## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAKE CHARLES DIVISION

STATE OF LOUISIANA CASE NO. 2:23-CV-00692

VERSUS JUDGE JAMES D. CAIN, JR.

U S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

MAGISTRATE JUDGE LEBLANC

AGENCY ET AL

## **JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to the Memorandum Ruling of this date, and for the reasons stated in the Memorandum Ruling of January 23, 2024<sup>1</sup> and Judgment,<sup>2</sup>

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Judgment is entered in favor of the State of Louisiana on Counts III, IV, V and VI of the Complaint. Counts I, II, and VII are DISMISSED without prejudice in favor of Defendants.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Court GRANTS in favor of the State of Louisiana a permanent injunction and hereby permanently enjoins Defendants, the United States Environmental Agency; Michael S. Regan in his official capacity as Administrator of the EPA; the Director of the EPA's Office of External Civil Rights Compliance; the United States Department of Justice; and Merrick Garland in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States of America from:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doc. 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Doc. 49.

- (A) Enforcing the Title VI disparate-impact requirements contained in 40 C.F.R. § 7.35(b), (c) and 28 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2) against any entity in the State of Louisiana, or requiring compliance with those requirements as a condition of past, existing, or future awards of financial assistance to any entity in the State of Louisiana; and
- (B) Enforcing against any entity in the State of Louisiana any EPA disparate-impact requirement under Title VI or cumulative-impact-analysis requirement under Title VI that has not been ratified by the President pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000d-1 and is not contained in the EPA regulations implementing Title VI within 40 C.F.R. Part 7.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Chambers on this 22nd day of August, 2024.

JAMES D. CAIN, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE