U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: D2012-097

Date:

JUL 1 0 2012

In re: WILLIAM M. DICKERSON, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Rachel A. McCarthy, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Jennifer J. Barnes, Disciplinary Counsel

The respondent will be indefinitely suspended from practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS").

On May 21, 2012, the DHS initiated disciplinary proceedings against the respondent and petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the DHS. The DHS presented a "Certification" from the Attorney Registrar for the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. She states that the respondent was administratively suspended from the practice of law on December 18, 2010. The Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before EOIR, including the Board and Immigration Courts. Therefore, on May 30, 2012, we suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS pending final disposition of this proceeding.

The DHS alleges that between December 18, 2010, and April 30, 2012, the respondent filed 177 applications and petitions associated with Forms G-28, "Notice of Entry of Appearance of Attorney or Representative", with the DHS, on which he claimed to be eligible to appear as an attorney when he was not (Notice of Intent to Discipline, at \P 4, Exh. 1). A list of the applications and petitions is presented. *Id*.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2012); 8 C.F.R. § 292.3(e). See 77 Fed. Reg. 2011, 2014-15 (Jan. 13, 2012). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(2012); 8 C.F.R. § 292.3(e). See 77 Fed. Reg. 2011, 2014-15 (Jan. 13, 2020).

The Notice of Intent to Discipline proposes that the respondent be indefinitely suspended from practice before the DHS, and the Disciplinary Counsel for EOIR asks that we extend that discipline to practice before the Board and Immigration Courts as well. As the respondent failed to file a timely answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(2012); 8 C.F.R. § 292.3(e). See 77 Fed. Reg. 2011, 2014-15 (Jan. 13, 2012).

D2012-097

The proposed sanction is appropriate, considering the respondent's suspension from the practice of law in Pennsylvania. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.102(e)(1); 8 C.F.R. § 292.3(b); Notice of Intent to Discipline, at ¶ 5. The proposed sanction is also appropriate in light of the fact that the respondent made false statements about his qualifications and eligibility to practice. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.102(f); 8 C.F.R. § 292.3(b); Notice of Intent to Discipline, at ¶ 6. Therefore, we will honor the proposed sanction. Accordingly, we hereby indefinitely suspend the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS. As the respondent is currently under our May 30, 2012, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's indefinite suspension to have commenced on that date.

ORDER: The Board hereby indefinitely suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R.§ 1003.107(2012). See 77 Fed. Reg. 2011, 2015 (Jan. 13, 2012).

FURTHER ORDER: As the Board earlier imposed an immediate suspension order in this case, today's order of the Board becomes effective immediately. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2)(2012). See 77 Fed. Reg. 2011, 2015 (Jan. 13, 2012).

FOR THE BOARD