

8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
OCAHO Case No. 2025B00048

An Administrative Law Judge has the authority to conduct fair and impartial hearings. 28 C.F.R. § 68.28. Inherent in this authority is a duty to conduct proceedings in an efficient and orderly

manner. Pro se litigants are afforded great lenience, but the scope afforded a pro se litigant can lead to a breakdown in orderly process. *See, e.g. Santiglia v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.*, 9 OCAHO no. 1104, 21 (2004). This case has reached that point with the deluge of additions to Complainant's August 5, 2025, filing. This kind of piecemeal litigation makes responding to the various arguments and documents on the part of Respondent difficult, causes confusion and places strain on the court's resources.

The parties are now ORDERED to abide by the following requirements related to motion practice. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in rejection of the filing.

1. Any "additions" or supplements to a motion must contain a motion seeking leave to file a supplement to the motion. As a general matter, only one such addition or supplement will be granted.
2. The Court will reject any further additions or supplements to Complainant's August 5, 2025, filing. Complainant may file any motions he believes necessary to his case so long as they are not intended to be part of the August 5, 2025, filing.

Should Respondent seek to file a Reply to Complainant's August 5, 2025, response and supplemental filings, it may do so by September 8, 2025. Any sur-reply on the part of Complainant to that filing, if made, must be accompanied by a motion seeking permission to so reply. 8 C.F.R. § 68.11(b).

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on August 25, 2025.

Honorable Jean C. King
Chief Administrative Law Judge