

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

Ruby TORRES, D2026-0190

RESPONDENT

FILED

JUL 14 2026

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Nathan S. Henriksen, Acting Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS
Notice of Intent to Discipline Before the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Mullane, Appellate Immigration Judge; Chaban, Appellate Immigration Judge; White,
Temporary Appellate Immigration Judge¹

Opinion by White, Temporary Appellate Immigration Judge

WHITE, Temporary Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals (“Board”), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) for six months, effective June 18, 2026.

On May 11, 2026, the Presiding Disciplinary Judge issued an order suspending the respondent from the practice of law in the State of Arizona for a period of six months, effective 30 days from the date of the Court order. On May 26, 2026, the Acting Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS filed a Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline (“NID”), as well as a Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension, based upon the respondent’s suspension in Arizona. We granted the Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension on June 18, 2026.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the NID but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent’s failure to file a response within the time prescribed in the NID constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

The NID proposes that the respondent be suspended from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for six months. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer,

¹ Temporary Appellate Immigration Judges sit pursuant to appointment by the Attorney General. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(a)(4).

the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the NID unless there are considerations that compel us to diverge from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

The proposed sanction is appropriate considering the respondent's suspension from the practice of law in Arizona. We will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent suspended from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, for six months. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2). Further, as the respondent is currently suspended under our June 18, 2026, order of suspension, we will deem her suspension to have commenced on that date.

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, for six months, effective June 18, 2026. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against her.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.