

Peter K. Huston (CA Bar No. 150058)
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6660
Facsimile: (415) 436-6687
E-mail: peter.huston@usdoj.gov

Michael D. Bonanno (DC Bar No. 998208)
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 7100
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 532-4791
Facsimile: (202) 616-8544
E-mail: michael.bonanno@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

BAZAARVOICE, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-00133 WHO

**CONSENT MOTION TO ENTER
THIRD AMENDED [PROPOSED]
FINAL JUDGMENT**

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

**CONSENT MOTION TO ENTER THIRD AMENDED
[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) ("APPA"), plaintiff United States moves for entry of the Third Amended [Proposed] Final Judgment ("TAPFJ"), which is attached as Exhibit 1. As explained below, the proposed Final Judgment contains one addition to the stipulated proposed Final Judgment filed with the Court on April 24, 2014, adding Section V.F, which requires Bazaarvoice to appoint an antitrust compliance officer. Bazaarvoice has consented to this modification. Ex. 2 ¶ 8. The proposed Final Judgment may be entered at this time without further proceedings if the Court determines that entry of the

1 proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest. 15 U.S.C. § 16(e). The Competitive Impact
2 Statement (“CIS”) and this motion explain why entry of the proposed Final Judgment is in the
3 public interest. Attached to this motion as Exhibit 2 is an Affidavit and Certificate of
4 Compliance with the Provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (“Certificate of
5 Compliance”) setting forth the steps taken by the parties to comply with all applicable provisions
6 of the APPA.

7 **I. Background**

8 On January 10, 2013, the United States filed a Complaint in this matter alleging that the
9 consummated acquisition of PowerReviews Inc. by Bazaarvoice, Inc. would substantially lessen
10 competition for ratings and reviews (“R&R”) platforms in the United States in violation of
11 Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. The United States alleged that this loss of
12 competition would likely result in higher prices for R&R platforms and less innovation.
13 Following a full trial on the merits, on January 8, 2014, the Court issued a Memorandum
14 Opinion finding that Bazaarvoice had violated Section 7 of the Clayton Act when it acquired
15 PowerReviews.

16 The United States and Bazaarvoice ultimately reached an agreement on a remedy to
17 resolve the matter. On April 24, 2014, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment and a
18 Stipulation signed by the parties, which consented to entry of the proposed Final Judgment after
19 compliance with the requirements of the APPA. The Court entered the Stipulation on April 25,
20 2014, ordering Bazaarvoice to, amongst other matters, comply with the terms of the proposed
21 Final Judgment pending its entry by the Court.

22 On May 8, 2014, the United States filed the CIS, explaining how the proposed Final
23 Judgment would remedy the harm from Bazaarvoice’s acquisition of PowerReviews. During the
24 APPA public comment period, the United States did not receive any comments on the proposed
25 Final Judgment. Entry of the proposed Final Judgment would terminate this action, except that
26 the Court would retain jurisdiction to (1) construe, modify, or enforce provisions of the Final
27 Judgment; and (2) punish violations of the Final Judgment.

II. Modification to the April 24, 2014 Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the April 24, 2014 proposed Final Judgment, and following approval of the United States, Bazaarvoice divested the PowerReviews assets to Viewpoints, Inc. in a transaction that closed on July 2, 2014.

At the end of July 2014, the United States became aware there was a question whether Bazaarvoice was improperly continuing to use certain PowerReviews technology that the proposed Final Judgment required it to divest. Bazaarvoice stopped the conduct in question as soon as the United States raised its concerns, and each party investigated what happened. While Bazaarvoice maintained that its conduct was consistent with its obligations under the Court's order, the United States was concerned that the lack of timely communication between Bazaarvoice and the United States led to an investigation and dispute that could have been avoided by a greater focus from the company on its compliance obligations. As a means of fully resolving this matter, the United States and Bazaarvoice have agreed to modify the proposed Final Judgment to require Bazaarvoice to appoint an internal compliance officer to oversee the company's efforts to comply with the Final Judgment and the antitrust laws.

The United States believes this modification will minimize the potential for future disputes and ensure the company is focused on its compliance responsibilities. The compliance officer's duties are set forth in Section V.F of the proposed Final Judgment submitted with this motion.¹ Bazaarvoice has agreed to appoint such a compliance officer and has consented to entry of the modified proposed Final Judgment attached to this motion. Subject to the Court's approval, this modification fully resolves the United States' concerns.

III. Compliance with the APPA

The APPA requires a 60-day period for submission of written comments relating to the proposed Final Judgment. 15 U.S.C. § 16(b). In compliance with the APPA, the United States filed the CIS with the Court on May 8, 2014 and published the proposed Final Judgment and CIS

¹ Aside from the addition of Section V.F, there are no other changes to the proposed Final Judgment from the stipulated version submitted to the Court on April 24, 2014.

1 in the *Federal Register* on May 20, 2014, *see* 79 Fed. Reg. 28,949 (May 20, 2014). Summaries
 2 of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and CIS, together with directions for submission of
 3 written comments relating to the proposed Final Judgment, were also published in the San
 4 Francisco Chronicle, from May 14, 2014 through May 16, 2014 and May 19, 2014 through May
 5 22, 2014, and the Washington Post, from May 12, 2014 through May 16, 2014 and May 19, 2014
 6 through May 20, 2014. The 60-day public comment period ended on July 21, 2014. The United
 7 States did not receive any comments on the proposed Final Judgment. The Certificate of
 8 Compliance filed as Exhibit 2 to this Motion and Memorandum states that all the requirements of
 9 the APPA have been satisfied. It is now appropriate for the Court to make the public interest
 10 determination required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(e) and to enter the proposed Final Judgment.

11 The modification to the original stipulated proposed Final Judgment, requiring
 12 Bazaarvoice to appoint an antitrust compliance officer, does not require additional public notice
 13 and comment. The United States and the courts have concluded that notice to the public and an
 14 opportunity for comment are appropriate where significant decree modifications are proposed
 15 after the Final Judgment has been entered.² Here, however, the decree has not been entered and
 16 the modifications do not relieve Bazaarvoice of any of its obligations under the original proposed
 17 Final Judgment; rather, the proposed modifications only serve to effectuate the relief contained
 18 in the original Final Judgment by bolstering Bazaarvoice's compliance regime. The proposed
 19 modifications are therefore not significant decree modifications for the purpose of assessing the
 20 need for public comment, and no notice or public comment period is necessary for a
 21 determination that they are in the public interest.³

22
 23 ² *See United States v. AT&T*, 552 F. Supp. 131, 144-45 (D.D.C. 1982); *aff'd sub nom Maryland*
 24 *v. United States*, 460 U.S. 1001 (1983).

25 ³ In other antitrust consent decrees, courts have made non-material modifications of final
 26 judgments without requiring notice to the public and an opportunity for comments. *United States*
 27 *v. Microsoft*, Civil Action No. 98-1232 (D.D.C. September 7, 2006 and April 22, 2009); *United*
 28 *States v. Halliburton and Dresser Industries*, Civil Action No. 98-2340 (D.D.C. March 13,
 2000); *United States v. Tidewater, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 92-106 (D.D.C. October 7,
 1992); *United States v. Baker Hughes*, Civil Action No. 90-0825 (D.D.C. June 20, 1990).

IV. Standard of Judicial Review

Before entering the proposed Final Judgment, the APPA requires the Court to determine whether the proposed Final Judgment “is in the public interest.” 15 U.S.C. § 16 (e)(1). In making that determination, the Court may consider:

- A. the competitive impact of such judgment, including termination of alleged violations, provisions for enforcement and modification, duration of relief sought, anticipated effects of alternative remedies actually considered, whether its terms are ambiguous, and any other competitive considerations bearing upon the adequacy of such judgment that the court deems necessary to a determination of whether the consent judgment is in the public interest; and
- B. the impact of entry of such judgment upon competition in the relevant market or markets, upon the public generally and individuals alleging specific injury from the violations set forth in the complaint including consideration of the public benefit, if any, to be derived from a determination of the issues at trial.

15 U.S.C. § 16(e)(1)(A)-(B).

In its CIS, the United States set forth the legal standards for determining whether the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest under the APPA and now incorporates those statements by reference. The public has had the opportunity to comment on the proposed Final Judgment as required by the APPA. As explained in the CIS and this Motion, entry of the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest.

V. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth in this Motion and the CIS, the United States respectfully requests that the Court find that the proposed Final Judgment (as modified) is in the public interest and enter the proposed Final Judgment without further proceedings.

//

//

1 Dated: December 1, 2014

Respectfully submitted by:

2
3 /s/ Michael D. Bonanno

Michael D. Bonanno (DC Bar No. 998208)

United States Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 7100

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 532-4791

Facsimile: (202) 616-8544

E-mail: michael.bonanno@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America

EXHIBIT 1

Peter K. Huston (CA Bar No. 150058)
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6660
Facsimile: (415) 436-6687
E-mail: peter.huston@usdoj.gov

Michael D. Bonanno (DC Bar No. 998208)
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 7100
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 532-4791
Facsimile: (202) 616-8544
E-mail: michael.bonanno@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

BAZAARVOICE, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-00133 WHO

**THIRD AMENDED [PROPOSED]
FINAL JUDGMENT**

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

THIRD AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

1 Plaintiff United States of America filed its Complaint on January 10, 2013; Defendant
2 Bazaarvoice, Inc., filed its Answer on February 22, 2013, denying the substantive allegations in
3 the Complaint; this Court having conducted a full trial on all issues of liability and issued its
4 findings of fact and conclusions of law on January 8, 2014, holding that the acquisition of
5 PowerReviews by Bazaarvoice violated Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18; and

6 The United States and Defendant, by their respective attorneys, have consented to the
7 entry of this Final Judgment; and

8 Defendant agrees to be bound by the provisions of this Final Judgment pending its
9 approval by the Court; and

10 The essence of this Final Judgment is the prompt and certain divestiture of certain assets
11 and rights by Defendant to fully restore the competition eliminated by Bazaarvoice's unlawful
12 acquisition;

13 It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

14 **I. Jurisdiction**

15 This Court has personal jurisdiction over Bazaarvoice and subject matter jurisdiction
16 under Section 15 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 25.

17 **II. Definitions**

18 As used in this Final Judgment:

19 A. "Acquirer" means the entity to whom Defendant divests the Divestiture Assets.

20 B. "Bazaarvoice" or "Defendant" means Bazaarvoice, Inc., a Delaware corporation
21 with its headquarters in Austin, Texas, its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, divisions,
22 groups, affiliates, partnerships and joint ventures, and their directors, officers, managers, agents,
23 and employees.

24 C. "Divestiture Assets" means

25 1. All tangible and intangible assets that were acquired by Bazaarvoice when it
26 purchased the PowerReviews business on June 12, 2012, including:

27 i. All tangible assets that comprise the PowerReviews business, including
28 research and development activities; all personal property, inventory, materials, supplies, office

1 furniture, computer systems, and other tangible property and all assets used in connection with
2 the PowerReviews business; all licenses, permits and authorizations issued by any governmental
3 organization relating to the PowerReviews business; all contracts, teaming arrangements,
4 agreements, leases, commitments, certifications, and understandings, relating to the
5 PowerReviews business, including supply agreements; all customer lists, contracts, accounts, and
6 credit records; and all repair and performance records and all other records relating to the
7 PowerReviews business; and

8 ii. All intangible assets used in the development, production, servicing and
9 sale of the PowerReviews assets, including, but not limited to, all patents, licenses and
10 sublicenses, intellectual property, copyrights, trademarks, trade names, service marks, service
11 names, technical information, computer software and related documentation, know-how, trade
12 secrets, drawings, blueprints, designs, design protocols, specifications for materials,
13 specifications for parts and devices, safety procedures for the handling of materials and
14 substances, all research data concerning historic and current research and development relating
15 to the PowerReviews assets, quality assurance and control procedures, design tools and
16 simulation capability, all manuals and technical information Defendant provides to its own
17 employees, customers, suppliers, agents or licensees, and all research data concerning historic
18 and current research and development efforts relating to the PowerReviews assets, including, but
19 not limited to, designs of experiments, and the results of successful and unsuccessful designs and
20 experiments.

21 2. All tangible and intangible assets, as described above, that were acquired,
22 developed, designed, or produced for use with the PowerReviews assets described in II.C.1 since
23 June 12, 2012.

24 3. A license, for four (4) years, to sell Bazaarvoice's Syndication Services
25 product or service offering to customers of Acquirer as described in Section V.A.

26 4. All technology (whether software, hardware, or both), know-how (including
27 trade secrets), and other intellectual property rights necessary for Acquirer to provide access to
28 Bazaarvoice's Syndication Services to its customers.

5. A list of all of Defendant's customers that either (1) renewed a contract for the provision of a PRR Platform with Defendant since June 12, 2012, or (2) became a new customer of Defendant for a PRR Platform since June 12, 2012. Such list shall include the name of each such customer and the date on which the customer's contract expires and/or is up for renewal.

6. A list of each feature, improvement, upgrade or any other technology related to PRR Platforms that Defendant developed since June 12, 2012 for use with Bazaarvoice's PRR Platform(s).

D. "PowerReviews" means (1) PowerReviews, Inc., the company that was acquired by Bazaarvoice on June 12, 2012, and (2) all the assets formerly of PowerReviews, Inc.

E. "PowerReviews Enterprise Platform" means all PowerReviews PRR Platform products except for PowerReviews Express (also referred to as Bazaarvoice Express) products and the Buzzillions web product.

F. "PRR Platform" means the front-end and back-end technologies, including features such as moderation, syndication, and analytics, that enables the collection, organization, storage, use and display of user-generated product ratings and reviews and related content on a website.

G. "Transition Services Agreement" means an agreement between Defendant and Acquirer for Defendant to provide all necessary transition services and support to enable Acquirer to fully operate the Divestiture Assets and compete effectively in the market for providing PRR Platforms in the United States as of the date the Divestiture Assets are sold.

H. "Syndication Services" means the products and services currently provided by Bazaarvoice, and any successor thereto, that provide the ability to share product ratings and reviews and related content between two or more customers.

III. Applicability

A. This Final Judgment applies to Bazaarvoice as defined above, and all other persons in active concert or participation with it who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise.

1 current or former employee(s) of Defendant accepts an offer of employment with Acquirer
2 within six (6) months of the date of the sale of the Divestiture Assets, Defendant will not seek to
3 enforce any restrictions against or otherwise prohibit such employee(s) from using or disclosing
4 to the Acquirer any of Defendant's trade secrets, know-how or proprietary information related to
5 PowerReviews' or Defendant's PRR Platform technology in connection with the employee(s)'s
6 employment with Acquirer, nor will Defendant seek to impede or prohibit Acquirer's use of such
7 trade secrets, know-how or proprietary information. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent
8 Defendant from taking any appropriate legal action against any of Defendant's current or former
9 employees who (1) accept an offer of employment with Acquirer and (2) remove tangible
10 documents (whether in hard-copy or electronic form) or items from Bazaarvoice that contain
11 trade secrets, know-how or proprietary information.

12 D. Defendant shall permit prospective Acquirers of the Divestiture Assets to have
13 reasonable access to personnel and to make inspections of the physical facilities; and access to
14 any and all financial, operational, or other documents and information customarily provided as
15 part of a due diligence process.

16 E. Defendant shall warrant to Acquirer that each asset will be operational on the date
17 of sale.

18 F. Defendant shall not take any action that will impede in any way the permitting,
19 operation, or divestiture of the Divestiture Assets.

20 G. At the election of Acquirer, Defendant and Acquirer shall enter into a Transition
21 Services Agreement for a period up to one (1) year from the date of the divestiture. The
22 Transition Services Agreement shall enumerate all the duties and services that Acquirer requires
23 of Defendant. Defendant shall perform all duties and provide any and all services required of
24 Defendant under the Transition Services Agreement. Any amendments, modifications or
25 extensions of the Transition Services Agreement may only be entered into with the approval of
26 the Court.

27 H. After the sale of the Divestiture Assets until (1) the expiration of the current PRR
28 Platform contract or (2) one year from the date of the letter described in Section IV.I, whichever

1 is later, for any PRR Platform customer of Defendant that wishes to become a PRR Platform
 2 customer of Acquirer, Defendant shall waive any potential breach of contract claim related to the
 3 transfer of that customer from Defendant to Acquirer, notwithstanding any other agreement to
 4 the contrary.

5 I. Within three (3) calendar days of the date of the sale of the Divestiture Assets,
 6 Defendant shall send a letter to all persons who were customers of Defendant as of the date of
 7 the sale of the Divestiture Assets notifying the recipients of the divestiture and providing a copy
 8 of this Final Judgment. The letter shall also specifically inform customers of Defendant's
 9 obligations under Section IV.H of this Final Judgment. Acquirer shall have the option to include
 10 its own letter with Defendant's letter. Defendant shall provide the United States, and the
 11 Trustee, a copy of its letter at least three (3) calendar days before it is sent.

12 J. Unless the United States otherwise consents in writing, the divestiture pursuant to
 13 Section IV, or by Trustee appointed pursuant to Section VI, of this Final Judgment, shall include
 14 the entire Divestiture Assets, and shall be accomplished in such a way as to satisfy the United
 15 States, in its sole discretion, that the Divestiture Assets can and will be used by Acquirer as part
 16 of a viable, ongoing business of providing PRR Platforms in the United States. The divestiture,
 17 whether pursuant to Section IV or Section VI of this Final Judgment,

18 1. shall be made to an Acquirer that, in the United States' sole discretion, has
 19 the intent and capability (including the necessary managerial, operational, technical and financial
 20 capability) of competing effectively in the business of PRR Platforms; and

21 2. shall be accomplished so as to satisfy the United States, in its sole
 22 discretion, that none of the terms of any agreement between Acquirer and Defendant gives
 23 Defendant the ability unreasonably to raise Acquirer's costs, to lower Acquirer's efficiency, or
 24 otherwise to interfere in the ability of Acquirer to compete effectively.

25 V. Other Required Conduct

26 A. Defendant shall provide to Acquirer and Acquirer's customers access to
 27 Defendant's syndication network for four (4) years following the date of sale of the Divestiture
 28 Assets by:

2. Providing Syndication Services on non-discriminatory terms with respect to Defendant's and Acquirer's customers. For the avoidance of doubt, the following is a non-exhaustive list of terms for which Defendant may not discriminate:

- i. speed of content transmission;
- ii. server lag time and/or uptime;
- iii. alignment of product databases;
- iv. database synchronization;
- v. content presentation;
- vi. pricing to Defendant's customers based on syndication partner(s);
- vii. data fields transmitted or utilized; and
- viii. integration with Question and Answer products.

Nothing in this paragraph shall be interpreted to permit Acquirer's customers receiving Syndication Services from Defendant to violate any terms of service that are applicable to all of Defendant's customers receiving Syndication Services.

B. Defendant shall promptly notify the Trustee and the United States of all complaints, whether written or oral, it receives relating to Section V.A of this Final Judgment. The Trustee may conduct an investigation of any complaint and shall submit all findings from any such investigation to the United States and Defendant.

C. Defendant shall refrain from soliciting the customers acquired by Acquirer as part of the Divestiture Assets for six (6) months following the date of sale of the Divestiture Assets.

D. Defendant shall provide to Acquirer, at no cost to Acquirer, an irrevocable, fully paid-up perpetual and non-exclusive license to all Bazaarvoice patents and patent applications

related to PRR Platforms issued or filed at the time the Divestiture Assets are sold to Acquirer. Defendant shall not sue any PRR Platform customer of Acquirer for infringement of any patent or patent application issued or filed at the time the Divestiture Assets are sold relating to such customer's use of any PRR Platform or other Divestiture Asset provided by Acquirer.

E. Defendant is prohibited from retaining a copy of or offering for sale any of the Divestiture Assets described in Section II.C.1 and 2.

F. Defendant shall designate, within ninety (90) days of entry of this Final Judgment, an internal Compliance Officer who shall be an employee of Defendant with responsibility for administering Defendant's antitrust compliance program and helping to ensure compliance with this Final Judgment. The appointment of the Compliance Officer is subject to approval of the United States. The Compliance Officer shall supervise the review of Defendant's activities to ensure that they comply with this Final Judgment. . The Compliance Officer shall serve until four (4) years following the date of sale of the Divestiture Assets and during that term shall be responsible for performing the following activities:

1. within 15 days of being designated, distributing a copy of the Final Judgment to all officers and directors of Defendant and to all of Defendant's employees involved in providing or supervising the provision of Syndication Services or Transition Services to the Acquirer;
2. promptly distributing a copy of this Final Judgment to any person who succeeds to a position described in Section V.F.1 above;
3. ensuring that those persons designated in Section V.F.1 above are annually briefed on the meaning and requirements of this Final Judgment and the U.S. antitrust laws;
4. obtaining from each person designated in Section V.F.1 above an annual written certification that he or she: (i) has read and agrees to abide by the terms of this Final Judgment; (ii) has attended the briefing required by Section V.F.3 above; and (iii) has been advised and understands that his or her failure to comply with this Final Judgment may result in a finding of contempt of court;

5. maintaining a record of all persons to whom a copy of this Final Judgment has been distributed and from whom the certification described in Section V.F.4 above has been obtained;
6. communicating annually to Defendant's employees that they may disclose to the Compliance Officer, without reprisal, information concerning any potential violation of this Final Judgment or the antitrust laws;
7. taking appropriate action, within three business days of discovering or receiving credible information concerning an actual or potential violation of this Final Judgment, to terminate or modify Defendant's conduct to assure Defendant's compliance with this Final Judgment; and, within thirty (30) days of discovering or receiving such information, providing to the United States and to the Trustee a description of the actual or potential violation of this Final Judgment and the corrective actions taken; and
8. furnishing to the United States and the Trustee on a quarterly basis electronic copies of any non-privileged communications with any person containing allegations of Defendant's noncompliance with any provisions of this Final Judgment or the antitrust laws.

VI. Appointment of Trustee

A. Upon application of the United States, the Court shall appoint a Trustee selected by the United States and approved by the Court to monitor Defendant's compliance with the obligations set forth in this Final Judgment, and, if necessary, effect the sale of the Divestiture Assets.

B. If Defendant has not sold the Divestiture Assets during the period set forth in Section IV.A, only the Trustee shall have the right to sell the Divestiture Assets. The Trustee shall have the power and authority to accomplish the divestiture to an Acquirer acceptable to the United States at such price and on such terms as are then obtainable upon reasonable effort by the Trustee, subject to the provisions of Sections IV, V, VI, and VII of this Final Judgment, and shall have such other powers as this Court deems appropriate. Subject to Section VI.D of this

1 Final Judgment, the Trustee may hire at the cost and expense of Defendant any investment
2 bankers, attorneys, or other agents, who shall be solely accountable to the Trustee, reasonably
3 necessary in the Trustee's judgment to assist in the divestiture and performance of the other
4 duties required of the Trustee by this Final Judgment. The Trustee shall provide notice to the
5 United States and Defendant of all persons hired by the Trustee, and the terms of such persons'
6 compensation, within one (1) day of hiring.

