

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

---

File: D2019-0112

Date: JUN 05 2019

In re: Sharron S.K. Williams GELOBTER, Attorney

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Catherine M. O'Connell, Disciplinary Counsel

The respondent will be suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) for 60 days.

On October 23, 2018, the Supreme Court of California issued an order suspending the respondent from the practice of law in that state for 1 year. The court stayed the period of suspension for all but 60 days, and the 60-day suspension became effective on November 22, 2018 (Petition for Immediate Suspension, Attachments 1-3).

On April 19, 2019, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Immigration Courts and the Board of Immigration Appeals. In the petition, the Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR noted that, while the respondent's period of suspension in California has expired, she remains suspended from the practice of law in that state (Petition for Immediate Suspension, Attachment 3). The Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. We granted the petition on May 6, 2019.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice of Intent to Discipline constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

The Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts for a period of 60 days. The Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS asks us to extend that discipline to practice before that agency as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).

The proposed sanction is appropriate in light of the respondent's suspension in California. We therefore will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS for 60 days. Further, as the respondent is currently under our May 6, 2019, order of suspension, we will deem her suspension to have commenced on that date.

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS for a period of 60 days. The suspension is deemed to have commenced on May 6, 2019.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of Immigration Appeals of any further disciplinary action against her.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.



---

FOR THE BOARD