UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

July 23, 2025

ZAJI OBATALA ZAJRADHARA, Complainant,)	
v.)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding OCAHO Case No. 2024B00013
JIN JOO CORPORATION, Respondent.)))	
)	

Appearances: Zaji Obatala Zajradhara, pro se Complainant Stephen O. Nutting, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER DENYING COMPLAINANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF UNDER RULE 60(b)

This case arises under the employment discrimination provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324b. On October 17, 2023, Complainant, Zaji Obatala Zajradhara, filed a complaint with the Office of the Chief Administrative Officer (OCAHO) against Respondent, Jin Joo Corporation.

On July 14, 2025, the Court issued an Order Denying Complainant's Motion to Reconsider Dismissal of National Origin Claim and Citizenship Status Claim.

On the same day, Complainant submitted a Motion for Reconsideration (asking the Court to reconsider its decision to deny reconsideration of his prior motion).

On July 17, 2025, the Court issued an Order Denying Second Motion for Reconsideration & Notice to Complainant Regarding Future Filings. The Court denied Complainant's July 14, 2025 Motion and put Complainant on notice that "any future motions to reconsider orders denying motions to reconsider will be rejected," including "any 'addendum' filings or 'supra motions' to such motions." Order Denying Second Mot. Reconsideration 2.

On July 18, 2025, Complainant filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment Under Rule 60(b), citing Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b).

19 OCAHO no. 1554h

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) contemplates instances where "the court may relieve a party . . . from a *final* judgment, order, or proceeding[.]" (emphasis added).

Here, the Court has not issued a final order and therefore cannot grant relief from such an order at this time. Complainant's Motion for Relief is DENIED.

Further, through this latest motion, Complainant seems to lodge a third attempt at reconsideration - dressing up an otherwise rejectable filing in the garb of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Complainant should consider himself on notice that any filing referencing Rule 60(b) when no final order has been issued will be rejected outright in the future.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on July 23, 2025.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton Administrative Law Judge