## In the Supreme Court of the United States

SANDRA YAMILETH ESPINAL-ANDRADES, PETITIONER

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RESPONDENT

DONALD B. VERRILLI, JR. Solicitor General Counsel of Record BENJAMIN C. MIZER Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DONALD E. KEENER W. MANNING EVANS Attorneys

Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530-0001 SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov (202) 514-2217

## In the Supreme Court of the United States

No. 14-1268

SANDRA YAMILETH ESPINAL-ANDRADES, PETITIONER

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RESPONDENT

Petitioner contends (Pet. 11-17) that her Maryland arson conviction is not an aggravated felony under a portion of the aggravated-felony definition in the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq., that reaches convictions for any "offense described in" 18 U.S.C. 844(i), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(43)(E), because Maryland's arson statute lacks the jurisdictional element in the federal arson statute, 18 U.S.C. 844(i). On June 29, 2015, this Court granted review in Torres v. Lunch, No. 14-1096, in which the petitioner also contends that a state arson conviction does not qualify as an aggravated felony under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(43)(E) because the state statute of conviction lacks the jurisdictional element in 18 U.S.C. 844(i). The Court's decision in *Torres* is likely to shed light on whether petitioner's arson conviction qualifies as

(1)

an aggravated felony under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(43)(E). Accordingly, the Court should hold the petition in this case pending the disposition of *Torres* and then dispose of this case as appropriate in light of the *Torres* decision.<sup>\*</sup>

Respectfully submitted.

DONALD B. VERRILLI, JR. Solicitor General BENJAMIN C. MIZER Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DONALD E. KEENER W. MANNING EVANS Attorneys

JULY 2015

<sup>\*</sup> The government waives any further response unless ordered by the Court.