## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

September 4, 2024

ZAJI OBATALA ZAJRADHARA, Complainant,	)	
V.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding OCAHO Case No. 2024B00063
	)	OCATIO Case No. 2024B000003
PURE WATER CORP., d/b/a/ MAJESTIC HOTEL,	)	
Respondent.	)	

Appearances: Zaji Obatala Zajradhara, pro se Complainant Mark Scoggins, Esq., for Respondent

## NOTICE OF CONVERSION TO ELECTRONIC FILING

On March 7, 2024, Complainant, Zaji Obatala Zajradhara, filed a complaint with the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (OCAHO) against Respondent, Pure Water Corp. Complainant alleges that Respondent engaged in citizenship status discrimination, national origin discrimination, and retaliation in violation of the antidiscrimination provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1324b(a)(1) and (a)(5). Respondent filed an Answer, which was accepted on August 20, 2024, after this Court discharged an Order to Show Cause. See Zajradhara v. Pure Water Corp., 20 OCAHO no. 1584a (2024).

On August 6, 2024, the Court invited the parties to participate in OCAHO's Electronic Filing Pilot Program. On August 27, 2024, Respondent submitted his e-filing registration form. To date, Complainant has not filed an e-filing registration form. However, Complainant has attempted to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Citations to OCAHO precedents after volume eight, where the decision has not been reprinted in a bound volume, include the volume and case number of the decision. Pinpoint citations are to pages within the original issuances; the beginning page number of an unbound case will always be 1 and is accordingly omitted from the citation. Published decisions may be accessed through the Westlaw database "FIM OCAHO," the LexisNexis database "OCAHO," and on the United States Department of Justice's website: https://www.justice.gov/eoir/office-of-the-chief-administrative-hearing-officer-decisions.

send emails to this Court in this case, which were rejected. Therefore, this Court is aware that Complainant's email is #########.

This Court typically only enrolls cases in electronic filing when both parties have filed e-filing registration forms. See OCAHO Practice Manual, Chapter 3.7(c) (August 22, 2022). However, this case is based out of Saipan, while OCAHO's offices are in Virginia. Given the significant delays inherent with mail filing for both the parties and for the Court, the Court now puts the parties on notice that it will convert the case to electronic filing unless one or both parties objects in a written filing to the Court. The Court will utilize ######### as Complainant's email address. If Complainant would prefer a different email be utilized, it may so indicate in a filing to the Court.

The parties have 30 days from the date of this order to file any objections to the conversion. The Court issues this order both by mail and electronically, to ensure that the parties are in receipt of the Court's order and may object as they deem appropriate.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on September 4, 2024.

Honorable Jean C. King Chief Administrative Law Judge