NOT FOR PUBLICATION

U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

Brent Alan JACKSON, D2026-0444

Respondent

FILED
OCT 23 2026

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Nathan S. Henricksen, Acting Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Catherine M. O'Connell, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS
Notice of Intent to Discipline Before the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Malphrus, Chief Appellate Immigration Judge; Chaban, Appellate Immigration Judge; White, Temporary Appellate Immigration Judge[1]

Opinion by Malphrus, Chief Appellate Immigration Judge

MALPHRUS, Chief Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), effective September 2, 2026.

On August 25, 2026, the Virginia State Disciplinary Board issued a decision accepting the respondent's Consent to Revocation and disbarring the respondent from the practice of law in Virginia. On August 28, 2026, the Acting Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and the Disciplinary Counsel for the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") filed a Joint Notice of Intent to Discipline ("NID"), as well as a Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension, based upon the respondent's disbarment in Virginia. We granted the Joint Petition for Immediate Suspension on September 2, 2026.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the NID but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time prescribed in the NID constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1), (2).

[1] Temporary Appellate Immigration Judges sit pursuant to appointment by the Attorney General. *See* 8 C.F.R § 1003.1(a)(4).

The NID proposes that the respondent be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the NID unless there are considerations that compel us to diverge from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

The proposed sanction is appropriate considering the respondent's disbarment in Virginia. We will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. The respondent's disbarment will be effective as of September 2, 2026, the date of our immediate suspension order.

ORDER: The Board hereby disbars the respondent from the practice of law before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, effective September 2, 2026.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.

EOIR - 3 of 3