

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

June 23, 2026

RAVI SHARMA,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
v.	)	OCAHO Case No. 2022B00023
	)	
NVIDIA CORP.,	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Appearances: Robert J. Barton, Esq., and Marie-Lise Baroutjian, Esq., for Complainant
K. Edward Raleigh, Esq., and Samantha Caesar, Esq., for Respondent

POST HEARING ORDER FOUR – ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND THE
DEADLINE TO FILE TRANSCRIPT MOTIONS FOR CORRECTIONS

On May 11-14, 2026, the Court held an in-person hearing in the above-captioned case pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.39.¹ Consistent with 28 C.F.R. § 68.48(a), a verbatim transcript of the hearing was generated. Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.48(b), the parties shall have an opportunity to review and submit any motions for corrections to the transcript. In a prior Order, the Court set the deadline to file motions for corrections on June 26, 2026.

On June 18, 2026, Complainant filed an unopposed motion seeking an extension of that deadline, citing the voluminous nature of the transcript, and requesting a short extension to July 17, 2026.

“OCAHO rules do not provide specific standards for granting extensions, but the standard routinely applied is good cause.” *Tingling v. City of Richmond*, 13 OCAHO no. 1324c, 2 (2021). Here, Complainant has demonstrated good cause for the extension requested. Complainant correctly notes the size of the transcript and highlights the time needed to fully review it. The extension is short in nature, and is unopposed. For these reasons, the deadline shall be extended to July 17, 2026 as requested.

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge

¹ OCAHO Rules of Practice and Procedure, 28 C.F.R. pt. 68 (2022).