#### Case 1:21

FILED /21 Page 1 þf 7<sub>Feb 25, 2021</sub> CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 McGREGOR W. S United States Attorney ALEXANDRE M. DEMPSEY JOSEPH D. BARTON Assistant United States Attorneys 3 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 United States of America 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 Case No. 1:21-cr-00054-DAD-BAM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Plaintiff. 12 Commit Mail Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1) -Aggravated Identity Theft (Two Counts); 18 U.S.C. V. 13 § 981(a)(1)(C), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(C) – Criminal Forfeiture JASON VERTZ, and ALANA POWERS. 14 **Under Seal** Defendants. 15 16 17 INDICTMENT 18 COUNT ONE: [18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud] 19 The Grand Jury charges: 20 JASON VERTZ and 21 ALANA POWERS, defendants herein, as follows: 22 INTRODUCTION 23 At all times relevant to this Indictment, defendant ALANA POWERS was an inmate 24 incarcerated at the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Central California 25 Women's Facility ("CCWF"), which is located in Chowchilla, California, in the State and Eastern 26 District of California. Defendant JASON VERTZ was living in Fresno California, in the State and 27 Eastern District of California. 28

## Case 1:21-cr-00054-DAD-BAM Document 1 Filed 02/25/21 Page 2 of 7

1 2

# means with each other while POWERS was incarcerated.

3

2.

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

# BACKGROUND ON CALIFORNIA'S UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE SYSTEM

Defendants VERTZ and POWERS regularly communicated through email and telephonic

- The Social Security Act of 1935 established the Federal and State Unemployment Insurance System ("UI"), which provides benefits to persons out of work through no fault of their own. The California Employment Development Department ("California EDD") administers UI for the State of California and the United States. UI benefits payments are funded by a combination of federal and California state funds.
- 4. Before March 2020, only individuals who were wage earners were eligible to receive UI benefits. Individuals who were self-employed, independent contractors, business owners, and part-time workers were ineligible. The amount of weekly UI benefits paid to an individual was based on his or her having worked and earned at least a certain amount of wages over a prescribed period and ranged from \$40 to \$450 per week for up to twenty-six weeks.
- 5. In or around March 2020, the Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security ("CARES") Act was signed into law, Pub. L. 116-136. The CARES Act made significant changes to UI benefits that included:
- Making individuals who were self-employed, independent contractors, business a. owners, and part-time workers eligible to receive UI benefits;
- b. Extending UI benefits for thirteen weeks beyond the standard twenty-six week period, for a total benefit period of thirty-nine weeks. Subsequent legislative changes extended the benefit period for another seven weeks for a new total benefit period of forty-six weeks; and
- c. Increasing benefits by \$600 per week from March 29, 2020, through July 25, 2020.
- 6. In or around August 2020, a Presidential Executive Order increased UI benefits by \$300 per week from July 26, 2020, through September 5, 2020. Overall, more than \$300 billion in additional federal funds have been appropriated for UI benefits in 2020.
- 7. An individual can apply to California EDD for UI benefits by submitting a claim inperson at any field office, by mail, or online, and the claim can be paid retroactively to the date that the

individual became unemployed. The individual is asked to provide his or her name, date of birth, social security number, mailing address, and other information. The individual is also asked to provide his or her usual occupation and answer questions to establish his or her eligibility for UI benefits. The questions include the last day that the individual worked and whether he or she is currently available to work. California EDD will deny a UI claim if the individual has not worked within the prescribed period or is unavailable to work. Incarcerated individuals are deemed unavailable to work and are ineligible for UI benefits.

- 8. After California EDD approves a UI claim, it pays benefits bi-weekly. Generally, individuals choose to receive UI benefits through VISA debit cards issued by Bank of America N.A. and their benefits are loaded thereon. Individuals may also choose to receive benefits through paper checks. Both UI debit cards and checks are mailed to the individuals through the United States mail. UI debit cards are mailed from a Bank of America processing center in Addison, Texas, and checks are mailed from California EDD in West Sacramento, California.
- 9. At all relevant times to this indictment, incarcerated inmates were ineligible to receive EDD benefits for reasons including because they were unavailable to work due to their incarceration.

## **CONSPIRACY**

10. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury, but not later than in or about May 2020, and continuing until in or about December 2020, within the State and Eastern District of California and elsewhere, defendants VERTZ and POWERS did knowingly conspire, combine, and confederate with each other, and with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to execute a scheme and artifice to defraud California EDD and the United States of money and property, and to obtain money and property from California EDD and the United States, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and to cause mail matter to be placed in a post office or an authorized depository for mail matter, and to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service, in execution of the scheme to defraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

# MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

11. During the above-described time period, defendants VERTZ and POWERS, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, conspired to defraud California EDD and the United States of

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

money and property, and caused money and property to be obtained from California EDD and the United States, by the following manner, means, and acts, among others:

- In furtherance of the conspiracy, defendants VERTZ and POWERS communicated with each other through, among other methods, telephone calls and emails.
- Defendant POWERS provided her name, date of birth, and social security number b. to defendant VERTZ for the purpose of submitting a fraudulent California EDD UI claim in POWERS' name. VERTZ was aware from his communications with POWERS that POWERS was not employed or newly unemployed, but was incarcerated at CCWF at all times relevant to this Indictment.
- c. Defendant POWERS also obtained the names, dates of birth, and social security numbers for other inmates who were incarcerated at CCWF, and who were not otherwise employed or newly unemployed for purposes of UI benefits at any time relevant to this Indictment. POWERS provided these inmates' information to defendant VERTZ for the purpose of submitting fraudulent California EDD UI claims in those inmates' names. The inmate identities included, but were not limited to, inmates C.G., M.P., C.R, and B.W. VERTZ and POWERS were both aware that these inmates were incarcerated at CCWF at all times relevant to this Indictment.
- d. Defendants VERTZ and POWERS subsequently caused fraudulent California EDD UI claims to be submitted in POWERS'S and the other inmates' names, knowing that none of the inmates were eligible for UI benefits. The underlying applications contained false and fraudulent representations, including, but not limited to, that the inmates had worked between February and September 2020 as wage earners or were self-employed as maids, cleaners, or fabrication welders, or other occupations, and that they were currently available to work. As VERTZ and POWERS were aware, these claims were false in that POWERS and the other inmates were not so previously employed or newly unemployed, but were incarcerated and not employed within the relevant time period. VERTZ and POWERS knew that these representations regarding POWERS' and the other inmates' employment, wages, and availability to work were false at the time they were made and submitted to California EDD.
- e. Defendants VERTZ and POWERS communicated with each other regarding the status of the fraudulent California EDD UI claims and the transfer of funds from VERTZ to POWERS related to the claims.

# Case 1:21-cr-00054-DAD-BAM Document 1 Filed 02/25/21 Page 5 of 7

1	f. The false and fraudulent representations contained in the underlying UI
2	applications, including, but not limited to, the claims for defendant POWERS, C.G., M.P., C.R, and
3	B.W., were material to the claims being approved by California EDD. California EDD will deny a
4	claim if an individual has not worked within the prescribed period or is unavailable to work, including
5	unavailability due to incarceration.
6	g. The defendants' scheme to defraud caused California EDD to load benefit funds
7	on debit cards for the false and fraudulent claims made by defendants VERTZ and POWERS. Bank of
8	America, N.A. then mailed the debit cards via United States mail with benefit funds paid on the false
9	claims to the addresses provided in the false and fraudulent claims, including addresses in the Eastern
10	District of California and elsewhere.
11	h. Defendants VERTZ and POWERS used the proceeds from their scheme to
12	defraud for their own benefit and for the benefit of others who were also not entitled to the UI funds. In
13	one instance, VERTZ told POWERS that he would transfer portions of the funds to other inmates for
14	their personal use. Specifically, VERTZ and POWERS discussed how each other inmate would receive
15	\$600 and that VERTZ and POWERS would keep the remaining funds.
16	i. In carrying out the conspiracy, defendants VERTZ and POWERS at all times
17	acted with the intent to defraud.
18	j. As a result of the conduct of defendants VERTZ and POWERS in furtherance of
19	the conspiracy, the defendants submitted and caused to be submitted false and fraudulent claims for
20	benefits to California EDD exceeding \$260,000, and caused California EDD and the United States to
21	incur losses of over \$103,000.
22	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

COUNTS TWO AND THREE: [18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1) – Aggravated Identity Theft]

The Grand Jury further charges:

JASON VERTZ and ALANA POWERS,

defendants herein, as follows:

- 12. Paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. The term "means of identification" means any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific individual, including any name, date of birth, driver's license number, or social security number.
- 14. On or about the dates set forth below, in the State and Eastern District of California and elsewhere, defendants VERTZ and POWERS did knowingly transfer, use, and possess the means of identification of another person without lawful authority, to wit: they knowingly transferred, used, and possessed the means of identification of C.G. and B.W., including, but not limited to, their names, dates of birth, driver's license numbers, and social security numbers, during and in relation to an unlawful activity that constitutes a violation of federal law, to wit: conspiracy to commit mail fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, as follows:

COUNT	DATE	PERSON	MEANS OF IDENTIFICATION	CORRESPONDING COUNT
TWO	08/11/20	C.G.	C.G.'s name, date of birth, driver's license number, and social security number transmitted via email between VERTZ and POWERS	ONE
THREE	08/13/20	B.W.	B.W.'s name, date of birth, driver's license number, and social security number transmitted via email between VERTZ and POWERS	ONE

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(a)(1).

27 | /

28 | /

1	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: [18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) - Criminal Forfeiture]
2	Upon conviction of one or more of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Three of
3	this Indictment, defendants JASON VERTZ and ALANA POWERS shall forfeit to the United States,
4	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), all property, real and personal, which
5	constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such violations, including but not limited to:
6	a. A sum of money equal to the amount of proceeds traceable to such offenses for
7	which defendants are convicted.
8	If any property subject to forfeiture as a result of the offenses alleged in Counts One
9	through Three of this Indictment for which defendants are convicted:
10	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
11	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
12	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
13	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
14	e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
15	difficulty;
16	it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), incorporating 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), to
17	seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant, up to the value of the property subject to
18	forfeiture.
19	
20	A TRUE BILL.
21	
22	/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA FOREPERSON
23	FOREFERSON
24	
25	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney
26	KIRK E. SHERRIFF
27	KIRK E. SHERRIFF
28	Chief, Fresno Office Assistant United States Attorney

No.			
WO.			

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of California

Criminal Division

1:21-cr-00054-DAD-BAM

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

JASON VERTZ, and ALANA POWERS

# $\underline{I}\;\underline{N}\;\underline{D}\;\underline{I}\;\underline{C}\;\underline{T}\;\underline{M}\;\underline{E}\;\underline{N}\;\underline{T}$

VIOLATION(S): 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1) – Aggravated Identity Theft (Two Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(C) – Criminal Forfeiture

1 true bill,	5 Foreman.		
Filed in ones count this	day		
riled in open court this, A.	D. 20		
Bail, \$AS PREVIOUSLY	SET		-
	11/14	_0	

GPO 863 525

# Case 1:21-cr-00054-DAD-BAM Document 1-1 Filed 02/25/21 Page 2 of 4

DEFENDANT INFORMATION	RELATIVE TO A CI	RIMINAL ACTION IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Y COMPLAINT INFORMATION SUPERSEDING: Case No. OFFENSE CHARGED	■ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Judge Location (City) EDCA Fresno, CA
PLEASE SEE INDICTMENT	☐ Petty ☐ Minor ☐ Misdemeanor	DEFENDANT — U.S. vs.  JASON VERTZ  Address
l l	U.S.C. Citation the Indictment	Birth Date
PROCEEDING Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Titl	le if any)	DEFENDANT
FBI SA Kathryn Baker  □ person is awaiting trial in another Federal or of court  □ this person/proceeding is transferred from an FRCrP □ 20 □ 21 □ 40.	State Court, give name	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  1) Has not been arrested, pending outcome of this proceeding If not detained, give date any prior summons was served on above charges  2)
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. Att'y Defense this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant	SHOW DOCKET NO.	4) ☐ On this charge 5) ☐ On another conviction 6) ☐ Awaiting trial on other charges } ☐ Fed'l ☒ State If answer to (6) is "Yes," show name of institution
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate Judge regarding this defendant were recorded under	MAGISTRATE JUDGE CASE NO.	Has detainer been filed?  No  Signature    Has detainer been filed?  No  Day  Year  DATE OF
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on THIS FORM  Sara Thomas		Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not Federal  Mo. Day Year  DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS

Penalties: See Penalty Slip. Please Issue No Bail Warrant.

# Case 1:21-cr-00054-DAD-BAM Document 1-1 Filed 02/25/21 Page 3 of 4

DEFENDANT INFORMATION I	RELATIVE TO A CR	RIMINAL ACTION IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY COMPLAINT INFORMATION SUPERSEDING: Case No. OFFENSE CHARGED	■ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Judge Location (City) EDCA  Fresno, CA
PLEASE SEE INDICTMENT	<ul><li>□ Petty</li><li>□ Minor</li><li>□ Misdemeanor</li></ul>	DEFENDANT — U.S. vs.  ALANA POWERS  Address
Place of offense U.  County of Madera  Please see	S.C. Citation Indictment	Birth Date
Name of Complainant Agency, or Person (& Title,  FBI SA Kathryn Baker  person is awaiting trial in another Federal or St of court  this person/proceeding is transferred from another FRCrP  20  21  40. S  this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:     U.S. Att'y  Defense this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate Judge regarding this defendant were recorded under	ate Court, give name	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  1) ■ Has not been arrested, pending outcome of this proceeding If not detained, give date any prior summons was served on above charges  2) □ Is a Fugitive 3) □ Is on Bail or Release from (show District)  IS IN CUSTODY  4) □ On this charge 5) □ On another conviction 6) □ Awaiting trial on other charges □ Fed'l ☑ State If answer to (6) is "Yes," show name of institution  Has detainer been filed?  Who Day Year  DATE OF
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on THIS FORM  Sara Thomas  U.S. Att'y  Name of Asst. U.S. Att'y (if assigned)  Alexandre M. Dempse	☐ Other U.S. Agency	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not Federal  Mo. Day Year  DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY  This report amends AO 257 previously submitted

Penalties: See Penalty Slip. Please Issue No Bail Warrant.

### United States v. Jason Vertz and Alana Powers

### **Penalties for Indictment**

### **COUNT ONE:**

VIOLATION:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud

PENALTIES:

Up to twenty years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine

Three years supervised release

\$100 special assessment

# **COUNTS TWO and THREE:**

VIOLATION:

18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1) – Aggravated Identity Theft

PENALTIES:

Mandatory two years imprisonment consecutive to other counts (each

count)

\$100 special assessment (each count)

#### **FORFEITURE ALLEGATION:**

As stated in the charging document