## **ELECTRONICALLY FILED**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Civil Action No. 3:05-cv-00188-S
KENTUCKY REAL ESTATE COMMISSION	)
Defendant.	) ) )

## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

Plaintiff, the United States of America, hereby certifies that it has complied with the provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(d), and states:

- 1. The Proposed Amended Final Judgment was filed on July 15, 2005 with this Court. The Competitive Impact Statement was filed on July 26, 2005;
- 2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), the Proposed Amended Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published on August 5, 2005 in the *Federal Register*, 70 Fed. Reg. 45424 (A copy of the *Federal Register* Notice is attached as Exhibit A.);
- 3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c), a summary of the terms of the Proposed Amended Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement was published in:
  - (A) *The Washington Post*, a newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia, during the period of August 5 through 11, 2005, and
  - (B) *The Courier-Journal*, a newspaper of general circulation in Louisville, Kentucky, during the period of August 5 through 11, 2005

(Copies of the Proof of Publication from *The Washington Post* and *The Courier-Journal* are attached as Exhibits B and C, respectively.);

4. Copies of the Proposed Amended Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were furnished to all persons requesting them and made available on

the Antitrust Division's Internet site;

- 5. The 60-day comment period specified in 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) commenced on August 11, 2005, and terminated on October 10, 2005;
- 6. The United States had not received any written comments on the Proposed Amended Final Judgment;
- 7. Defendant has represented to the United States that it has complied with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, including 15 U.S.C. § 16(g);
- Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order filed on July 12, 2005, and entered by this 8. Court on August 4, 2005, and 15 U.S.C. § 16(e), the Court may enter the Amended Final Judgment after it determines that the Judgment serves the public interest;
- 9. Plaintiff's Competitive Impact Statement demonstrates that the Proposed Amended Final Judgment satisfies the public interest standard of 15 U.S.C. § 16(e); and
- 10. Plaintiff requests that this Court enter the Amended Final Judgment without further hearings and is authorized by counsel for Defendant to state that Defendant joins in this request.

Dated: 17 October 2005

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Maurice E. Stucke Maurice E. Stucke Owen M. Kendler Mary Beth McGee Mark A. Merva

Attorneys for the United States U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division, Litigation III Section 325 7<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20530 Telephone: (202) 305-1489

Facsimile: (202) 514-7308

E-mail: Maurice.Stucke@usdoj.gov

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 17, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the clerk of the court by using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

John S. Reed David J. Hale Reed Weitkamp Schell & Vice PLLC 500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 2400 Louisville, KY 40202-2812,

Counsel for Defendant.

/s/ Maurice E. Stucke

Maurice E. Stucke
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division, Litigation III Section
325 7<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 305-1489 (telephone)
(202) 514-7308 (facsimile)
Maurice.Stucke@usdoj.gov