

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

August 10, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324B Proceeding
	)	OCAHO Case No. 2026B00044
	)	
	)	
CLOUDERA, INC.,	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

Appearances: Varda Hussain, Esq., Sam Shirazi, Esq., and Liza Zamd, Esq., for Complainant
Sean M. McCrory, Esq., and Chloe Honey Moreno, Esq., for Respondent

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE ANSWER

On August 5, 2026, parties filed a joint motion seeking an extension of the answer deadline. This is the third request to extend the deadline to file the answer. The parties are actively discussing settlement, and additional time would allow the parties to focus their efforts accordingly. Further, the motion detailed instances where both counsel had periods of unavailability impacting settlement discussions.

“OCAHO’s Rules of Practice and Procedure for Administrative Hearings do not provide specific standards for granting extensions, but the standard routinely applied is good cause.” *United States v. Space Expl. Techs.*, 18 OCAHO no. 1499, 5 (2023); *see also US Tech Workers Et Al. v. G2*, 19 OCAHO no. 1569, 2 (2024).

“Good cause requires a demonstration of good faith on the part of the party seeking an enlargement of time and some reasonable basis for noncompliance within the time specified in the rules.” *G2*, 19 OCAHO no. 1569, at 2 (quoting *Lowden v. Ann Arbor Elec. JATC Training Ctr.*, 18 OCAHO no. 1490, 2 (2023) (internal quotation marks omitted)).

While this is the third request for an extension, the Court notes the motion is joint, and the parties articulated a reasonable basis for their joint request. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 68.9(a).¹ Further, the amount of time requested (60 days) is reasonable given the circumstances as described by the parties. While this extension is granted, parties should consider themselves on notice that further extension requests may receive elevated scrutiny given the number of extensions already granted.

The Joint Motion is **GRANTED**, and Respondent's answer is due by October 9, 2026.

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Andrea R. Carroll-Tipton
Administrative Law Judge

¹ OCAHO's Rules of Practice and Procedure for Administrative Hearings, being the provisions contained in 28 C.F.R. part 68 (2026), are available in the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations. *See* <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-28/chapter-I/part-68>.