

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2015-0250

Date: SEP 12 2019

In re: Regina Wanjiru NJOGU, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues
Disciplinary Counsel

The respondent, who has been indefinitely suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), effective December 1, 2015, has sought reinstatement to practice. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office of Immigration Review ("Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR") does not oppose the respondent's motion for reinstatement, which will be granted.

On October 27, 2015, the Court of Appeals of Maryland indefinitely suspended the respondent from the practice of law in Maryland. Consequently, on November 10, 2015, the Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board and the Immigration Courts. The Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. We granted the petition on December 1, 2015.

The respondent did not file a timely answer to the Notice of Intent to Discipline and did not dispute the allegations in the Notice. Given the respondent's suspension from the practice of law in Maryland, our December 28, 2015, final order of discipline indefinitely suspended the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS effective December 1, 2015.

The respondent seeks to be reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts and the DHS, and presents evidence that she is again authorized to practice law in Maryland, and has also been reinstated to practice in New York. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a)(1).

The Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR does not oppose the respondent's reinstatement. The Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR does not dispute that the respondent meets the definition of attorney at 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f). We therefore will grant the respondent's motion for reinstatement.

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS, as of the date of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: This reinstatement should be reflected in any public notices maintained and disseminated by EOIR regarding attorney discipline.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before the DHS, the Immigration Courts or the Board, she must file a Notice of Appearance (Form G-28, Form EOIR-28 or Form EOIR-27), even in cases in which she was counsel prior to her suspension.



FOR THE BOARD