

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

Alejandro SOLORIO, D2025-0009

Respondent

FILED

AUG 27 2025

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Catherine M. O'Connell, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS
On Motion from a Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Malphrus, Chief Appellate Immigration Judge; Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge;
Mullane, Appellate Immigration Judge

Opinion by Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge

CREPPY, Appellate Immigration Judge

In a decision dated February 21, 2025, we immediately suspended the respondent from practice before the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") and the Executive Office for Immigration Review ("EOIR"). This suspension was based on the respondent's suspension from the practice of law in Kansas. On April 3, 2025, we issued a final order, suspending the respondent from practice before DHS and EOIR for 90 days, effective February 21, 2025. On July 11, 2025, the respondent filed a motion for reinstatement to practice before DHS and EOIR. The Disciplinary Counsels filed a statement of non-opposition to that motion. The motion will be granted.

The respondent has presented evidence showing that he again is authorized to practice law in Kansas, and he maintains that he meets the definition of attorney contained in 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f). *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a)(1) (discussing requirements for reinstatement). We therefore will grant the respondent's motion for reinstatement. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a)(3).

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before EOIR and DHS, as of the date of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: This reinstatement should be reflected in any public notices maintained and disseminated by EOIR regarding attorney discipline.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before DHS, the Immigration Courts or the Board, he must file a Notice of Appearance (Form G-28, Form EOIR-26, or Form EOIR-27), even in cases in which he was counsel prior to his suspension.

D2025-0009

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent's motion for a stay of suspension is denied as moot.