

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

March 20, 2025

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Complainant,)	
)	
)	
v.)	8 U.S.C. § 1324a Proceeding
)	OCAHO Case No. 2024A00094
)	
TERRAPOWER, LLC,)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

Appearances: Margaret LaDow, Esq., and Lawrence J. Van Daley, Esq., for Complainant
Diane M. Butler, Esq., and Rebecca R. Schach, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY AS MOOT

This case arises under the employer sanctions provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324a. On March 12, 2025, the Court issued an Order Granting in Part Respondent's Motion to Compel. *United States v. Terrapower, LLC*, 19 OCAHO no. 1548e (2025). In that Order, the Court determined Complainant's Response (to Respondent's Amended Motion to Compel Discovery) was untimely and would not be considered. *Id.* at 2.

On March 19, 2025,¹ Respondent filed a Second Motion for Leave to File Reply in Support Amended Motion to Compel Discovery, requesting an opportunity to provide a reply following Complainant's (untimely) Response. Second Mot. Leave Reply 1.

As the procedural history indicates, there is no need to reply as the response filing was not considered. Respondent's motion is DENIED AS MOOT.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on March 20, 2025.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge

¹ It is possible, or perhaps even likely, that registration in e-filing would minimize instances of motions arriving after a matter has already been adjudicated.