Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2002-163 D2003-068 D2003-116<sup>1</sup> Date: SEP 1 7 2018

In re: Ramon R. PIZZINI-ARNOTT, Attorney

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues, Acting Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

The respondent was suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") for a period of 6 months, effective July 24, 2003. He has sought reinstatement to practice. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office of Immigration Review ("Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR") does not oppose the respondent's motion for reinstatement. The respondent's motion for reinstatement will be granted.

The respondent presents evidence that, after being suspended by the Supreme Court for the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico on June 13, 2002, he is again authorized to practice law in Puerto Rico. The respondent now meets the definition of attorney as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f), and has complied with the reinstatement requirements set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107. Further, the Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR does not oppose his reinstatement. We will therefore grant the respondent's motion for reinstatement and reinstate the respondent to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts and the DHS, effective immediately.

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, effective immediately upon the issuance of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: Because the respondent has been reinstated, public notices regarding the respondent's suspension should reflect this reinstatement.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before the Immigration Courts, the Board, or the DHS, he must file a Notice of Appearance (Form EOIR-28, Form EOIR-27, or Form G-28) even in cases in which he was counsel prior to his suspension.

letmas

FOR THE BOARD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This case concerns only one individual, but the government has three case numbers listed for him.