

1 JEANE HAMILTON (CSBN 157834)
2 ALBERT B. SAMBAT (CSBN 236472)
3 DAVID J. WARD (CSBN 239504)
4 CHRISTINA M. WHEELER (CSBN 203395)
5 MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493)
6 U.S. Department of Justice
7 Antitrust Division
8 450 Golden Gate Avenue
9 Box 36046, Room 10-0101
10 San Francisco, CA 94102
11 david.ward@usdoj.gov
12 Telephone: (415) 436-6660
13 Attorneys for the United States

FILED
2011 OCT 27 A 9:55
RICHARD D. WICKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-filing

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CRIMINAL NO. 0796

14 v.
15

) INFORMATION

16
17 PATRICK CAMPION,
18 Defendant.

)
)) VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 –
)) Bid Rigging (Count One);
)) 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to
)) Commit Mail Fraud (Count Two)

RS

20
21 The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:

22 PATRICK CAMPION,

23 the defendant herein, as follows:

24 BACKGROUND

25 1. When California homeowners default on their mortgages, the lender or loan
26 servicer can institute foreclosure proceedings through a non-judicial public foreclosure auction.
27 These public auctions typically take place at or near the county courthouse. At the auction an
28 auctioneer sells the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price. Proceeds from the

INFORMATION – PATRICK CAMPION – 1

1 sale are then used to pay off the mortgage and other debt attached to the property. Proceeds
2 remaining from the sale are then paid to the homeowner.

3 COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (San Mateo County)

4 THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

5 2. Beginning as early as February 2010 and continuing until in or about June 2010,
6 the defendant PATRICK CAMPION and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a
7 combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain title to
8 selected real estate offered at San Mateo County, California public real estate foreclosure
9 auctions in the Northern District of California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and
10 commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

11 3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,
12 understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress
13 competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to obtain title to
14 selected real estate offered at San Mateo County, California public real estate foreclosure
15 auctions at non-competitive prices.

16 4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and
17 conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired
18 to do, including, among other things:

19 a. agreeing, during meetings, conversations, and communications, not to
20 compete for title to selected real estate offered at San Mateo County, California public real estate
21 foreclosure auctions;

22 b. designating which conspirator would win the selected real estate at the
23 public real estate foreclosure auctions for the group of conspirators; and

24 c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected real estate at the
25 public real estate foreclosure auctions.

26 5. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Information,
27 participated as co-conspirators in the offenses charged in this Information and performed acts
28 and made statements in furtherance of them.

INFORMATION – PATRICK CAMPION – 2

TRADE AND COMMERCE

2 6. During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the
3 defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of,
4 and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, mortgage holders
5 located in states other than California received proceeds from the public real estate foreclosure
6 auctions that were subject to the bid-rigging conspiracy.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8 7. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in
9 part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this
10 Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

12 COUNT TWO: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (San Mateo County)

THE CONSPIRACY

14 8. Beginning as early as February 2010 and continuing until in or about June 2010 in
15 San Mateo County in the Northern District of California, the defendant PATRICK CAMPION
16 and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree with each other to
17 violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly devise and intend to
18 devise and participate in a scheme or artifice to defraud financial institutions, homeowners, and
19 others and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses,
20 representations, and promises and, for the purpose of executing or attempting to execute such
21 scheme or artifice, to knowingly use and cause to be used the United States Postal Service or any
22 private or commercial interstate carrier, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section
23 1349.

24 9. The objects of the conspiracy were to make payoffs to obtain title to selected real
25 estate at fraudulently suppressed prices, to receive payoffs, and to divert money to co-
26 conspirators and away from the mortgage holders and others with a legal interest in select
27 properties sold at public real estate foreclosure auctions in San Mateo County, California
28 (“mortgage holders”).

MEANS AND METHODS

The principal means and methods used to accomplish the conspiracy were as follows:

10. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

- a. negotiating payoffs with one or more co-conspirators not to compete;
- b. in some instances, falsely participating in foreclosure auctions to create the appearance that they were bidding competitively when, in fact, they were not;
- c. purchasing selected real estate at fraudulently suppressed prices;
- d. paying co-conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to the mortgage holders;
- e. taking steps to conceal both the fact that payoffs were made and the amounts of the payoffs;
- f. making and causing to be made false and misleading statements on records of public auctions regarding the total purchase price of the selected real estate to trustees and others; and
- g. causing the fraudulently suppressed purchase price to be reported and paid to the mortgage holders.

11. For the purpose of executing the scheme or artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowingly used and caused to be used the United States Postal Service or private or commercial interstate carriers. For example, trustees used the United States mail and Federal Express to transmit the Trustee's Deeds Upon Sale and other title documents to participants in the conspiracy. These mailings were foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary course of business.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. The combination, conspiracy, and agreement to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

1 ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.
2
3

4 Sharis A. Pozen
5 Acting Assistant Attorney General

6 Scott D. Hammond
7 Deputy Assistant Attorney General

8 John F. Terzaken
9 Director of Criminal Enforcement
10 United States Department of Justice
11 Antitrust Division

12 Brian J. Stretch
13 Acting United States Attorney
14 Northern District of California

15 Phillip H. Warren
16 Chief, San Francisco Office

17 Peter K. Huston
18 Assistant Chief, San Francisco Office

19 David J. Ward
20 Jeane Hamilton
21 Albert B. Sambat
22 Christina M. Wheeler
23 Manish Kumar
24 Trial Attorneys
25 United States Department of Justice
26 Antitrust Division