U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2015-0240

Date:

DEC 18 7018

In re: Margaret BLOT, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Catherine M. O'Connell

Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

The respondent was suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") for 91 days, on November 24, 2015. She has sought reinstatement to practice. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107. The Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS does not oppose the respondent's motion for reinstatement. The respondent's motion will be granted.

The respondent presents evidence that, after being suspended by the Supreme Court of Florida, she is again authorized to practice law in that state. The respondent now meets the definition of attorney as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f), and has complied with the reinstatement requirements set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.

Further, the Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS does not oppose her reinstatement. We will therefore grant the respondent's motion and reinstate her to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts and the DHS, effective immediately.

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, effective immediately upon the issuance of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: Because the respondent has been reinstated, public notices regarding the respondent's suspension should reflect this reinstatement.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before the Immigration Courts, the Board, or the DHS, she must file a Notice of Appearance (Form EOIR-28, Form EOIR-27, or Form G-28) even in cases in which she was counsel prior to her suspension.

FOR THE BOARD