction charges. At least one of those arrests occurred after Miller broke the terms of her probation by going within 500 feet of a reproductive health services facility. She has been convicted at least twice.

d. Defendant Moscinski has been arrested at least twelve times by various police departments in Pennsylvania, Maryland, Michigan, New York, New Jersey, Virginia, and the District of Columbia on trespass, obstruction, unlawful entry, resisting arrest, and invasion of

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 9 of 19. PageID #: 9

privacy charges. He has received convictions for many of these arrests. Moscinski was also found guilty of violating the FACE Act in New York.

e. Defendant Smith has been charged with simple assault, unlawful entry, and felony conspiracy against rights. He also pled guilty to a criminal FACE Act violation in the District of Columbia.

f. Across two states, Defendant Whipple was present on at least two occasions where RRR participants who entered a reproductive health services facility were arrested and charged with trespass.

IV. SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS ABOUT THE NORTHEAST OHIO EVENTS

42. Defendants organized, formulated, and participated in two coordinated and related RRR events in Northeast Ohio ("Northeast Ohio Events") that occurred on June 4, 2021 at Northeast Ohio Women's Center (NOWC) in Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio and on June 5, 2021 at Planned Parenthood of Greater Ohio's Bedford Heights Surgery Center (BHSC) in Bedford Heights, Ohio.

43. NOWC and BHSC are each a "facility" that provides reproductive health services as those terms are defined in the FACE Act, 18 U.S.C. § 248(e)(1), (e)(5).

A. JUNE 4, 2021 – NORTHEAST OHIO WOMEN'S CENTER

44. On June 4, 2021, Defendants CPLS, RRR, Laura Gies, Christopher Moscinski, Clara McDonald, and Audrey Whipple's unlawful trespass at the NOWC in Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio resulted in the closure of a portion of NOWC's facility.

45. Several other RRR participants present during the incident include, but are not limited to: Defendants Handy, Miller, and Smith; as well as Matthew Connolly, John Hinshaw, Heather Idoni, Walter Moss, Darleen Moss, Elizabeth Wagi, and at least two unidentified individuals.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 10 of 19. PageID #: 10

46. On June 4, 2021, at approximately 11:26 a.m., Defendants Gies and McDonald gained entrance into NOWC's waiting room via the back entrance by falsely claiming to be seeking reproductive health services.

47. At approximately 11:28 a.m., Defendants Moscinski and Whipple entered NOWC's waiting room through the front entrance.

48. At approximately 11:30 a.m., Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple started handing out roses to the patients in the waiting room while encouraging them to not have abortions.

49. NOWC staff quickly told Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple to leave and evacuated their patients into a secured portion of the facility.

50. While the patients were being taken out of the waiting room, Defendant McDonald forcefully grabbed a patient's body and told her not to go through with the abortion.

51. After the patients had left the waiting room, Defendants Gies, McDonald,Moscinski, and Whipple repeatedly refused to leave.

52. While in the waiting room, Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple occupied nearly the entirety of the waiting room by laying or kneeling directly on the floor.

53. Cuyahoga Falls Police Department officers soon arrived at NOWC and told Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple to leave.

54. Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple refused to leave.

55. While Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple were occupying the waiting room and refusing to leave, Defendant Gies proclaimed:

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 11 of 19. PageID #: 11

a. "All of you staff, your paychecks are from blood money of the innocent children you're ripping to shreds...God has a plan for your life and this is not it. Please, repent! It's not too late to stop doing what you're doing!"

b. "Please stop killing babies. Please stop dismembering children!"

56. Similarly, Defendant Moscinski said to NOWC staff, "In the name of Jesus Christ, I forbid you from committing any abortions for today."

57. While Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple were inside NOWC with the police, Defendant Miller was immediately outside the facility and stated, "The police have arrived and hopefully [the Defendants] have an opportunity to stall as long as possible. It's our experience that as long as there is a pro-life presence inside these abortion centers, the killing is halted. And they are not going to leave."¹¹

58. By approximately 12:00 p.m., Cuyahoga Falls police arrested Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple and physically carried them out of the waiting room, across a parking lot, and into police cars.

59. While being transported in a police car to the police station, Defendant Gies said to the police officer:

a. "You just took us out of [an abortion center], we were trying to help them...stop the holocaust. Now the police in the United States are part of supporting it by taking away people that are trying to stop the holocaust."

¹¹ Interview by Mark Harrington with Monica Miller, in Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio (June 4, 2021), https://www.facebook.com/MarkCreatedEqual/videos/10159175852424920 [https://perma.cc/C8N8-5MY5].

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 12 of 19. PageID #: 12

b. "They are trespassing on the babies now that you let them [NOWC] go on with their day."

60. NOWC also saw a disruption in their appointment schedule on the day of the RRR event.

a. 23 appointments were scheduled to occur, but at least five patients did not show up.

b. Some patients rescheduled their surgical abortions to different days.

c. Patients also called NOWC and said they would not be coming to their appointments because they saw police gathered outside of the facility.

d. At least one patient had her procedure delayed until later in the day as a result of the RRR event.

61. Defendants Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple were found guilty of trespassing by an Ohio state court in August 2021.

62. Through the actions described above, Defendants CPLS, RRR, Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple, by force or threat of force or by physical obstruction: (1) intentionally injured, intimidated, or interfered with, or attempted to injure, intimidate or interfere with, persons because those persons were, or had been, obtaining or providing reproductive health services; or (2) intimidated such persons or any other person or class of persons from obtaining or providing reproductive health services.

63. Defendants CPLS, RRR, Gies, McDonald, Moscinski, and Whipple's unlawful actions at NOWC, resulting in the closure of a portion of NOWC, made ingress to or egress from NOWC impassable and/or rendered passage unreasonably difficult or hazardous.

B. JUNE 5, 2021 – BEDFORD HEIGHTS SURGERY CENTER

64. Defendants continued with a related RRR event the next day.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 13 of 19. PageID #: 13

65. On June 5, 2021, the unlawful entry of Defendants CPLS, RRR, Lauren Handy, Monica Miller, and Jay Smith into and onto BHSC's property caused the closure of BHSC for nearly an entire day.

66. Several other RRR participants present during the incident, who were also present at NOWC, include, but are not limited to: Defendant Laura Gies, as well as Matthew Connolly, John Hinshaw, Walter Moss, Darleen Moss, Elizabeth Wagi, and at least two of the same unidentified individuals present at NOWC.

67. On June 5, 2021, at approximately 8:54 a.m., Defendants Lauren Handy and Monica Miller, in conjunction with approximately five other RRR participants, entered into BHSC's private fenced-in parking lot and approached and attempted to speak to patients waiting in their cars.

68. Defendants Handy and Miller closely followed patients as they exited their vehicles and tried to force the patients to accept brochures and roses.

69. At approximately 8:57 a.m., Defendant Smith entered BHSC's building and then waiting room, which was full of patients, and began passing out brochures to the patients.

70. The patients and staff were visibly upset by Smith's behavior.

71. When a patient asked Smith to leave the facility, Smith used physical force against the patient by pushing him with his shoulder.

72. BHSC employees repeatedly told Defendant Smith to leave the waiting room, but he refused.

73. To protect the patients, BHSC staff evacuated the patients out of the waiting room and into a secured area of the facility.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 14 of 19. PageID #: 14

74. A BHSC employee was eventually able to get Defendant Smith out of the waiting room and into the parking lot.

75. Bedford Heights Police Department officers soon arrived at BHSC.

76. While being questioned by police, Defendant Smith stated, "I went inside because they're killing babies in there."

77. Police officers instructed the RRR participants to leave BHSC's property and the adjoining private property where participants were congregating.

78. The RRR participants who were unlawfully trespassing refused to leave BHSC's property and the adjoining private property.

79. Next, Defendant Handy kneeled down directly in front of the entry door to Planned Parenthood's facility and refused to move.

80. While Handy was kneeling in front of the door, Defendant Miller continued approaching patients in their cars.

81. Soon, Miller laid on the ground behind a patient's vehicle, then stood up next to the patient's car door, and prevented the patient from exiting their car.

82. Bedford Heights police repeatedly told Defendants Handy and Miller to leave BHSC's parking lot, but they refused.

83. While Defendants Handy and Miller were refusing to leave BHSC's parking lot, Defendant Laura Gies was present on the adjoining private property; Bedford Heights police told her she was trespassing and to leave, but she refused.

84. At approximately 9:12 a.m., Defendant Handy sprawled her body out on the ground in front of BHSC's entrance and refused to move.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 15 of 19. PageID #: 15

85. Within minutes, Bedford Heights police arrested Handy and physically carried her into the back of a police car.

86. Next, Bedford Heights police arrested Defendant Miller and physically carried her across BHSC's parking lot towards a police car.

87. The arrests of Defendants Handy and Miller did not de-escalate the situation or end the event, as RRR participants continued surrounding the facility and entering BHSC's property.

88. At approximately 9:23 a.m., a masked RRR participant entered BHSC's parking lot; Bedford Heights police instructed him to leave the premises or "go to jail."

89. At approximately 9:35 a.m., Bedford Heights police instructed RRR participants, who had gathered at the entrance to BHSC's parking lot, that they had to stop blocking the entrance to the private parking lot.

90. At approximately 10:01 a.m., a RRR participant climbed over BHSC's fence and entered the private parking lot.

91. Bedford Heights police confronted the RRR participant with a K-9 officer and instructed him to leave.

92. Almost immediately after the K-9 incident, a Bedford Heights Police Department supervisor informed BHSC's management about the problems the RRR event was causing and the practical obstacles the police had in managing them.

93. Specifically, the police supervisor stated:

a. He had initially thought he could get the RRR participants to leaveBHSC's property by simply telling them to, but they refused because they wanted to get arrested;

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 16 of 19. PageID #: 16

b. He was willing to arrest them, but because of COVID-19, the County jail would accept only felony offenders;

c. He could transport the RRR participants to the police station, cite them, and release them; but the RRR participants said they would simply come right back to BHSC;

d. Despite the jail's restrictions, he was able to secure spots at the County jail; and

e. He hoped that arresting Defendants Handy and Miller would "calm" the RRR participants, but it did not appear to do so because a RRR participant had just jumped over BHSC's fence.

94. The Bedford Heights police supervisor then asked BHSC's management if she could close the facility for the rest of the day because the Bedford Heights police had only three officers on duty—two of whom were transporting Defendants Handy and Miller to jail—and did not have the resources to handle the RRR participants' non-stop disruptive actions.

95. At the request of the police, BHSC management agreed to cancel appointments and close the facility for the rest of the day.

96. The closure impacted all staff and 24 patients.

97. Among the 24 patients, 9 had surgeries and 15 had consultations canceled, which were ultimately rescheduled.

98. Through the actions described above, Defendants CPLS, RRR, Handy, Miller, and Smith, by force or threat of force or by physical obstruction: (1) intentionally injured, intimidated, or interfered with, or attempted to injure, intimidate or interfere with, persons because those persons were, or had been, obtaining or providing reproductive health services; or

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 17 of 19. PageID #: 17

(2) intimidated such persons or any other person or class of persons from obtaining or providing reproductive health services.

99. Defendants CPLS, RRR, Handy, Miller, and Smith's unlawful actions at BHSC, and the subsequent closure of the facility, made ingress to or egress from BHSC impassable and/or rendered passage unreasonably difficult or hazardous.

Count I <u>Physical Obstruction, Force, or Threat of Force</u> <u>18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1), § 248(c)(2)(B)</u> <u>Civil Penalties and Damages</u> <u>(All Defendants)</u>

100. The United States incorporates herein the averments of paragraphs 1 through 99.
101. Defendants' conduct as described in paragraphs 1 through 99 constitutes a
physical obstruction that intentionally intimidated or interfered with persons, or an attempt to
intimidate and/or interfere with such persons, because they were or had been obtaining
reproductive health services, or in order to intimidate such persons from obtaining reproductive
health services at NOWC and/or BHSC in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1).

102. Defendants' conduct as described in paragraphs 1 through 99 constitutes a physical obstruction that intentionally intimidated or interfered with persons, or an attempt to intimidate and/or interfere with such persons, because they were or had been providing reproductive health services, or in order to intimidate such persons from providing reproductive health services at NOWC and/or BHSC in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1).

103. As a result of the foregoing, Defendants are liable to the United States for (1) a civil penalty in the amount of not more than \$20,516 for first violations and not more than \$30,868 for subsequent violations, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 248(c)(2)(B)(i)-(ii) and 28 C.F.R.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 18 of 19. PageID #: 18

§ 85.5, and (2) damages in the amount of \$5,000.00 for each person aggrieved by Defendants' actions, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 248(c)(2)(B).

