

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

April 19, 2023

ZAJI OBATALA ZAJRADHARA,	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324b Proceeding
	)	OCAHO Case No. 2022B00036
	)	
E-SUPPLY ENTERPRISES,	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

Appearances: Zaji Obatala Zajradhara, pro se Complainant  
Tiberius D. Mocanu, for Respondent

ORDER ON NOTICE OF PARTIES’ SETTLEMENT  
AND REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION

On March 15, 2023, the Court issued a stay of proceedings in the matter *Zajradhara v. E-Supply Enterprises* (OCAHO Case No. 2022B00036). *See Zajradhara v. E-Supply Enters.*, 16 OCAHO no. 1438b, 8–9 (2023).<sup>1</sup>

On April 12, 2023, the Court received Respondent’s “Notice of Parties’ Settlement and Request for Dismissal of Action.” Respondent states that the parties entered into a settlement agreement. NOS 1. “Based on the parties’ settlement, Respondent requests that this matter be dismissed

---

<sup>1</sup> Citations to OCAHO precedents reprinted in bound Volumes 1 through 8 reflect the volume number and the case number of the particular decision, followed by the specific page in that volume where the decision begins; the pinpoint citations which follow are thus to the pages, seriatim, of the specific entire volume. Pinpoint citations to OCAHO precedents subsequent to Volume 8, where the decision has not yet reprinted in a bound volume, are to pages within the original issuances; the beginning page number of an unbound case will always be 1, and is accordingly omitted from the citation. Published decisions may be accessed in the Westlaw database “FIM-OCAHO,” or in the LexisNexis database “OCAHO,” or on the website at <http://www.justice.gov/eoir/OcahoMain/ocahosibpage.htm#PubDecOrders>.

without prejudice.” *Id.* Complainant did not sign this pleading. Respondent also did not attach a copy of the settlement agreement.

OCAHO regulations require that the *parties* notify the Administrative Law Judge of a settlement agreement and that the *parties* agree to dismissal of the action. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2).<sup>2</sup> *See also Jackai v. Frito-Lay, Inc.*, 1 OCAHO no. 188, 1232, 1232–33 (1990) (recognizing that the court has “some discretion in approving a dismissal” pursuant to settlement). Without either a joint motion, or the signed settlement agreement, the filing does not demonstrate that Complainant consented to dismissal. Nor has Complainant filed a separate motion seeking dismissal.

Therefore, the motion is DENIED.

If the parties seek dismissal based on notice of settlement, they shall file a joint submission that comports with 28 C.F.R. § 68.14(a)(2), or attach a copy of the settlement agreement with Complainant’s signature.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered on April 19, 2023.

---

Honorable Jean C. King  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>2</sup> OCAHO Rules of Practice and Procedure, 28 C.F.R. pt. 68 (2023).