

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

William H. BRAMMER, Jr., D2026-0222

Respondent

FILED

JUL 10 2026

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Nathan Henriksen, Acting Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS
Notice of Intent to Discipline Before the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Mullane, Appellate Immigration Judge; Chaban, Appellate Immigration Judge; White,
Temporary Appellate Immigration Judge¹

Opinion by Mullane, Appellate Immigration Judge

MULLANE, Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), for 60 days, effective as of the date of this order.

On April 23, 2026, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals issued a decision and order suspending the respondent from the practice of law for a period of 90 days, stayed as to all but 60 days, followed by 2 years of unsupervised probation. On May 29, 2026, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS filed a Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline ("NID"), as well as a Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension, based upon the respondent's suspension in the District of Columbia. We granted the Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension on June 17, 2026.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the NID but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time prescribed in the NID constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

The NID proposes that the respondent be suspended from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for 60 days. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer,

¹ Temporary Appellate Immigration Judges sit pursuant to appointment by the Attorney General. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(a)(4).

the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the NID unless there are considerations that compel us to diverge from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

The proposed sanction is appropriate considering the respondent's suspension from the practice of law in the District of Columbia Court. We will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent suspended from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, for 60 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, for 60 days, effective as of the date of this order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.