

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

July 31, 2025

ZAJI OBATALA ZAJRADHARA,)	
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
)	OCAHO Case No. 2024B00011
)	
COSTA WORLD CORPORATION,)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

Appearances: Zaji O. Zajradhara, pro se Complainant
Stephen J. Nutting, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER DENYING COMPLAINANT’S MOTION TO CLARIFY OR AMEND COURT’S
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

On July 29, 2025, Complainant submitted a filing titled “Motion to Clarify or Amend Court’s Order Denying Motion for Judicial Notice.” This title notwithstanding, Complainant is actually requesting “amendment of the order to take judicial notice of undisputed legal authorities and acknowledge the per se nature of Respondent’s violations.” Mot. 2. This, in essence, is the Complainant requesting the Court reconsider its prior order.

This motion for reconsideration is denied as it fails to identify any of the traditional grounds for reconsideration. *See Zajradhara v. Manbin Corp.*, 19 OCAHO no. 1553f, 3 (2025) (citing *Sharma v. NVIDIA Corp.*, 17 OCAHO no. 1450g, 3 (2023)). Complainant cites no new material facts or changes of law and has not made a “convincing showing” that the Court failed to consider any material facts presented to the Court at the time of its decision. *See id.* If Complainant believes certain statutes, regulations, or executive orders support his claims that Respondent discriminated against him and/or retaliated against him, he may make those arguments in a motion for summary decision.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on July 31, 2025.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge