

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

US TECH WORKERS ET AL.,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
	)	OCAHO Case No. 2024B00102
	)	
UL SOLUTIONS, INC.,	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

Appearances: John M. Miano, Esq., for Complainant
Sean M. McCrory, Esq., and Monica S. Rodriguez, Esq., for Respondent

FINAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This case arises under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324b. On April 23, 2024, Complainant, US Tech Workers et. al., filed a complaint with the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (OCAHO) against Respondent UL Solutions, Inc. Respondent filed its Answer and Affirmative Defenses on June 6, 2024.

On February 26, 2026, the parties filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice. The parties represent that they have reached a settlement and request dismissal of this matter. Joint Mot. Dismiss 1.

The Court finds that the parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice satisfies the requirements of 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2). Because both parties are represented by counsel and have been engaged in the matter, the Court declines to require the filing of a settlement agreement, as 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2) permits. *See United States v. Reliable Software Resources, Inc.*, 21 OCAHO no. 1643b, 1 (2026) (declining to require a settlement agreement be filed when "both parties are represented and have been actively engaged in the case").

As the parties have jointly requested dismissal without prejudice and have complied with the regulatory requirements for dismissal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2), the Joint Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice is GRANTED, and these proceedings are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on March 25, 2026.

Honorable John A. Henderson
Administrative Law Judge

Appeal Information

This order shall become the final agency order unless modified, vacated, or remanded by the Attorney General. Provisions governing the Attorney General's review of this order are set forth at 28 C.F.R. pt. 68. Within sixty days of the entry of an Administrative Law Judge's final order, the Attorney General may direct the CAHO to refer any final order to the Attorney General for review, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.55.

Any person aggrieved by the final order has sixty days from the date of entry of the final order to petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the violation is alleged to have occurred or in which the employer resides or transacts business. See 8 U.S.C. § 1324b(i)(1); 28 C.F.R. § 68.57. A petition for review must conform to the requirements of Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.