Count II <u>Physical Obstruction, Force, or Threat of Force</u> <u>18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1), 248(c)(2)(B)</u> <u>Injunctive Relief</u> <u>(All Defendants)</u>

104. The United States incorporates herein the averments of paragraphs 1 through 99.

105. Defendants' conduct as described in paragraphs 1 through 99 constitutes a physical obstruction that intentionally intimidated or interfered with persons, or an attempt to intimidate and/or interfere with such persons, because they were or had been obtaining reproductive health services, or in order to intimidate such persons from obtaining reproductive health services at NOWC and/or BHSC in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1).

106. Defendants' conduct as described in paragraphs 1 through 99 constitutes a physical obstruction that intentionally intimidated or interfered with persons, or an attempt to intimidate and/or interfere with such persons, because they were or had been providing reproductive health services, or in order to intimidate such persons from providing reproductive health services at NOWC and/or BHSC in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 248(a)(1).

107. As a result of the foregoing, unless enjoined by this Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 248(c)(2)(B), Defendants will continue to violate the FACE Act in the manner set forth above.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests judgment in its favor and against Defendants as follows:

A. On Count I, impose a civil penalty on Defendants of not more than
\$20,516 for first violations and not more than \$30,868 for subsequent violations, pursuant to 18

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1 Filed: 05/20/24 19 of 19. PageID #: 19

U.S.C. § 248(c)(2)(B)(i)-(ii) and 28 C.F.R. § 85.5, and order damages in the amount of \$5,000.00 for each person aggrieved by Defendants' actions, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 248(c)(2)(B);

B. On Count II, order appropriate injunctive relief pursuant to 18 U.S.C.

§ 248(c)(2)(B).

Respectfully submitted,

REBECCA C. LUTZKO United States Attorney KRISTEN CLARKE Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division

STEVEN H. ROSENBAUM Chief Special Litigation Section

MAURA M. KLUGMAN Deputy Chief Special Litigation Section

/s/ Elizabeth Deucher ELIZABETH DEUCHER Assistant United States Attorney United States Court House 801 West Superior Avenue, Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113 (216) 622-3600 (216) 522-2404 (facsimile) Elizabeth.deucher@usdoj.gov /s/ Alyssa B. Wright ALYSSA B. WRIGHT JARED D. HAGER ELIZABETH SAXE BETH KURTZ KATHERINE THOMPSON Trial Attorneys Special Litigation Section Civil Rights Division U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530 (202) 532-5836 Alyssa.wright@usdoj.gov

Case: 1:24-cv-00893

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

provided by local rules of cour	I the information contained herein neither replace not t. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	of the United States in Sept		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDA	ANTS	
United States of	America			Red Rose Rescue, Laura Donald (AKA "Stephanie
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		•	ant Moaklandi County. M
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN L	<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF</i> AND CONDEMNATION CASE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED	CASES ONLY) S, USE THE LOCATION OF
Elizabeth Deuch United States A	Address, and Telephone Number) ner, Assistant United States Attorney ttorney's Office r Ave., Suite 400	Attorneys (If		
	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			TIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
X 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	<i>(For Diversity Case</i>) Citizen of This State	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF ated or Principal Place 4 4 siness In This State
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		ated <i>and</i> Principal Place 5 5 siness In Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign	Nation 6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		Click here for: Nat	ture of Suit Code Descriptions.
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - 315 Airplane Product Product Liability Liability 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical Slander Personal Injury 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 340 Marine Injury Product 345 Marine Product Liability	of Property 21 US 690 Other		376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TY 710 Fair Labor Standa	880 Defend Trade	Secrets 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 380 Other Personal 1 Joint Property Damage 1 Joint 385 Property Damage 1 Joint Product Liability 1 Joint Property Damage 1 Joint Property Damage 1 Joint Property Damage 1 Joint Product Liability	 710 Fair Labor Standa Act 720 Labor/Manageme Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medic Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litig 	nt 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (9 al 863 DIWC/DIWW 864 SSID Title XV	7 (405(g)) Exchange 7I 890 Other Statutory Actions
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	X 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate 443 Housing/ Sentence Accommodations 530 General 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 535 Death Penalty	791 Employee Retiren Income Security A	nent	896 Arbitration arty 899 Administrative Procedure
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Oth Other 448 Education 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	462 Naturalization Ap 465 Other Immigration Actions		Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		Reopened	Another District L	fultidistrict 8 Multidistrict itigation - Litigation - ransfer Direct File
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you at 18 U.S.C. § 248	re filing (Do not cite jurisdicti	onal statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DIN Brief description of cause: USA asserts a civil cause of action seeking dan	nages and injunctive relief ur	nder the Freedom of Access to	Clinic Entrances Act (FACE Act)
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	° ,		ES only if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUM	BER
DATE	SIGNATURE OF AT	FORNEY OF RECORD	Digitally signed by Elizabeth Dec Date: 2024.05.20 09:35:00 -040	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			Date: 2024.03.20 09.33.00 -040	·
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JU	DGE]	MAG. JUDGE

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 3. PageID #: 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

Civil Categories: (Please check one category only).



General Civil Administrative Review/Social Security Habeas Corpus Death Penalty

*If under Title 28, §2255, name the SENTENCING JUDGE:

CASE NUMBER:

Ш. RELATED OR REFILED CASES See LR 3.1 which provides in pertinent part: "If an action is filed or removed to this Court and assigned to a District Judge after which it is discontinued, dismissed or remanded to a State court, and subsequently refiled, it shall be assigned to the same Judge who received the initial case assignment without regardfor the place of holding court in which the case was refiled. Counsel or a party without counsel shall be responsible for bringing such cases to the attention of the Court by responding to the guestions included on the Civil Cover Sheet."

This action:

I.

is **RELATED** to another **PENDING** civil case is a **REFILED** case

was **PREVIOUSLY REMANDED**

If applicable, please indicate on page 1 in section VIII, the name of the Judge and case number.

Ш. In accordance with Local Civil Rule **3.8**, actions involving counties in the Eastern Division shall be filed at any of the divisional offices therein. Actions involving counties in the Western Division shall be filed at the Toledo office. For the purpose of determining the proper division, and for statistical reasons, the following information is requested.

ANSWER ONE PARAGRAPH ONLY. ANSWER PARAGRAPHS 1 THRU 3 IN ORDER. UPON FINDING WHICH PARAGRAPH APPLIES TO YOUR CASE, ANSWER IT AND STOP.

Resident defendant. If the defendant resides in a county within this district, please set forth the name of such (1) county

COUNTY:

Corporation For the purpose of answering the above, a corporation is deemed to be a resident of that county in which it has its principal place of business in that district.

Non-Resident defendant. If no defendant is a resident of a county in this district, please set forth the county (2) wherein the cause of action arose or the event complained of occurred.

COUNTY: Cuyahoga County

Other Cases. If no defendant is a resident of this district, or if the defendant is a corporation not having a principle (3) place of business within the district, and the cause of action arose or the event complained of occurred outside this district, please set forth the county of the plaintiff's residence.

COUNTY.

IV. The Counties in the Northern District of Ohio are divided into divisions as shown below. After the county is determined in Section **III**, please check the appropriate division.

EASTERN DIVISION



(Counties: Carroll, Holmes, Portage, Stark, Summit, Tuscarawas and Wayne) Counties: Ashland, Ashtabula, Crawford, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina and Richland) (Counties: Columbiana, Mahoning and Trumbull)

WESTERN DIVISION



(Counties: Allen, Auglaize, Defiance, Erie, Fulton, Hancock, Hardin, Henry, Huron, Lucas, Marion, Mercer, Ottawa, Paulding, Putnam, Sandusky, Seneca VanWert, Williams, Wood and Wyandot)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment

to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- **III.** Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 23

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Audrey Whipple 6809 Kings Mill Drive Canton, MI 48187

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 24

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

was re		ume of individual and title, if any)			
		d the summons on the individual at	: (place)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I left the summons	s at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with <i>(name)</i>	sides there	
	on (date)		ne individual's last known address or	sides mere,	
	\Box I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, w	ho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	or	
	\Box I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			or
	□ Other (specify):				
	My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information i	s true.		
Date:					
2			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-3 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 25

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Citizens for a Pro-Life Society c/o Agent Monica Miller 67919 W. 8 Mile Road South Lyon, MI 48178

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-3 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 26

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

was re		me of individual and title, if any)			
		the summons on the individual at	(place)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with <i>(name)</i>	sides there,	
	on (date)		e individual's last known address or		
		ons on (name of individual)		, wł	ho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behal	on (date)	or	
	□ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		_	or
	Other (specify):				
	My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under penal	y of perjury that this information i	s true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-4 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 27

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Clara McDonald 1444 Park Place, Apt. E7 Brooklyn, NY 11213

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-4 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 28

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

was re		me of individual and title, if any)			
		the summons on the individual at	(place)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with <i>(name)</i>	sides there,	
	on (date)		e individual's last known address or		
		ons on (name of individual)		, wł	ho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behal	on (date)	or	
	□ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		_	or
	Other (specify):				
	My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under penal	y of perjury that this information i	s true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-5 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 29

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Jay Smith Register No. 93612-509 MDC Brooklyn 80 29th Street Brooklyn, NY 11232

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-5 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 30

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

was re	ceived by me on <i>(date)</i>	me of individual and title, if any)			
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or use	•	• 1 . 1	
			of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	e individual's last known address or		
	\Box I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, w	ho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behalf	f of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			or
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under penal	y of perjury that this information is	s true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-6 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 31

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Laura Gies 54 Wade Drive Spring City, PA 19475

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-6 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 32

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

at (place)		
on (date)	or	
usual place of abode with (name)		
on of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,	
the individual's last known address or		
	, w	vho is
nalf of (name of organization)		
on (date)	or	
		or
		-
for services, for a total of	0.00	
n is true.		
Server's signature		
Printed name and title		
	usual place of abode with (name) on of suitable age and discretion who res the individual's last known address or half of (name of organization) on (date) for services, for a total of n is true. 	usual place of abode with (name) on of suitable age and discretion who resides there, the individual's last known address or

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-7 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 33

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Lauren Handy Alexandria Detention Center 2001 Mill Road Alexandria, VA 22314

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-7 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 34

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

	This summons for (name of individual and title, if a	iny)			
was re	ceived by me on (date))	·			
	□ I personally serv	ed the summons on the in-	dividual at (place)			
			on	(date)	or	
	\Box I left the summo	ns at the individual's resid	lence or usual plac	e of abode with <i>(name)</i>		
			, a person of suita	ble age and discretion who res	ides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the indivi	dual's last known address or		
	\Box I served the sum	mons on (name of individual)			, w	ho is
	designated by law	to accept service of proces	ss on behalf of (nam	ne of organization)		
			on	(date)	or	
	\Box I returned the sum	mmons unexecuted becaus	se			or
	Other (specify):					
	My fees are	for travel and		for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under pena	alty of perjury that this inf	ormation is true.			
Date:						
				Server's signature		
		-		Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-8 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 35

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Monica Miller 67919 W. 8 Mile Road South Lyon, MI 48178

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-8 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 36

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

was re	ceived by me on <i>(date)</i>	me of individual and title, if any)			
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or use	•	• 1 . 1	
			of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to th	e individual's last known address or		
	\Box I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, w	ho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behalf	f of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	or	
	□ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			or
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under penal	y of perjury that this information is	s true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-9 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 37

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Christopher Moscinski Inmate No. 388432 1901 D. Street, SE Washington, D.C. 20003

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-9 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 38

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

s received by me on (date)				
□ I personally serve	ed the summons on the individual at	t (place)		
		on (date)	or	
\Box I left the summor	ns at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)		
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	he individual's last known address or		
\Box I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, w	ho is
designated by law t	o accept service of process on behal			
		on (date)	or	
\Box I returned the sur	nmons unexecuted because			or
Other (<i>specify</i>):	 I personally served the summons on the individual at (<i>place</i>) on (<i>date</i>) I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>) , a person of suitable age and discretion who residence or (<i>date</i>) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address or I served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>) on (<i>date</i>) I returned the summons unexecuted because 			
My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this information	is true.		
e:				
		Server's signature		
		Printed name and title		

Server's address

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-10 Filed: 05/20/24 1 of 2. PageID #: 39

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

CITIZENS FOR A PRO-LIFE SOCIETY, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Red Rose Rescue c/o Agent Monica Miller 67919 W. 8 Mile Road South Lyon, MI 48178

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: AUSA Elizabeth Deucher

United States Attorney's Office 801 W. Superior Ave., Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date: 05/20/2024

Case: 1:24-cv-00893 Doc #: 1-10 Filed: 05/20/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 40

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(is section s ould not e iled it t e court unless re uired y ed iv (l

was rec	This summons for <i>(na</i> eived by me on <i>(date)</i>	me of individual and title, if any)			
	-	the summons on the individual at	(place)		
_			on (date)		
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us			
-	on (date)		e individual's last known address or	,	
		ons on (name of individual)		, w	ho is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behal	t of (name of organization) on (date)	or	
-	□ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		_	or
	Other (specify):				
	My fees are	for travel and	for services, for a total of	0.00	
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information i	s true.		
Date:					
-			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address