## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

July 13, 2022

ROBERT PAUL HEATH, Complainant,	)
V.	)
TRINGAPPS, INC., Respondent.	)

8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding OCAHO Case No. 2021B00058

Appearances: Robert Heath, pro se Complainant Patrick Papalia, Esq., and Tanneika Minott, Esq., for Respondent

## ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR STATUS REPORT

This case arises under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1324b. Complainant, Robert Heath, filed a complaint with the Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer (OCAHO) on September 20, 2021. Complainant alleges that Respondent, Tringapps, Inc., discriminated against him based on his national origin and citizenship status, and engaged in unfair immigration-related documentary practices, in violation of § 1324b.

On January 28, 2022, Respondent filed an answer. On February 3, 2022, the Court issued an Order Discharging Order to Show Cause and for Prehearing Statements. On March 10, 2022, Complainant filed his prehearing statement. On April 8, 2022, Respondent filed its prehearing statement. On April 14, 2022, the Court received an electronic letter from Respondent's counsel. The letter represented that the parties jointly requested an extension to provide their availability for a prehearing conference.

On April 18, 2022, the Court issued an Order Issuing Stay of Proceedings. After disclosing Complainant's communication about a health emergency, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) determined a sua sponte sixty day stay of proceedings to be appropriate given the circumstances and the parties' joint request. *See Heath v. Tringapps, Inc.*, 15 OCAHO no. 1410b, 1–2 (2022)

(citations omitted).<sup>1</sup> The ALJ ordered the parties to provide a joint status report, to include agreed upon dates for a prehearing conference, within sixty days. *Id.* at 2. The ALJ permitted Respondent fourteen days "to provide any response it deem[ed] appropriate." *Id.* at 1 (citation omitted).

Pursuant to the April 18, 2022, Order, the parties were to provide a joint status report for OCAHO Case Number 2021B00058 by June 17, 2022. To date, the Court has not received the joint status report or further filings from the parties.

A complaint may be deemed abandoned and subject to dismissal if "[a] party or his or her representative fails to respond to orders issued by the Administrative Law Judge[.]" 28 C.F.R. § 68.37(b)(1); see also Ravines de Schur v. Easter Seals-Goodwill N. Rocky Mountain, Inc., 15 OCAHO no. 1388g, 3 (2022) (citations omitted) (collecting OCAHO cases where, inter alia, the complaint was deemed abandoned given a party's failure to respond to ALJ orders). Further, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55, a permissible guidance in OCAHO proceedings, see 28 C.F.R. § 68.1, instructs that a Court shall issue a default if a party against whom a judgment for relief is sought has failed to "otherwise defend." Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a); cf. § 68.9(c) (stating that a default decision may be entered against any party who fails to appear at a hearing without good cause). Moreover, failure to comply with Court orders frustrates sound case management. See United States v. El Dorado Furniture Mfg., Inc., 3 OCAHO no. 417, 208, 210 (1992).

Thus, the Court **ORDERS** the parties to file a status report within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this Order.<sup>2</sup> The status report shall be joint and address the Complainant's status and the parties' attempts to 'meet and confer' regarding the initial prehearing conference. If Complainant does not intend to move forward with this litigation, the joint status report shall include a statement to this effect. If the parties are unable to meet and confer, each party shall file a separate status report describing its efforts to meet and confer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Citations to OCAHO precedents reprinted in bound Volumes 1 through 8 reflect the volume number and the case number of the particular decision, followed by the specific page in that volume where the decision begins; the pinpoint citations which follow are thus to the pages, seriatim, of the specific entire volume. Pinpoint citations to OCAHO precedents subsequent to Volume 8, where the decision has not yet reprinted in a bound volume, are to pages within the original issuances; the beginning page number of an unbound case will always be 1, and is accordingly omitted from the citation. Published decisions may be accessed in the Westlaw database "FIM-OCAHO," or in the LexisNexis database "OCAHO," or on the website at http://www.justice.gov/eoir/OcahoMain/ocahosibpage.htm#PubDecOrders.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The status report shall comport with the OCAHO rules on service, found at § 68.6(a), and the OCAHO electronic filing pilot program instructions previously provided to the parties.

## 15 OCAHO no. 1410c

Failure to file a status report may result in a finding that Complainant abandoned his complaint or a default order against Respondent.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on July 13, 2022

Jean C. King Chief Administrative Law Judge