U.S. Department of Justice

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File:	D2006-	085	Date:	September 13	, 2006
In re:	MARG	OT S. <u>JONES</u> , ATTORNEY			
IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS					
FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE					
ON BEHALF OF GENERAL COUNSEL: Jennifer J. Barnes, Esquire					
ON BEHALF OF DHS: Eileen M. Connolly, Appellate Counsel					
ORDE	R:				

PER CURIAM. On January 5, 2006, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania suspended the respondent from the practice of law for 2 years.

Consequently, on June 7, 2006, the Office of General Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. On June 9, 2006, the Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS," formerly the Immigration and Naturalization Service) asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. Therefore, on June 27, 2006, we suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS pending final disposition of this proceeding.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(1), (2).

The Notice recommends that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts, for a period of 2 years. The DHS asks that we extend that discipline to practice before it as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the recommendation contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that recommendation. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2). Since the recommendation is appropriate in light of the sanctions imposed in Pennsylvania, we will honor that recommendation. Accordingly, we hereby suspend the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS for a period of 2 years. As the respondent is currently under our June 27, 2006, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date. The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against her.

D2006-085

After the suspension period expires, the respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS. See 8 C.F.R.§ 1003.107(a). In order to be reinstated, the respondent must demonstrate that she meets the definition of an attorney or representative, as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f) and (j). *Id.* Therefore, the respondent must show that she has been reinstated to practice law in Pennsylvania before she may be reinstated by the Board. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f) (stating that term "attorney" does not include any individual under order suspending her from the practice of law).

THE BOARD FO