U.S. Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2012-0027

Date:

AUG 2 4 2018

In re: Clarence C. BURRIS, III, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Catherine M. O'Connell

Disciplinary Counsel

The respondent was indefinitely suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") on April 25, 2012. He has sought reinstatement to practice. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") does not oppose the respondent's motion for reinstatement. The respondent's motion for reinstatement will be granted.

The respondent presents evidence that, after being suspended by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, he is again authorized to practice law in that state. The respondent now meets the definition of attorney as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f), and has complied with the reinstatement requirements set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107. Further, the Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS does not oppose his reinstatement. We will therefore grant the respondent's motion for reinstatement and reinstate the respondent to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts and the DHS, effective immediately.

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, effective immediately upon the issuance of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: Because the respondent has been reinstated, public notices regarding the respondent's suspension should reflect this reinstatement.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before the Immigration Courts, the Board, or the DHS, he must file a Notice of Appearance (Form EOIR-28, Form EOIR-27, or Form G-28) even in cases in which he was counsel prior to his suspension.

FOR THE BOARD