

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Complainant,)	
)	
)	8 U.S.C. § 1324a Proceeding
v.)	OCAHO Case No. 2024A00130
)	
)	
RE SIGNATURE HOMES, LLC,)	
Respondent.)	
)	

Appearances: Christopher R. Ford, Esq., for Complainant
Javier N. Maldonado, Esq., and Allison N. Boyle, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This case arises under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324a. On September 17, 2024, Complainant, the United States Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, filed a complaint with the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (OCAHO), alleging that Respondent, Re Signature Homes, LLC, violated 8 U.S.C. § 1324a. On November 4, 2024, Respondent, through counsel, filed an answer. On May 16, 2025, the Complainant filed an unopposed motion to suspend the discovery deadlines while the parties engaged in settlement discussions. On May 23, 2025, the Court granted the Complainant's unopposed motion.

On November 5, 2025, Complainant filed an Unopposed Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Settlement Agreement. The motion indicates that the parties "have reached terms and completed a settlement agreement in the case resolving the issues identified in the above-referenced Complaint." Compl. Mot. Dismiss. 1.

Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2), upon receiving notification by the parties of a full settlement and agreement to dismiss the action, the Administrative Law Judge has discretion to approve the dismissal with or without the filing of the settlement agreement. Under the circumstances of this case, where both parties are represented and have been engaged in settlement discussions for several months, the Court finds that a review of the settlement agreement unnecessary.

Accordingly, the parties' motion is GRANTED and the complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on November 18, 2025.

Honorable John A Henderson
Administrative Law Judge

Appeal Information

This order shall become the final agency order unless modified, vacated, or remanded by the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (CAHO) or the Attorney General.

Provisions governing administrative reviews by the CAHO are set forth at 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(e)(7) and 28 C.F.R. pt. 68. Note in particular that a request for administrative review must be filed with the CAHO within ten (10) days of the date of this order, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.54(a)(1).

Provisions governing the Attorney General's review of this order, or any CAHO order modifying or vacating this order, are set forth at 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(e)(7) and 28 C.F.R. pt. 68. Within thirty (30) days of the entry of a final order by the CAHO, or within sixty (60) days of the entry of an Administrative Law Judge's final order if the CAHO does not modify or vacate such order, the Attorney General may direct the CAHO to refer any final order to the Attorney General for review, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.55.

A petition to review the final agency order may be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within forty-five (45) days after the date of the final agency order pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(e)(8) and 28 C.F.R. § 68.56.