

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

June 22, 2026

CHRISTOPHER LILLES,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
	)	OCAHO Case No. 2025B00051
	)	
MICRONESIA RESORT INC.,	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Appearances: Christopher Lilles, pro se Complainant
Colin M. Thompson, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION

On June 16, 2026, the Court received a motion from Complainant seeking an extension of 90 days for the discovery motion deadline (which would then also extend the summary decision deadline). Complainant attaches evidence the motion is unopposed, and he explains that he was directly and significantly impacted by a typhoon in April 2026.

“OCAHO rules do not provide specific standards for granting extensions, but the standard routinely applied is good cause.” *Tingling v. City of Richmond*, 13 OCAHO no. 1324c, 2 (2021). Good cause requires “a demonstration of good faith on the part of the party seeking an enlargement of time and some reasonable basis for noncompliance within the time specified in the rules.” *Id.* (quoting *U.S. ex rel. Shaw Env’t, Inc. v. Gulf Ins. Co.*, 225 F.R.D. 526, 528 (E.D. Va. 2005)). Here, Complainant has demonstrated good cause for the extension requested. Complainant described the significant impact of the natural disaster on his ability to conduct discovery, and Respondent does not oppose the extension. Discovery motions filed after September 21, 2026, shall be deemed untimely (and will be denied for that reason). Parties shall have until October 26, 2026, to submit motions for summary decision (with a 30-day response deadline).

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge