United States District Court

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

ROBERT JACOBSEN,

٧.

CR 15

518

Rs

DEFENDANT(S).

INDICTMENT

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; Title 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity; Title18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture

A true bill.
Foreman
Filed in open court this day of
November 2015
Clerk
Bail, \$ no bad a Inst warral

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) 1 Acting United States Attorney 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff, TIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions 13 V. in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity; 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) & 28 U.S.C. 14 ROBERT JACOBSEN, § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture 15 Defendant. SAN FRANCISCO VENUE 16 17 INDICTMENT The Grand Jury charges: 18 19 Introductory Allegations 20 At all times relevant to this Indictment: 1. 21 Defendant Robert JACOBSEN resided in California. 2. "American Home Mortgage Corporation" ("AHMC") was a subsidiary of "American 22 Home Mortgage Investment Corporation" ("AHMIC"). AHMC was incorporated in New York. 23 AHMC conducted business under various names, including "American Brokers Conduit" ("ABC"). 24 Under the name ABC, AHMC operated, among other places, in the Northern District of California. As 25 ABC, AHMC originated residential mortgage loans secured by liens on real property. These liens were 26 recorded in the county recorder offices of the counties in which the real property was located. 27 AHMC/ABC went bankrupt in 2007. In many cases, both before and after the bankruptcy, other 28

INDICTMENT

financial institutions purchased loans originated by AHMC/ABC, along with the liens that secured these loans.

- 3. "American Brokers Conduit Corporation" ("ABC Corp.") was a company controlled by JACOBSEN. It was incorporated in New York. It had no legal relationship with ABC, AHMC, or AHMIC. ABC Corp. did not originate mortgage loans, nor did it own loans originated by other mortgage servicers.
- 4. Bank of America, Chase Bank, and Umpqua Bank were financial institutions, as that term is defined in Title 31, United States Code, Section 5312, the deposits of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
- 5. Travis Credit Union was a financial institution, as that term is defined in Title 31, United States Code, Section 5312, the deposits of which were insured by the National Credit Union Administration.
- 6. Belize Bank International LTD ("Belize Bank International") was a foreign bank, as that term is defined in Title 12, United States Code, Section 3101.

The Scheme to Defraud

- 7. Beginning at a date unknown, but no later than October 2012, and continuing through a date unknown but at least as late as October 2013, JACOBSEN knowingly devised, intended to devise, and carried out a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by omissions and concealment of material facts, which scheme is described further below.
- 8. As part of the scheme to defraud, JACOBSEN obtained title to homes that secured mortgage loans that had been originated by ABC, including at least one home located in San Francisco, California, and another located in Danville, California. The owners of these homes still owed substantial amounts of money on their mortgage loans. JACOBSEN obtained title to these homes by representing to the homeowners or their representatives that the mortgage lien on their home was invalid and that JACOBSEN would, after obtaining title to the home from them, remove the lien and then sell the home at market value, while making some payment to the original home owner.
- 9. JACOBSEN placed title to these homes in the name of shell companies that he controlled INDICTMENT 2

directly or through others.

10. JACOBSEN initiated fraudulent lawsuits designed to give the appearance that the mortgage liens on the homes to which he had obtained title were invalid. To accomplish these fraudulent lawsuits, he hired an attorney, N.B., to sue ABC Corp., the company that he had created and controlled, and directly or through N.B., falsely claimed that the mortgage liens on the homes were invalid. JACOBSEN, directly or through an individual named P.T., hired attorneys to represent ABC Corp. in these lawsuits. At JACOBSEN's direction, ABC Corp. agreed to stipulated judgments purporting to invalidate the mortgage liens on these homes that had been originated by the real ABC. These judgments were signed by all parties and approved by the courts overseeing the lawsuits. JACOBSEN, directly or through the attorneys, fraudulently omitted to inform the courts that the ABC Corp. that was a party to the lawsuit had not actually originated the mortgages on the homes, nor did it own or have any legal interest in the mortgages on the homes. JACOBSEN also fraudulently omitted to inform the courts that he controlled the entities on both sides of the lawsuits.

- 11. JACOBSEN, directly or through others, recorded the fraudulently obtained stipulated judgments from these lawsuits with the county recorder's offices of the counties in which the homes were located, thus falsely giving the appearance that the mortgage liens on the homes had been invalidated.
- 12. JACOBSEN then sold the homes. As a result of JACOBSEN's fraudulent lawsuits, title reports on the homes showed that the liens that had been recorded by ABC were no longer valid, and thus purchasers of the homes were not aware that there were still liens on the homes securing substantial mortgage loans. In selling the homes, JACOBSEN fraudulently omitted to inform the buyers of the homes that there were still liens on the homes securing substantial mortgage loans. JACOBSEN kept the majority of the proceeds from the sale of the homes for himself, after paying fees to the attorneys, real estate agents, and others who had aided in the purchases, lawsuits, and sales involving the homes. He did not pay back the loans that had been originated by ABC. Funds obtained through the fraudulent scheme were funneled through banks that received funding from the United States Department of the Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP").
- 13. JACOBSEN concealed and hid, and caused to be concealed and hidden, the acts done and INDICTMENT 3

the purpose of the acts done in furtherance of the scheme, in part by: 1 2 a) using various nominees and nominee corporate entities to purchase and sell the homes, 3 and thus serve as plaintiffs in his fraudulently orchestrated lawsuits. using other individuals to incorporate and send emails on behalf of ABC Corp.; 4 b) concealing from the attorneys, real estate agents, home owners, judicial officers, and 5 c) other individuals that he controlled the entities on both sides of the fraudulent lawsuits, and that 6 7 the ABC Corp. that was a defendant in the lawsuits had not actually originated the loans; and 8 d) transferring the proceeds of the fraud through the bank accounts of several entities and 9 through a bank account in Belize to obscure the source of the funds. COUNTS ONE THROUGH THIRTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud) 10 14. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 13 are re-alleged and incorporated herein 11 as if set forth in full. 12 13 15. Beginning at a date unknown, but no later than October 2012, and continuing through a date unknown but at least as late as October 2013, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, 14 the defendant, 15 16 ROBERT JACOBSEN, did knowingly and with the intent to defraud devise and execute, and attempt to execute, a material 17 scheme and artifice to defraud, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, 18 and promises, and by omissions and concealment of material facts. 19 20 // 21 11 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

4

INDICTMENT

Execution of the Scheme

16. On or about the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice referred to above, and attempting to do so, the defendant,

ROBERT JACOBSEN,

did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted the following writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds in interstate commerce by means of wire, radio, and television communications:

Count	DATE	ITEM WIRED	
1	10/9/2012	Email from JACOBSEN to P.T.	
2	11/6/2012	Email from P.T. to JACOBSEN	
3	11/7/2012	Email from P.T. to attorney A.B.	
4	11/12/2012	Email from attorney A.B. to P.T.	
5	12/27/2012	"Substitution of Trustee and Deed of Reconveyance" recorded by CeRTNA electronic recording system, re-conveying lien from Altec Properties on property in Danville, California	
6	12/27/2012	"Grant Deed" recorded by CeRTNA electronic recording system, conveying property in Danville, California from CB Equities to Windeler Development	
7	12/27/2012	Wire transfer of \$463,000 from Old Republic Title Company to Altec Properties	
8	9/23/2013	Wire transfer of \$140,582.41 from North American Title Company to Bay Ridge Properties	
9	9/25/2013	Wire transfer of \$10,000 From Bay Ridge Properties to G.V.	
10	9/25/2013	Wire transfer of \$5,000 from Bay Ridge Properties to R.F.	
11	10/10/2013	Wire transfer of \$1,052,876.71 from North American Title Company to Bay Ridge Properties	
12	10/11/2013	Wire transfer of \$56,000 from Bay Ridge Properties to G.V.	
13	10/11/2013	Wire transfer of \$30,000 from Bay Ridge Properties to R.F.	

Each in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

COUNTS FOURTEEN THROUGH TWENTY-TWO:

(18 U.S.C. § 1957) – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity)

- 17. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 16 are re-alleged and incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 18. Among other transactions, on the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

ROBERT JACOBSEN,

did knowingly engage in a monetary transaction by and through a financial institution, in and affecting INDICTMENT 5

3	COUNT	DATE	FINANCIAL TRANSACTION
4	14	12/28/2012	Cashier's check of \$450,000 deposited into JACOBSEN's Travis
7			Credit Union account ending in -64-50
اا ہے	15	7/19/2013	Wire of \$40,000 from JACOBSEN's Travis Credit Union account
5			ending in -64-50 to a Belize Bank International account ending in -7648
6	16	8/12/2013	Wire of \$209,000 from JACOBSEN's Travis Credit Union account
7			ending in -64-50 to a Belize Bank International account ending in
, II			-7648
8	17	10/16/2013	Wire of \$850,000 from Bay Ridge Properties' Bank of America
0			account ending in -2791 to a Belize Bank International account ending
			in -7648
9	18	11/7/2013	Wire of \$96,000 from Bay Ridge Properties' Bank of America account
10			ending in -2791 to a Belize Bank International account ending in -7648
10	19	11/21/2013	Wire of \$40,000 from a Belize Bank International account ending in
11			-7648 to an Umpqua Bank account ending in -6047
11	20	3/25/2014	Wire of \$600,000 from a Belize Bank International account ending in
12			-7648 to an Umpqua Bank account ending in -6047
12	21	6/20/2014	Wire of \$118,000 from an Umpqua Bank account ending in -6047 to
13			"Quality Power & Sail"
13	22	7/2/2014	Deposit of \$436,037.28 into JACOBSEN's Travis Credit Union
14			account ending in -64-50
* '			90 April 190 Apr

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a), & 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture)

- 19. All of the allegations contained in this Indictment are re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
- 20. Upon a conviction for the offenses alleged in Counts One through Twenty-Two of this Indictment, the defendant,

ROBERT JACOBSEN,

shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) all property constituting, and derived from, proceeds the defendant obtained directly and indirectly, as the result of those violations.

- 21. If any of the aforementioned property, as a result of any act or omission of defendant –
- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

-1	b.	has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;					
2	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;						
3	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or						
4	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty;						
5	any and all interest the defendant has in other property shall be vested in the United States and						
6	forfeited to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, as incorporated by 18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1).						
7	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), Title 28, United States Code,						
8	Section 2461(c), and Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.						
9	DATED:	A TRUE BILL					
10	man.	5,2015					
11							
12		FOREPERSON					
13	BRIAN J. ST.						
14	Acting United States Attorney						
15	Tave	Malley e					
(6	DAVID R. CALLAWAY Chief, Criminal Division						
17							
18	55	117/					
19	(Approved as to form: AUSA KINGSLEY						
20	AUSA KINGSLEY						
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

INDICTMENT

United States District Court Northern District of California

CRIMINAL COVER SHEET 1: 42

SUSAN Y. SOONG
CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT
Instructions: Effective January 3, 2012, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be completed and submitted, along with the Defendant Information Form, for each new criminal case. Case Name: USA v. ROBERT JACOBSEN Is This Case Under Seal? **Total Number of Defendants:** 8 or more No Does this case involve ONLY charges under 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 1326? Yes No Venue (Per Crim. L.R. 18-1): SJ EUR MON OAK Is any defendant charged with a death-penalty-eligible crime? Assigned AUSA (Lead Attorney): Benjamin Kingsley Yes No Is this a RICO Act gang case? **Date Submitted:** No Yes Comments:

Clear Form

Print

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Cocation
SUPERSEDIA	Traine of District Court, and/or sudge/wagistrates_ocasin
OFFENSE CHARGED	SAN FRANCISCO MUSICA
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity; 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461 Mino	Ci - Sile : File
(c) – Criminal Forfeiture	100 016-300ND
mean	4/3/ a//// 0-
PENALTY: SEE ATTACHED FOR PENALTIES	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
	CR 15 518
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) X If not detained give date any prior
Federal Bureau of Investigation	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form BRIAN STRETCH	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
□ U.S. Attorney □ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Benjamin Kingsley	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
PROCESS:	
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount: no bail
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	arrangilinent
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

PENALTY SHEET ATTACHMENT

Counts One through Thirteen:

18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Maximum penalties: 20 years of imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 1343)

\$250,000 fine or twice gross gain or loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571(b)(3))

3 years of supervised release (18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

Counts Fourteen through Twenty-Two:

18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions

in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity

Maximum penalties: 10 years of imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 1957(b)(1))

\$250,000 fine or twice value of criminally derived property

involved in transaction (18 U.S.C. §§ 1957(b)(2) 3571(b)(3))

3 years of supervised release (18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)