

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals**

MATTER OF:

Alfonso OVIEDO-REYES COGORNO,¹ D2026-0104

Respondent



ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Nathan S. Henriksen, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

**IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS
Notice of Intent to Discipline Before the Board of Immigration Appeals**

Before: Mullane, Appellate Immigration Judge; Chaban, Appellate Immigration Judge; White,
Temporary Appellate Immigration Judge²

Opinion by Mullane, Appellate Immigration Judge

MULLANE, Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be disbarred from the practice of law before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Court, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), effective June 22, 2026.

On October 18, 2024, the Supreme Court of Florida issued an order granting the respondent's uncontested petition for disciplinary revocation. According to the order, disciplinary revocation is tantamount to disbarment, and is effective 30 days from the date of the order. On March 25, 2026, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS filed a Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline ("NID"), as well as a Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension, based upon the respondent's disciplinary revocation in Florida. We granted the Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension on June 22, 2026.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the NID but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time prescribed in the NID constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

¹ The record also includes the name, "Alfonso Oviedo,"

² Temporary Appellate Immigration Judges sit pursuant to appointment by the Attorney General. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(a)(4).

The NID proposes that the respondent be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the NID unless there are considerations that compel us to diverge from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

The proposed sanction is appropriate considering the respondent's disciplinary revocation, which is equivalent to a disbarment, in Florida. We will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. The respondent's disbarment will be effective as of June 22, 2026, the date of our immediate suspension order.

ORDER: The Board hereby disbars the respondent from the practice of law before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, effective June 22, 2026.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.