## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

**CRIMINAL NO. 22-22** 

**SECTION: "H"** 

LATRELL JOHNSON

## FACTUAL BASIS

Should this matter have gone to trial, the Government would have proved through the introduction of reliable testimony and admissible tangible exhibits, including documentary evidence, the following to support the allegations charged by the Government in Count 1 of the Indictment against the defendant, LATRELL JOHNSON, charging her with a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, namely, conspiracy to commit mail fraud. The Defendant, along with her co-conspirators and others, beginning at a time unknown and continuing through the present, in the Eastern District of Louisiana, and elsewhere, conspired to commit mail fraud in connection with a staged automobile accident that occurred on or about May 11, 2017.

Prior to May 11, 2017, JOHNSON was aware that Mario Solomon ("Solomon") and Damian Labeaud ("Labeaud") staged automobile collisions together to make money. Labeaud was known as a "slammer," or an individual who drove vehicles and intentionally collided with 18-wheeler tractor-trailers in order to stage car accidents. Solomon was known as a "spotter," or an individual who would follow Labeaud in a separate vehicle and would pick up Labeaud after the staged accidents in order to flee the scene and evade detection. Solomon asked JOHNSON if she was interested in participating in a staged automobile accident to obtain insurance proceeds. Approximately two weeks before the collision, JOHNSON agreed to participate.

Defendant

Defense Couns

If this case had proceeded to trial, the Government would introduce phone records showing that, between on or about May 9 and 11, 2017, co-defendant Joseph Brewton ("Brewton") spoke with co-defendant David Brown ("Brown"), co-defendant Gilda Henderson ("Henderson"), and with Labeaud. The Government would also introduce phone records showing that Labeaud spoke with Attorneys B and C and with Solomon on or about May 10 and 11, 2017. Solomon also spoke to **JOHNSON** by phone on or about May 10 and 11, 2017.

On or about May 11, 2017, JOHNSON rode with Labeaud and Solomon in Solomon's Chevy Silverado ("Silverado") to a parking lot in New Orleans, Louisiana. In the parking lot, JOHNSON got into the back of a Ford Expedition ("the Expedition"), along with Brown and Larry Picou ("Picou"). Labeaud got into the driver's seat of the Expedition and Henderson rode in the front passenger seat. Labeaud and the occupants of the Expedition discussed that Labeaud would intentionally cause a collision with a tractor-trailer and then leave while the passengers contacted the police. Labeaud instructed Brown to get into the driver's seat after the collision. The passengers agreed to say that they had come to New Orleans to go shopping. Labeaud instructed the passengers to claim to be injured but not to go to the hospital.

At around 10 a.m., while driving the Expedition at the intersection of Chef Menteur Highway and Downman Road, Labeaud intentionally sped up and collided with a 2013 International tractor-trailer owned by B.A.H. Express and driven by Truck Driver B. **JOHNSON** did not observe anyone sleeping at the time of the collision.

The Government would present testimonial evidence that Truck Driver B was unaware that a collision had occurred, so Solomon flagged down Truck Driver B, posing as a bystander, and falsely alleged that the tractor-trailer had struck a vehicle. If this case had proceeded to trial, the Government would present evidence that Truck Driver B later identified photos of Solomon as the

AUSA Defendant Defense Counsel

individual who flagged him down and identified photos of Solomon's Silverado as the vehicle used.

Labeaud fled the scene in Solomon's Silverado. New Orleans Police Department ("NOPD") officers responded to the accident. The Government would introduce NOPD body camera footage showing that Brown informed officers that he had been driving the Expedition and that the tractor-trailer caused the collision.

The Government would introduce bank records showing that, on or about July 21, 2017, the insurance provider for Truck Driver B, Westfield Insurance Company ("Westfield"), paid approximately \$3,242.77 for Brown's property loss.

Brown, Picou, and **JOHNSON** switched from the Law Firm of Attorneys A, B, C, and D to representation by Patrick Keating ("Keating"). Keating filed a petition on their behalf in Orleans Parish Civil District Court ("CDC") on or about May 9, 2018 ("the Brown/Picou/Johnson Lawsuit"). The Brown/Picou/Johnson Lawsuit was a personal injury lawsuit that sought damages from Truck Driver B and Westfield, arising out of the May 11, 2017 collision. The Government

AUSA Defendant Defense Counsel

## Case 2:22-cr-00022-JTM-DMD Document 106 Filed 03/16/22 Page 4 of 6

would introduce records showing that, on or about May 11, 2018, the Law Firm of Attorneys A, B, C, and D filed a petition on behalf of Henderson in the CDC ("the Henderson Lawsuit"). The Henderson Lawsuit was a personal injury lawsuit that sought damages from Truck Driver B, B.A.H. Express Inc., and Westfield, arising out of the May 11, 2017 collision. The Brown/Picou/Johnson Lawsuit and the Henderson Lawsuit were consolidated and removed to federal court in the Eastern District of Louisiana ("the Federal Lawsuit").

The allegations in the Brown/Picou/Johnson Lawsuit, the Henderson Lawsuit, and the Federal Lawsuit were false in that they did not contain information about how Labeaud intentionally caused the May 11, 2017 accident between the Expedition and the 2013 International tractor-trailer. Furthermore, these lawsuits falsely alleged that Brown had been the driver of the Expedition during the accident and omitted material information about how the passengers had agreed to stage the accident with Labeaud.

The Government would present bank records showing that the passengers received advances on their settlements. **JOHNSON** received advances on her settlement, including a check from the Law Firm of Attorneys A, B, C, and D, in the amount of \$300.00 on or about June 6, 2017 and two checks from Keating totaling \$1,500.00 on or about August 9, 2017.

At trial, the Government would introduce evidence that, on or about December 3, 2018, a Westfield employee mailed a settlement check for **JOHNSON** in the amount of approximately \$7,000.00 via United States Postal Service ("USPS") from Ohio to Westfield's counsel in New Orleans, Louisiana. Westfield's counsel then provided this check to Keating to settle **JOHNSON**'s false claims. The Government would also introduce records that, on or about January 17, 2019, a Westfield employee sent a settlement check for Picou in the amount of approximately \$130,000.00 via FedEx from Ohio to Westfield's counsel in New Orleans,

AUSA Defendant Defense Counsel

Louisiana. Westfield's counsel provided this check to Keating to settle Picou's false claims.

The Government would introduce deposition transcripts showing that Henderson, Brown, Picou, and **JOHNSON** gave false deposition testimony about the May 11, 2017 collision. For her part, **JOHNSON** testified on or about July 23, 2019, after settling her claims. **JOHNSON** falsely alleged that the tractor-trailer was at fault in the May 11, 2017 collision and omitted the fact that Labeaud had been driving. **JOHNSON** also provided false testimony about her telephone calls with Solomon before the collision.

In sum, the Government's evidence would prove that the defendant, LATRELL JOHNSON, conspired to commit mail fraud by causing the mailing of two settlement payments for the Federal Lawsuit, which sought monetary damages premised on falsehoods in connection with the May 11, 2017 staged automobile collision. At trial, the Government would provide evidence that, through the aforementioned crime, JOHNSON and her co-conspirators caused a loss of approximately \$140,242.77.

## **Limited Nature of Factual Basis**

This proffer of evidence is not intended to constitute a complete statement of all facts known by **JOHNSON**, and/or the Government. Rather, it is a minimum statement of facts intended to prove the necessary factual predicate for her guilty plea. The limited purpose of this proffer is to demonstrate that there exists a sufficient legal basis for the plea of guilty to the charged offense by **JOHNSON**.

Defense (

The above facts come from an investigation conducted by, and would be proven at trial by, credible testimony from, *inter alia*, Special Agents and forensic examiners from the Federal Bureau of Investigation and other witnesses and from admissible tangible exhibits in the custody of the FBI, including phone records, text messages, police reports, medical records, body camera footage, bank records, deposition transcripts, and civil filings.

READ AND APPROVED:

LATRELL JOHNSON

Defendant

MICHAEL BOLEWARE Counsel for Defendant

MARIA M. CARBONI BRIAN KLEBBA Assistant United States Attorneys

6