

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
ORACLE CORPORATION,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. C 04-0807 VRW

**STIPULATION REGARDING  
AUTHENTICITY AND ADMISSIBILITY  
OF DOCUMENTS**

The parties to the above-entitled action, having met and conferred, and upon determining that good cause exists for the foregoing, hereby stipulate as follows:


1. Documents produced to a party to this action by another party or a third party in response to compulsory process (e.g., subpoena, Civil Investigative Demand), a document request served upon a party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, or otherwise in connection with Plaintiffs' investigation of this transaction shall be deemed authentic for the purposes of this lawsuit only, absent good cause. Good cause would include issues relating to the completeness of the document (e.g., missing or incomplete pages) or any conditions in the actual document or the manner in which it was produced that brings into question whether the document was actually generated by the relevant party or third-party.

2. Documents produced to a party to this action by another party or third party in response to compulsory process (e.g., subpoena, Civil Investigative Demand), a document request served upon a party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, or otherwise in connection with Plaintiffs' investigation of this transaction that were generated by the party or third party that produced such documents, or by that party's or third-party's agent, shall be presumed admissible

1 for the purposes of this lawsuit only, absent good cause. This provision shall not apply to  
2 analysts' reports regarding the industry at issue. Good cause would include circumstances under  
3 which the source of the information featured in the document or the circumstances of its  
4 preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness (as noted in Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)). To the extent a  
5 document would be considered admissible under this stipulation, both sides would still retain the  
6 right to argue that a particular document contains hearsay within hearsay that is not admissible.


7 SO STIPULATED.

8  
9 Dated: June 10, 2004



Claude F. Scott, Esq.  
Conrad J. Smucker, Esq.  
Pam Cole, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 208286)  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Antitrust Division  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
Room 10-0101, Box 36046  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
**Counsel for Plaintiff United States**

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Dated: June 10, 2004



Mark Tobey, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, Texas 78711-2548  
(512) 463-2185  
(512) 320-0975 (Fax)

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
Mark J. Bennett, Esq.  
Attorney General  
State of Hawaii  
425 Queen Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
(808) 586-1600  
(808) 586-1239 (Fax)

Timothy E. Moran, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Consumer Protection and Antitrust Division  
One Ashburton Place  
Boston, MA 02108  
(617) 727-2200, ext. 2516  
(617) 727-5765 (Fax)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Kristen M. Olsen, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General of Minnesota  
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1200  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2130  
(651) 296-2921  
(651) 282-5437 (Fax)

Jay L. Himes, Esq.  
Chief, Antitrust Bureau  
Office of the Attorney General of New York  
120 Broadway, 26th Floor  
New York, NY 10271  
(212) 416-8282  
(212) 416-6015 (Fax)

Todd A. Sattler, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Consumer Protection and Antitrust Division  
600 E. Boulevard Ave., Dept. 125  
Bismark, ND 58505-0040  
(701) 328-2811  
(701) 328-3535 (Fax)

Steven M. Rutstein, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
55 Elm Street  
Hartford, CT 06106  
(860) 808-5169  
(860) 808-5033 (Fax)

Paul F. Novak, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General In Charge  
Special Litigation Division  
Michigan Department of Attorney General  
P.O. Box 30212  
Lansing, MI 48909  
(517) 335-4809  
(517) 373-9860 (Fax)

Mitchell L. Gentile, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Antitrust Section  
Office of the Attorney General  
150 E. Gay St., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
(614) 466-4328  
(614) 995-0266 (Fax)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Ellen S. Cooper, Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Chief, Antitrust Division  
State of Maryland  
200 St. Paul Place, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Baltimore, MD 21202  
(410) 576-6470  
(410) 576-7830 (Fax)

*Counsel for Plaintiff States*  
**Counsel for Plaintiff States**

Dated: June 10, 2004



---

Daniel M. Wall, Esq.  
J. Thomas Rosch, Esq.  
Gregory P. Lindstrom, Esq.  
LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP  
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Dorian Daley, Esq.  
Jeffrey S. Ross, Esq.  
ORACLE CORPORATION  
500 Oracle Parkway, 7th Floor  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
**Counsel for Defendant Oracle Corp.**