

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2019-0290

Date: OCT 31 2019

In re: Garland Montgomery Jarrat SANDERSON, Attorney

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues
Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Catherine M. O'Connell
Disciplinary Counsel

The respondent will be disbarred from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals ("Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS").

On April 5, 2019, the Court of Appeals of Maryland disbarred the respondent from the practice of law in Maryland, effective immediately. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board and the Immigration Courts on September 10, 2019, and stated that the respondent remains disbarred from the practice of law in Maryland, as of the date of its filing.

The Disciplinary Counsel for the DHS asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. We granted the petition on October 10, 2019.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105. The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice of Intent to Discipline constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1).

The Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be disbarred from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.102(e) (attorney who is subject to a final order of disbarment is subject to discipline). The DHS Disciplinary Counsel asks the Board to extend that discipline to practice before that agency as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations generally direct the Board to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2).


The proposed sanction is appropriate, in light of the fact that on April 5, 2019, the Court of Appeals of Maryland disbarred the respondent from the practice of law in Maryland. We will deem the respondent's disbarment to have commenced on October 10, 2019, the date of the Board's immediate suspension order.

ORDER: The Board hereby disbars the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS. The disbarment is deemed to have commenced on October 10, 2019.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.


FOR THE BOARD