

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

DUSTAN SETNER

No.

Violations: Title 18, United States
Code, Sections 2252A(a)(1) and
2252A(a)(5)(B)

COUNT ONE

The SPECIAL JULY 2018 GRAND JURY charges:

From on or about September 27, 2016, to on or about September 28, 2016, in
the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere,

DUSTAN SETNER,

defendant herein, did knowingly transport and cause to be transported child
pornography, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2256(8)(A), including
an image file entitled “pthc_ptsc_9yo jenny in nylons and collar tied up.jpg,” using a
means and facility of interstate commerce, and in and affecting interstate commerce
by any means, including by computer;

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(a)(1).

COUNT TWO

The SPECIAL JULY 2018 GRAND JURY further charges:

On or about November 6, 2016, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere,

DUSTAN SETNER,

defendant herein, did knowingly transport and cause to be transported child pornography, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2256(8)(A), including a video file entitled "Baby.avi," using a means and facility of interstate commerce, and in and affecting interstate commerce by any means, including by computer;

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(a)(1).

COUNT THREE

The SPECIAL JULY 2018 GRAND JURY further charges:

From on or about January 1, 2017, to on or about January 10, 2017, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere,

DUSTAN SETNER,

defendant herein, did knowingly transport and cause to be transported child pornography, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2256(8)(A), including a video file entitled “young girl lolita pedo fuck brother.avi,” using a means and facility of interstate commerce, and in and affecting interstate commerce by any means, including by computer;

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(a)(1).

COUNT FOUR

The SPECIAL JULY 2018 GRAND JURY further charges:

On or about March 9, 2017, at Rosemont, in the Northern District of Illinois,
Eastern Division,

DUSTAN SETNER,

defendant herein, knowingly possessed material, namely a Seagate external hard drive, bearing serial number NA5K2P62, and a Cyberton, XPredator-X1 model, desktop computer tower containing a Seagate 3000 GB internal hard drive, bearing serial number W1F1MC44, that contained an image of child pornography, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2256(8)(A), involving a prepubescent minor and a minor who had not attained 12 years of age, such image having been shipped and transported using any means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, and such image having been produced using materials that had been shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including by computer;

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A(a)(5)(B).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

The SPECIAL JULY 2018 GRAND JURY further alleges:

1. Upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A as set forth in this Indictment, defendant shall forfeit to the United States of America any matter which contains visual depictions described in Title 18, United States Code, Section 2252A; any property constituting and traceable to gross profits and other proceeds obtained from such offense; and any property used and intended to be used to commit and to promote the commission of such offense, and any property traceable to such property, as provided by Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253(a).

2. The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to the following specific property, a Seagate external hard drive, bearing serial number NA5K2P62, and a Cyberton, XPredator-X1 model, desktop computer tower containing a Seagate 3000 GB internal hard drive, bearing serial number W1F1MC44.

3. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission by a defendant: cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; has been substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property, as provided by Title 21, United States Code Section 853(p).

A TRUE BILL:

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY