

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

U.S. Department of Justice  
Executive Office for Immigration Review  
Board of Immigration Appeals

---

MATTER OF:

Teodoro Torres LAGUATAN, D2022-0140

Respondent

---

**FILED**  
AUG 16 2023

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Toinette M. Mitchell, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS  
On Motion from a Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Malphrus, Deputy Chief Appellate Immigration Judge; Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge; Liebowitz, Appellate Immigration Judge<sup>1</sup>

Opinion by Liebowitz, Appellate Immigration Judge

LIEBOWITZ, Appellate Immigration Judge

In a decision dated October 21, 2022, we suspended the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) for a period of six months, effective August 29, 2022. The suspension was based on the respondent’s suspension from the practice of law in California. The respondent has now filed a motion for reinstatement, which will be granted.

We denied the respondent’s first motion for reinstatement because he did not comply with the terms of his suspension. The respondent, however, has completed the additional 30-day period of suspension we imposed. In addition, the Disciplinary Counsels for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and DHS do not dispute that the respondent meets the definition of attorney set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f). *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a)(1) (discussing requirements for reinstatement). The Disciplinary Counsels also do not oppose the respondent’s motion for reinstatement. We will, therefore, grant the respondent’s motion for reinstatement. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a)(3).

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, as of the date of this order.

---

<sup>1</sup> Temporary Appellate Immigration Judges sit pursuant to appointment by the Attorney General. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(a)(4)

FURTHER ORDER: This reinstatement should be reflected in any public notices maintained and disseminated by the Executive Office for Immigration Review regarding attorney discipline.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before the DHS, the Immigration Courts or the Board, he must file a Notice of Appearance (Form G-28, Form EOIR 26, or Form EOIR-27), even in cases in which he was counsel prior to his suspension.