the ’012 patent from Avigilon Fortress Corporation to Motorola Solutions; and (3) the ’607 patent from Avigilon Patent Holding to Motorola Solutions. The motion also seeks to add a recent new licensee, Avigilon USA Corporation of Dallas, Texas, as an additional complainant.

On December 28, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7) granting Complainants’ unopposed motion for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. Order No. 7 (December 28, 2021). The subject ID finds that Complainants’ unopposed motion is supported by good cause pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)) and that there is no prejudice to any party if the motion is granted.

No party petitioned for review of the subject IDs.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID (Order No. 7). Complainant Avigilon USA Corporation is added as a complainant to this investigation.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on January 25, 2022.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 25, 2022.

Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022-01811 Filed 1-27-22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
[OMB Number 1125–NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection Comments Requested; Volunteer Waiver for Gratuitous Services (EOIR–62)

AGENCY: Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register on September 28, 2021, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until February 28, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

If you need a copy of the proposed information collection or additional information, please contact Lauren Alder Reid, Assistant Director, Office of Policy, Executive Office for Immigration Review, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500, Falls Church, VA 22041, telephone: (703) 305–0289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and/or
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. Type of Information Collection: New collection.
2. Title of the Form/Collection: Waiver for Volunteer Services.
3. Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: The form number is EOIR–62; the sponsoring component is Executive Office for Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.

4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: private individuals. Other: None. Abstract: This information collection is necessary to accept volunteer services pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1342 and 5 U.S.C. 3111.

5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 200 respondents will complete the form annually with an average of 5 minutes per response.

6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 16.7 hours. It is estimated that 200 respondents will take 6 minutes to complete the form.

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 2E:406B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 24, 2022.

Melody D. Braswell,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2022–01896 Filed 1–27–22; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amendment to a Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On January 24, 2022, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed amendment to the 1986 consent decree with the United States District Court for the District of Maine in the lawsuit entitled United States, et al. v. Inmont Corporation, et al., Civil Action No. 86–0029–B.

In that action, the United States sought, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq., injunctive relief and recovery of response costs regarding the Winthrop Landfill Superfund Site in Winthrop, Maine (the “Site”). The matter was originally resolved in 1986 when the United States entered into a Consent Decree with four potentially responsible parties regarding the Site (the ’1986 Consent Decree’). The 1986 Consent Decree required, among other things, that the settlers implement the remedial