

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

June 15, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
v.	)	OCAHO Case No. 2026B00044
	)	
	)	
CLOUDERA, INC.,	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

Appearances: Varda Hussain, Esq., Sam Shirazi, Esq., and Liza Zamd, Esq., for Complainant
Sean M. McCrory, Esq., and Chloe Honey Moreno, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE ANSWER

This case arises under the employment discrimination provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324b.

On April 28, 2026, Complainant filed a complaint; and on May 12, 2026, the Complaint was served on Respondent.

On June 10, 2026, Respondent’s counsel entered an appearance and filed a Motion to Extend Responsive Pleading Deadline.

“OCAHO’s Rules of Practice and Procedure for Administrative Hearings do not provide specific standards for granting extensions, but the standard routinely applied is good cause.” *United States v. Space Expl. Techs.*, 18 OCAHO no. 1499, 5 (2023); *see also US Tech Workers Et Al. v. G2*, 19 OCAHO no. 1569, 2 (2024).

“Good cause requires a demonstration of good faith on the part of the party seeking an enlargement of time and some reasonable basis for noncompliance within the time specified in the rules.” *G2*, 19 OCAHO no. 1569, at 2 (quoting *Lowden v. Ann Arbor Elec. JATC Training Ctr.*, 18 OCAHO no. 1490, 2 (2023) (internal quotation marks omitted)).

Respondent has identified a reasonable basis for its request, as its counsel articulated he will be unavailable for a period of time, and Respondent intends to retain additional counsel. Mot. Extension 1. Respondent requests an extension through July 11, 2026 to file an Answer. Mot. Extension 2. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 68.9(a).¹

Respondent's request amounts to an extension of 30 days, which is reasonable given the circumstances and the effort involved in providing an accurate Answer. Granting this extension will not prejudice Complainant, and the record and these proceedings will benefit "by Respondent's opportunity to file a meaningful answer." *United States v. Brulotte Farms, Inc.*, 19 OCAHO no. 1527, 2 (2024).

Respondent's motion is **GRANTED**, and Respondent's answer is due on or before July 11, 2026.

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge

¹ OCAHO's Rules of Practice and Procedure for Administrative Hearings, being the provisions contained in 28 C.F.R. part 68 (2026), are available in the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations. *See* <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-28/chapter-I/part-68>.