

AS:jh

APR 14 1976

cc: Files
Gauf ✓
Tulos
Scalia

Douglas R. Marvin
Counselor to the Attorney General

Antonin Scalia
Assistant Attorney General
Office of Legal Counsel

CIA Counterintelligence Activity

*20 Marvin
4/14/76*

I have no strong objection to the attached proposal, but would prefer two changes:

1. I see no reason why the turning of the matter over to the FBI at an appropriate point should not be a condition. In my view that does not necessarily establish an ironclad rule for the future, but if it does, then presumably with "non-proviso" language does as well--and I think the former is probably preferable. If this suggestion is not adopted, I would at least not wave our arms about to draw attention to the fact that it is not a condition. That is, the last sentence of the letter from the Attorney General should be modified to delete the first clause.

2. Although the memorandum to the Attorney General refers to the understanding that the project will be turned over to the FBI, "once contact has been made with the foreign espionage apparatus," the letter from the Attorney General uses the phrase "sufficient contact." I am not sure what this means, and would like to see it spelled out.

Attachment

SECRET

MATERIAL ATTACHED.
WHEN SEPARATED FROM CLASSIFIED
ATTACHMENT, THIS DOCUMENT IS
UNCLASSIFIED.