7 C. Defendant shall not object to a sale by the Trustee on any ground other than the
8 Trustee's malfeasance. Any such objections by Defendant must be conveyed in writing to the
9 United States and the Trustee within ten (10) calendar days after the Trustee has provided the
10 notice required under Section VII.

11 D. The Trustee shall serve at the cost and expense of Defendant, on such terms and
12 conditions as the United States approves, and shall account for all monies derived from the sale
13 of the assets sold by the Trustee and all costs and expenses so incurred. After approval by the
14 Court of the Trustee's accounting, including any remaining fees for its services and those of any
15 professionals and agents retained by the Trustee, all remaining money shall be paid to Defendant.
16 The compensation of the Trustee and any professionals and agents retained by the Trustee shall
17 be on reasonable and customary terms. With respect to work performed pertaining to the
18 divestiture, incentives based on the price and terms of the divestiture and the speed with which it
19 is accomplished may be provided. If the Trustee and Defendant are unable to reach agreement
20 on the Trustee's or any agents' or consultants' compensation or other terms and conditions of
21 engagement within fourteen (14) calendar days of appointment of the Trustee, the United States
22 may, in its sole discretion, take appropriate action, including making a recommendation to the
23 Court.

24 E. Defendant shall use its best efforts to assist the Trustee in accomplishing the
25 required divestiture and performing the other duties required of the Trustee by this Final
26 Judgment. The Trustee and any consultants, accountants, attorneys, and other persons retained
27 by the Trustee shall have full and complete access to the personnel, books, records, and facilities
28 of Defendant, and Defendant shall develop financial and other information from Defendant as

1 the Trustee may reasonably request, subject to reasonable protection for trade secret or other
2 confidential research, development, or commercial information. Defendant shall take no action
3 to interfere with or to impede the Trustee's accomplishment of the divestiture or any other duties
4 outlined in this Final Judgment.

5 F. After appointment, the Trustee shall file monthly reports with the United States,
6 Defendant, and the Court setting forth the Trustee's efforts to accomplish the divestiture ordered
7 under this Final Judgment, and Defendant's compliance with the other terms of this Final
8 Judgment. To the extent such reports contain confidential or highly confidential information
9 under the Protective Order, such reports shall not be filed in the public docket of the Court. Such
10 reports shall include the name, address, and telephone number of each person who, during the
11 preceding month, made an offer to acquire, expressed an interest in acquiring, entered into
12 negotiations to acquire, or was contacted or made an inquiry about acquiring, any interest in the
13 Divestiture Assets, and shall describe in detail each contact with any such person. The Trustee
14 shall maintain full records of all efforts made to divest the Divestiture Assets.

15 G. If the Trustee has not accomplished the divestiture ordered under this Final
16 Judgment within six (6) months after appointment, the Trustee shall promptly file with the Court
17 a report setting forth (1) the Trustee's efforts to accomplish the required divestiture, (2) the
18 reasons, in the Trustee's judgment, why the required divestiture has not been accomplished, and
19 (3) the Trustee's recommendations. To the extent such reports contain confidential or highly
20 confidential information under the Protective Order, such reports shall not be filed in the public
21 docket of the Court. The Trustee shall at the same time furnish such report to the United States
22 which shall have the right to make additional recommendations consistent with the purpose of
23 the Final Judgment. The Court thereafter shall enter such orders as it deems appropriate to carry
24 out the purpose of the Final Judgment.

25 H. The Trustee shall serve until four (4) years following the date of sale of the
26 Divestiture Assets.

1 I. If the United States determines that the Trustee has ceased to act or failed to act
2 diligently or in a reasonably cost-effective manner, it may recommend the Court appoint a
3 substitute Trustee.

4 **VII. Notice and Court Approval of Proposed Divestiture**

5 A. Within one (1) calendar day following execution of a definitive divestiture
6 agreement, Defendant or the Trustee, whichever is then responsible for effecting the divestiture
7 required herein, shall notify the United States and the Court of any proposed divestiture required
8 by Section IV or VI of this Final Judgment. If the Trustee is responsible, the Trustee shall
9 similarly notify Defendant; if Defendant is responsible, it shall similarly notify the Trustee. The
10 notice shall set forth the details of the proposed divestiture and list the name, address, and
11 telephone number of each person not previously identified who offered or expressed an interest
12 in or desire to acquire any ownership interest in the Divestiture Assets, together with full details
13 of the same.

14 B. Within three (3) calendar days of receipt by the United States of such notice, the
15 United States may request from Defendant, the proposed Acquirer, any other third party, or the
16 Trustee, if applicable, additional information concerning the proposed divestiture, the proposed
17 Acquirer, and any other potential Acquirer. Defendant and the Trustee shall furnish any
18 additional information requested within five (5) calendar days of the receipt of the request,
19 unless the parties shall otherwise agree.

20 C. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days after receipt of the notice or within fifteen
21 (15) calendar days after the United States has been provided the additional information requested
22 from Defendant, the proposed Acquirer, any third party, and the Trustee, whichever is later, the
23 United States shall provide written notice to Defendant and the Trustee stating whether or not it
24 objects to the proposed divestiture. If the United States provides written notice that it does not
25 object, the divestiture may be consummated, subject only to Defendant's limited right to object
26 to the sale under Section VI.C of this Final Judgment. Absent written notice that the United
27 States does not object to the proposed Acquirer or upon objection by the United States, a
28 divestiture proposed under Section IV or Section VI shall not be consummated. Upon objection

1 by Defendant under Section VI.C, a divestiture proposed under Section VI shall not be
2 consummated unless approved by the Court.

3 **VIII. Financing**

4 Defendant shall not finance all or any part of any purchase made pursuant to Section IV
5 or VI of this Final Judgment.

6 **IX. Affidavits**

7 A. Within twenty (20) calendar days of the entry of this Final Judgment, and every
8 thirty (30) calendar days thereafter until the divestiture has been completed under Section IV or
9 VI, Defendant shall deliver to the United States an affidavit as to the fact and manner of its
10 compliance with Section IV or VI of this Final Judgment. Each such affidavit shall include the
11 name, address, and telephone number of each person who, during the preceding thirty (30)
12 calendar days, made an offer to acquire, expressed an interest in acquiring, entered into
13 negotiations to acquire, or was contacted or made an inquiry about acquiring, any interest in the
14 Divestiture Assets, and shall describe in detail each contact with any such person during that
15 period. Each such affidavit shall also include a description of the efforts Defendant has taken to
16 solicit buyers for the Divestiture Assets, and to provide required information to prospective
17 Acquirers, including the limitations, if any, on such information.

18 B. Within twenty (20) calendar days of the date of the sale of the Divestiture Assets,
19 Defendant shall deliver to the United States an affidavit that describes in reasonable detail all
20 actions Defendant has taken and all steps Defendant has implemented on an ongoing basis to
21 comply with Section V of this Final Judgment. Defendant shall deliver to the United States an
22 affidavit describing any changes to the efforts and actions outlined in Defendant's earlier
23 affidavits filed pursuant to this section within fifteen (15) calendar days after the change is
24 implemented.

25 C. Defendant shall keep all records of all efforts made to preserve and divest the
26 Divestiture Assets until one year after such divestiture has been completed.

X. Compliance Inspection

A. For the purposes of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, or of any related order, or of determining whether the Final Judgment should be modified or vacated, and subject to any legally recognized privilege, from time to time authorized representatives of the United States Department of Justice, including consultants and other persons retained by the United States, shall, upon written request of an authorized representative of the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to Defendant, be permitted:

1. Access during Defendant's office hours to inspect and copy, or at the option of the United States, to require Defendant to provide hard copy or electronic copies of, all books, ledgers, accounts, records, data, and documents in the possession, custody, or control of Defendant, relating to any matters contained in this Final Judgment; and

2. To interview, either informally or on the record, Defendant's officers, employees, or agents, who may have their individual counsel present, regarding such matters. The interviews shall be subject to the reasonable convenience of the interviewee and without restraint or interference by Defendant.

B. Upon the written request of an authorized representative of the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, Defendant shall submit written reports or respond to written interrogatories, under oath if requested, relating to any of the matters contained in this Final Judgment as may be requested.

C. If at the time information or documents are furnished by Defendant to the United States, Defendant represents and identifies in writing the material in any such information or documents to which a claim of protection may be asserted under the Protective Order, then the United States shall give Defendant ten (10) calendar days notice prior to divulging such material in any legal proceeding (other than a grand jury proceeding).

XI. Notification

A. Unless such transaction is otherwise subject to the reporting and waiting period requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, 15

1 U.S.C. § 18a (the “HSR Act”), Defendant, without providing advance notification to the
 2 Antitrust Division, shall not directly or indirectly acquire any assets of or any interest, including
 3 any financial, security, loan, equity or management interest, in a person providing PRR
 4 Platforms in the United States during the term of this Final Judgment if the purchase price of
 5 such assets or interest exceeds \$10,000,000.

6 B. Such notification shall be provided to the Antitrust Division in the same format
 7 as, and per the instructions relating to the Notification and Report Form set forth in the Appendix
 8 to Part 803 of Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations as amended, except that the
 9 information requested in Items 5 through 9 of the instructions must be provided only about PRR
 10 Platforms. Notification shall be provided at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to acquiring any
 11 such interest, and shall include, beyond what may be required by the applicable instructions, the
 12 names of the principal representatives of the parties to the agreement who negotiated the
 13 agreement, and any management or strategic plans discussing the proposed transaction. If within
 14 the 30-day period after notification, representatives of the Antitrust Division make a written
 15 request for additional information, Defendant shall not consummate the proposed transaction or
 16 agreement until thirty (30) calendar days after submitting all such additional information. Early
 17 termination of the waiting periods in this paragraph may be requested and, where appropriate,
 18 granted in the same manner as is applicable under the requirements and provisions of the HSR
 19 Act and rules promulgated thereunder. This Section shall be broadly construed and any
 20 ambiguity or uncertainty regarding the filing of notice under this Section shall be resolved in
 21 favor of filing notice.

22 **XII. No Reacquisition**

23 Defendant may not reacquire any part of the Divestiture Assets during the term of this
 24 Final Judgment.

25 **XIII. Retention of Jurisdiction**

26 This Court retains jurisdiction to enable any party to this Final Judgment to apply to this
 27 Court at any time for further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate to carry
 28

1 out or construe this Final Judgment, to modify any of its provisions, to enforce compliance, and
2 to punish violations of its provisions.

3 **XIV. Expiration of Final Judgment**

4 Unless this Court grants an extension, this Final Judgment shall expire ten years from the
5 date of its entry.

6 **XV. Public Interest Determination**

7 Entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest. The parties have complied with the
8 requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16, including making
9 copies available to the public of this Final Judgment, the Competitive Impact Statement, and any
10 comments thereon and the United States' responses to comments. Based upon the record before
11 the Court, which includes the Competitive Impact Statement and any comments and response to
12 comments filed with the Court, entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest.

13
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 Dated: _____

17
18 _____
19 HON. WILLIAM H. ORRICK
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 2

Peter K. Huston (CA Bar No. 150058)
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6660
Facsimile: (415) 436-6687
E-mail: peter.huston@usdoj.gov

Michael D. Bonanno (DC Bar No. 998208)
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 7100
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 532-4791
Facsimile: (202) 616-8544
E-mail: michael.bonanno@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

BAZAARVOICE, INC.
Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-00133 WHO

**AFFIDAVIT AND CERTIFICATE OF
COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS
OF THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES
AND PENALTIES ACT**

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

AFFIDAVIT AND CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Plaintiff United States of America, through its undersigned counsel, certifies that it has complied with the provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), and hereby declares:

1. On April 24, 2014, the United States filed the proposed Final Judgment with the Court.
2. On May 8, 2014, the United States filed its Competitive Impact Statement with the Court.

3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the *Federal Register* on May 20, 2014. *See* 79 Fed. Reg. 28,949 (May 20, 2014).
4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c), a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the San Francisco Chronicle from May 14, 2014 through May 16, 2014 and May 19, 2014 through May 22, 2014, and the Washington Post from May 12, 2014 through May 16, 2014 and May 19, 2014 through May 20, 2014.
5. On December 1, 2014, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(g), Bazaarvoice filed with the Court a description of communications by or on behalf of Bazaarvoice with any officer or employee of the United States concerning or relevant to the proposed Final Judgment, and filed with the Court on December 1, 2014, a certification of compliance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 16(g).
6. The sixty-day comment period specified in 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) commenced on May 22, 2014, and ended on July 21, 2014.
7. The United States did not receive any public comments on the proposed Final Judgment.
8. Bazaarvoice has consented to modifications to the proposed Final Judgment which require Bazaarvoice to appoint an internal compliance monitor to oversee the company's efforts to comply with the Final Judgment and the antitrust laws.
9. Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order entered by the Court on April 25, 2014, and 15 U.S.C. § 16(e), the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after it has determined that the proposed Final Judgment serves the public interest.
10. The United States' Competitive Impact Statement and the United States' Motion for Entry of the Final Judgment demonstrate that the proposed Final Judgment satisfies the public interest standard of 15 U.S.C. § 16(e).
11. The parties have now satisfied all the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), as a condition for entering the proposed

1 Final Judgment, and it is now appropriate for the Court to make the necessary
2 public interest determination required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(e) and to enter the
3 proposed Final Judgment.
4

5 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
6

7 Dated: December 1, 2014

Respectfully submitted:

8
9 /s/ Michael D. Bonanno

10 Michael D. Bonanno (DC Bar No. 998208)
11 United States Department of Justice
12 Antitrust Division
13 450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 7100
14 Washington, DC 20530
15 Telephone: (202) 532-4791
16 Facsimile: (202) 616-8544
17 E-mail: michael.bonanno@usdoj.gov

18 Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28