

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

U.S. Department of Justice  
Executive Office for Immigration Review  
Board of Immigration Appeals

---

MATTER OF:

Brandon John JANSSEN, D2024-0262

Respondent

---

**FILED**  
FEB 06 2025

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS  
Notice of Intent to Discipline Before the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Clark, Appellate Immigration Judge; Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge; Liebowitz,  
Appellate Immigration Judge

Opinion by Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge

CREPPY, Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), effective immediately.

On February 26, 2024, the Attorney Discipline Board of Michigan suspended the respondent from the practice of law in Michigan for 180 days, effective March 19, 2024, and until further order of the Attorney Discipline Board. On March 20, 2024, the Attorney Discipline Board issued a Notice of Suspension and Restitution with Condition finalizing the respondent's 180-day suspension and the effective date of March 19, 2024. On June 13, 2024, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review ("EOIR") and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS jointly petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. We granted the petition on July 8, 2024, and we issued a final order on August 5, 2024, suspending the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for 180 days. The respondent remains suspended pursuant to that order.

On September 27, 2024, the Attorney Discipline Board of Michigan disbarred the respondent from the practice of law in Michigan, effective October 19, 2024. On December 16, 2024, the Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS filed a Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline seeking the respondent's disbarment before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS in light of his disbarment in Michigan. The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time prescribed in the Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline constitutes an admission of the



allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

The Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline unless there are considerations that compel us to diverge from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

The proposed sanction is appropriate considering the respondent's disbarment in Michigan. We therefore will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. The respondent's disbarment will be effective immediately.

ORDER: The Board hereby disbars the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, effective immediately.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.



**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

U.S. Department of Justice  
Executive Office for Immigration Review  
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

Brandon John JANSSEN, D2024-0156

Respondent

**FILED**

AUG 05 2024

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Toinette M. Mitchell, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS  
Notice of Intent to Discipline Before the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Malphrus, Deputy Chief Appellate Immigration Judge Clark, Appellate Immigration Judge; Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge

Opinion by Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge

CREPPY, Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be suspended from the practice of law before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") for 180 days, effective July 8, 2024.

On February 26, 2024, the Attorney Discipline Board of Michigan suspended the respondent from the practice of law in Michigan for 180 days, effective March 19, 2024, and until further order of the Attorney Discipline Board. On March 20, 2024, the Attorney Discipline Board issued a Notice of Suspension and Restitution with Condition finalizing the respondent's 180-day suspension and the effective date of March 19, 2024. On June 13, 2024, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS jointly petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. We granted the petition on July 8, 2024.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time prescribed in the Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

The Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for 180 days. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).



The proposed sanction is appropriate considering the respondent's suspension in Michigan. We therefore will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for 180 days. As the respondent is currently suspended pursuant to our July 8, 2024, order of suspension, his suspension will be effective as of July 8, 2024.

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, effective July 8, 2024.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.