

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

July 7, 2025

US TECH WORKERS ET AL,)	
Complainant,)	
)	
)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
v.)	OCAHO Case No. 2025B00005
)	
)	
BMO BANK,)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

Appearances: John M. Miano, Esq., for Complainant
Leon Rodriguez, Esq., and Dawn Lurie, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE REPLY

On June 26, 2025, Respondent filed an unopposed Motion for an extension to its Reply filing deadline (extending the deadline by two weeks, to July 17, 2025). Respondent desires additional time so it may review a filing provided by IER in a different case. Mot. Ext. 1.

While there is no such filing before the Court in this case (and the Court does not sua sponte review IER filings in unrelated cases), on balance, Respondent appears to be seeking additional time to provide potentially novel legal argument for the Court’s consideration based on information unavailable to it when it filed its Motion to Dismiss. Ultimately, there is good cause here to provide the short, unopposed extension. *See United States v. Duncan Family Farms, Inc.*, 18 OCAHO no. 1519b, 3 (2024) (finding good cause for 14-day extension where the resulting delay would be short, the moving party offered good cause for the extension, and the opposing party consented to the extension).

Respondent’s motion is GRANTED. Any Reply filing is due by July 17, 2025.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on July 7, 2025.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge