

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

July 14, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324a Proceeding
v.	)	OCAHO Case No. 2026A00035
	)	
	)	
MIN BUFFET INC.,	)	
D/B/A FUJI BUFFET AND GRILL,	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Appearances: Ana L. Millan, Esq., for Complainant
Chi Fei, pro se, for Respondent

NOTICE AND ORDER – DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE & SETTING INITIAL
PREHEARING CONFERENCE

This case arises under the employer sanctions provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324a.

On June 22, 2026, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause to Respondent as it had failed to file its answer (requiring a submission explaining good cause for this failure along with an answer).

On July 8, 2026, Respondent filed an Answer and a submission explaining why it had not timely filed an answer. Specifically, the parties are in settlement negotiations and due to a miscommunication, Respondent did not file its motion seeking an extension to file an answer. While these circumstances are certainly within Respondent’s control, the Court notes Respondent is pro se, and further appreciates its Respondent’s candor in explaining what transpired. Finally, the Court also considered that Respondent acted expeditiously after receiving the Order to Show Cause by timely providing its required filings.

The Court now discharges its Order to Show Cause.

Procedurally, the next step in the case is an initial prehearing conference. The Court will conduct that initial prehearing conference on Tuesday, August 11, 2026 at 10:00am PT. The Conference shall be conducted telephonically through Webex. Parties will receive dial-in information separately via email.

Alternate dates and/or times may be proposed by the parties by contacting the Court at [xxxxxxx] (and, if desired, should be proposed by July 27, 2026).

Based on Respondent's motion, the Court notes the parties may make oral motions at the prehearing conference, including oral motions to dismiss with or without prejudice following settlement (pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2)). Alternatively, parties should be prepared to discuss case management topics and deadlines.

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge