FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

In the Matter of the Claim of }

5 U.S.C. §552(b)(6)

Claim No. IRQ-II-283

Decision No. IRQ-II-210

Against the Republic of Iraq

Counsel for Claimant:

Daniel Wolf, Esq. Law Office of Daniel Wolf

PROPOSED DECISION

Claimant brings this claim against the Republic of Iraq ("Iraq") alleging that Iraq held him hostage in violation of international law from August through December 1990. Because he has established that Iraq held him hostage for 123 days, he is entitled to an award of \$765,000.

BACKGROUND AND BASIS OF THE PRESENT CLAIM

Claimant alleges that he was a U.S. citizen working in Iraq when Iraq invaded Kuwait on August 2, 1990. He asserts that, beginning with the invasion and for approximately 17 weeks thereafter, he was held at his worksite, a sulfur purification plant in Mishraq, Iraq, and a hotel in Baghdad. Claimant alleges that, during this time, he was "prohibited from leaving Iraqi controlled territory and otherwise detained as a hostage in Iraq by the Iraqi regime in violation of international law" Claimant asserts that he

flew out of Baghdad on December 2, 1990, after Muhammad Ali, a former American professional boxer and activist, negotiated his release with Iraqi officials.

Although Claimant was not among them, many of the U.S. nationals in Iraq and Kuwait at the time of the 1990-91 Iraqi occupation of Kuwait sued Iraq (and others) in federal court for, among other things, hostage-taking.¹ Those cases were pending when, in September 2010, the United States and Iraq concluded an *en bloc* (lump-sum) settlement agreement.² The Agreement, which entered into force in May 2011, covered a number of personal injury claims of U.S. nationals arising from acts of the former Iraqi regime occurring prior to October 7, 2004, including claims of personal injury caused by hostage-taking.³ Exercising its authority to distribute money from the settlement funds, the U.S. Department of State provided compensation to numerous individuals whose claims were covered by the Agreement, including some whom Iraq had allegedly taken hostage or unlawfully detained following Iraq's 1990 invasion of Kuwait.

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949 ("ICSA"), the Secretary of State has statutory authority to refer "a category of claims against a foreign government" to this Commission.⁴ The Secretary has delegated that authority to the State Department's Legal Adviser, who, by letter dated October 7, 2014, referred three categories of claims to this Commission for adjudication and certification.⁵ This was the State Department's second referral of claims to the Commission under the Claims

_

¹ See, e.g., Hill v. Republic of Iraq, 175 F. Supp. 2d 36 (D.D.C. 2001); Vine v. Republic of Iraq, 459 F. Supp. 2d 10 (D.D.C. 2006).

² See Claims Settlement Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Iraq, Sept. 2, 2010, T.I.A.S. No. 11-522 ("Claims Settlement Agreement") or "Agreement").

³ See id. Art. III(1)(a)(ii).

⁴ See 22 U.S.C. § 1623(a)(1)(C) (2012).

⁵ See Letter dated October 7, 2014, from the Honorable Mary E. McLeod, Acting Legal Adviser, Department of State, to the Honorable Anuj C. Desai and Sylvia M. Becker, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission ("2014 Referral" or "October 2014 Referral").

Settlement Agreement, the first having been by letter dated November 14, 2012 ("2012 Referral" or "November 2012 Referral").

One category of claims from the 2014 Referral is applicable here. That category, known as Category A, consists of

claims by U.S. nationals for hostage-taking¹ by Iraq² in violation of international law prior to October 7, 2004, provided that the claimant was not a plaintiff in pending litigation against Iraq for hostage taking³ at the time of the entry into force of the Claims Settlement Agreement and has not received compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the U.S. Department of State. . . .

_

2014 Referral at \P 3.

On October 23, 2014, the Commission published notice in the *Federal Register* announcing the commencement of the second Iraq Claims Program pursuant to the ICSA and the 2014 Referral.⁷

¹ For purposes of this referral, hostage-taking would include unlawful detention by Iraq that resulted in an inability to leave Iraq or Kuwait after Iraq invaded Kuwait on August 2, 1990.

² For purposes of this referral, "Iraq" shall mean the Republic of Iraq, the Government of the Republic of Iraq, any agency or instrumentality of the Republic of Iraq, and any official, employee or agent of the Republic of Iraq acting within the scope of his or her office, employment or agency.

³ For purposes of this category, pending litigation against Iraq for hostage taking refers to the following matters: *Acree v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 02-cv-00632 and 06-cv-00723, *Hill v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 99-cv-03346, *Vine v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 01-cv-02674; *Seyam (Islamic Society of Wichita) v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 03-cv-00888; *Simon v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 03-cv-00691.

⁶ Although the November 2012 Referral involved claims of U.S. nationals who were held hostage or unlawfully detained by Iraq, it did not involve hostage-taking claims *per se*. Rather, it consisted of certain claimants who had *already received* compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the State Department for their hostage-taking claims, and it authorized the Commission to award additional compensation to those claimants, provided they could show, among other things, that they suffered a "serious personal injury" during their detention. The 2012 Referral expressly noted that the "payment already received by the claimant under the Claims Settlement Agreement compensated the claimant for his or her experience for the entire duration of the period in which the claimant was held hostage or was subject to unlawful detention and encompassed physical, mental, and emotional injuries generally associated with such captivity or detention." 2012 Referral, *supra*, n.3.

⁷ Program for Adjudication: Commencement of Claims Program, 79 Fed. Reg. 63,439 (Oct. 23, 2014).

On October 23, 2015, the Commission received from Claimant a completed Statement of Claim seeking compensation under Category A of the 2014 Referral, together with exhibits supporting the elements of his claim.

DISCUSSION

Jurisdiction

This Commission's authority to hear claims is limited to the category of claims referred to it by the United States Department of State.⁸ The Commission's jurisdiction under the "Category A" paragraph of the 2014 Referral is limited to claims for hostagetaking of (1) "U.S. nationals," provided that the claimant (2) was not a plaintiff in any litigation against Iraq for hostage taking pending on May 22, 2011 (the "Pending Litigation"), and (3) has not received compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the Department of State. 2014 Referral ¶ 3.

Nationality

This claims program is limited to claims of "U.S. nationals." Here, that means a claimant must have been a national of the United States when the claim arose and continuously thereafter until May 22, 2011, the date the Agreement entered into force.⁹ Claimant satisfies the nationality requirement. He has provided a copy of his cancelled U.S. passport, which shows that he was a U.S. national at the time of the alleged hostagetaking (August through December 1990), as well as a copy of his Lousiana voter information card issued in August 2014. This evidence establishes that he was a U.S. national at the time of the alleged hostage-taking and that he remained a U.S. national through the effective date of the Claims Settlement Agreement.

See 22 U.S.C. § 1623(a)(1)(C).
 See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 4-5 (2016).

No Pending Litigation

Additionally, Category A states that the claimant may not have been a plaintiff in any of the so-called Pending Litigation cases at the time of the entry into force of the Claims Settlement Agreement. Footnote 3 of the 2014 Referral specifically lists the Pending Litigation cases for purposes of the Referral. Claimant has averred under oath in a 2015 declaration, and the pleadings in the cases cited in footnote 3 confirm, that he was not a plaintiff in any of those Pending Litigation cases. The Commission thus finds that Claimant has also satisfied this element of his claim.

No Compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the Department of State

The Claimant also satisfies the final jurisdictional requirement. Claimant has stated that he has never "received any compensation under the [U.S.-Iraq] Claims Settlement Agreement from the Department of State." Further, we have no evidence that the State Department has provided him any compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement. Therefore, Claimant meets this element of his claim.

In summary, this claim is within the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to the 2014 Referral and is entitled to adjudication on the merits.

<u>Merits</u>

Factual Allegations

Claimant states that Iraq held him hostage from August 2, 1990, until December 2, 1990, a total of 123 days. He alleges that he was living and working at a compound at the site of a sulfur plant construction project about 40 kilometers from Mosul, Iraq, when Iraq invaded Kuwait on August 2, 1990. Claimant alleges that, on that day, "Iraqi

¹⁰ The Agreement entered into force on May 22, 2011. See Claims Settlement Agreement, art. IX.

soldiers arrived at the site and ordered [them] not to leave the compound." He states that, "[f]or the next four months, [they] were detained as 'human shields' at the compound – prohibited from leaving by armed Iraqi guards, who remained with [them] at all times and monitored [their] every movement." Throughout this time, Claimant "suffered from stress and anxiety regarding the uncertainty of [his] fate."

According to Claimant, "[o]n or about November 30," the Iraqi guards "instructed [him] and [his] fellow American hostages to gather [their] things[,]" and they were driven to Baghdad and placed in a local hotel. Claimant states that he was held in a room with another detainee while "[a]rmed Iraqi security personnel . . . patrolled the halls and restricted [his] movement to a few floors of the hotel." Shortly after his arrival, however, he was informed that Muhammad Ali "had traveled to Iraq on a humanitarian mission and had managed to negotiate the release of 15 American hostages, of whom [he] was one." Claimant states that "[t]wo days later, in the early morning hours of December 2, [h]e was allowed to board an evacuation flight bound for Amman, Jordan with Mr. Ali, his entourage and the other hostages whose release he had secured."

Supporting Evidence

Claimant has supported his claim with, among other things, his own sworn declaration, dated December 22, 2015, in which he describes his ordeal in Iraq; a news article, published the day of his release, discussing Muhammad Ali's rescue mission to Iraq, and mentioning Claimant by name; and a copy of his U.S. passport valid at the time of the Iraqi invasion, which contains, *inter alia*, an Iraqi entry visa dated July 20, 1990, an Iraqi entrance stamp dated August 1, 1990, an Iraqi exit visa dated December 1, 1990, and an Iraqi exit stamp dated December 2, 1990.

Additionally, Claimant has submitted a number of documents that provide background about the broader geopolitical situation during the First Gulf War in 1990-91, including some that relate specifically to the circumstances faced by U.S. nationals in Iraq and Kuwait at the time. These documents include statements from U.S. and Iraqi officials, resolutions of the United Nations Security Council, newspaper articles, a report from Amnesty International on human rights violations committed by Iraq in 1990, unclassified cables and a memorandum from the U.S. Department of State, and affidavits submitted in two lawsuits brought by other U.S. nationals who were also in Kuwait or Iraq during the First Gulf War.

The Commission further takes notice of other publicly available news reports published by the *Associated Press* and the *New York Times* that discuss Mr. Ali's efforts to obtain the release of U.S. nationals who were detained in Iraq. For example, a November 27, 1990 *Associated Press* report states that, on that same day, "[Iraqi President Saddam] Hussein said . . . after meeting with boxing great Muhammed Ali that a number of Americans held in Iraq would soon be allowed to leave the country." The *New York Times* reported on November 28, 1990, that on the previous day, Hussein stated in a television broadcast that "that he would allow Mr. Ali to return to the United States with 'a number of the American guests accompanying him."

Legal Standard

To make out a substantive claim under Category A of the 2014 Referral, a claimant must show that (1) Iraq was engaged in an armed conflict and (2) during that conflict, Iraq took the claimant hostage.¹¹ The Commission has previously held that, to establish a hostage-taking claim, a claimant must show that Iraq (a) seized or detained the

¹¹ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 16. An estate claimant would of course need to make this showing as to its decedent.

claimant and (b) threatened the claimant with death, injury or continued detention (c) in order to compel a third party, such as the United States government, to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for the claimant's release. A claimant can establish the first element of this standard by showing that the Iraqi government confined the claimant to a particular location or locations within Iraq or Kuwait, or prohibited the claimant from leaving Iraq and/or Kuwait. 13

Application of Standard to this Claim

- (1) Armed Conflict: Claimant alleges that Iraq took him hostage in Kuwait on August 2, 1990, and held him hostage for 123 days, until December 2, 1990, when he was flown out of Iraq. In its first decision awarding compensation for hostage-taking under the 2014 Referral, the Commission held that during this entire period, Iraq was engaged in an armed conflict with Kuwait.¹⁴ Thus, Claimant satisfies this element of the standard.
- (2) <u>Hostage-taking</u>: To satisfy the hostage-taking requirement of Category A of the 2014 Referral, Claimant must show that Iraq (a) seized or detained him and (b) threatened him with death, injury or continued detention (c) in order to compel a third party, such as the United States government, to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for his release. Claimant satisfies this standard for the 123-day period from August 2, 1990, to December 2, 1990.
- (a) <u>Detention/deprivation of freedom</u>: For purposes of analyzing Claimant's allegations of having been detained, his time in Kuwait and Iraq following the Iraqi invasion can be divided into three periods: (i) between the Iraqi invasion on August

14 See id. at 16-17.

¹² See id. at 17-20.

¹³ See id. at 17.

2, 1990 and the Iraqi government's formal closing of the borders on August 9, 1990; (ii) from that August 9th formal closing of the borders until Iraq authorized Claimant's release on or about November 27, 1990 (the date of the Ali-Hussein meeting); and (iii) from the date Iraq authorized Claimant to leave on or about November 27, 1990 until Claimant's departure on December 2, 1990.¹⁵

From August 2, 1990, until Iraq formally closed its borders to foreign nationals on August 9, 1990, Iraq confined Claimant to his work site in Mishraq. The Commission has previously determined that Iraq detained U.S. nationals who were in Kuwait and/or Iraq during this period by threatening them with immediate seizure and/or forcible detention. Although some foreign nationals did manage to leave Kuwait and/or Iraq during this period, Claimant could not reasonably be expected to have escaped. Claimant understandably had, as the United Nations Compensation Commission has put it, a "manifestly well-founded fear" of being killed or forcibly detained if he attempted to leave the country. For the purposes of the legal standard applicable here, putting Claimant in this situation in effect amounts to detention. Iraq thus detained Claimant from August 2, 1990, to August 9, 1990.

From August 9, 1990, until Iraq authorized Claimant's release on or about November 27, 1990, the Iraqi government confined Claimant to Iraq, preventing him from leaving the country by the threat of force. As the Commission has previously held,

¹⁵ See id. at 20-21. While Claimant alleges that he was physically seized and held by force by Iraq during these periods, we need not decide that issue: as explained below, his presence in Kuwait and/or Iraq during this time is alone sufficient to establish that he was detained under the standard that applies here.

¹⁶ See Claim No. IRQ-II-281, Decision No. IRQ-II- 139, at 9-10; Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 21.

¹⁷ See Claim No. IRQ-II-281, Decision No. IRQ-II- 139, at 9-10; Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 21.

¹⁸ Report and Recommendations Made by the Panel of Commissioners Concerning the First Instalment of Individual Claims for Damages up to US \$100,000 (Category "C" Claims), UN Doc. S/AC.26/1994/3 (1994), at 93.

¹⁹ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 21.

starting on August 9, 1990, the Iraqi government formally closed all borders under its control, forcibly prohibiting U.S. nationals from leaving.²⁰ As of that date, Iraq prohibited Claimant from leaving the country, effectively detaining him within the borders of Kuwait and Iraq.²¹ For Claimant, this formal policy of prohibiting U.S. nationals from leaving Iraq lasted until around November 27, 1990, when the Iraqi government purportedly authorized his release. Because Iraq's previous releases of various categories of foreign nationals did not apply to Claimant,²² this release date was the earliest that he could have legally left Iraq.

Although Iraq may have authorized Claimant to leave the country on or about November 27, 1990, his detention did not end on that date. As the Commission has previously recognized, a claimant's detention ends only on the date that he is released from the control of the person or entity that detained him.²³ Any attempt "[by the perpetrator] to restrict [the] movements" of a claimant establishes control,²⁴ whereas a claimant who has a reasonable opportunity to leave the site of his or her captivity is deemed no longer to be under the perpetrator's control.²⁵

Under this standard, Claimant remained under Iraq's control until December 2, 1990. The Commission has previously held that Iraq imposed restrictions on air travel during this period, restrictions that prevented those hostages whose release Mr. Ali secured from leaving Iraq until December 2, 1990.²⁶ Indeed, the available evidence indicates that Claimant left Iraq at the first reasonable opportunity, on a December 2,

_

²⁰ See id. at 7, 21-22.

²¹ See id. at 22.

²² See id. at 11-12, 22 (discussing Iraq's August 28, 1990 release of U.S. nationals who were women or minors)

²³ See id. at 22; see also Claim No. LIB-II-183, Decision No. LIB-II-178 (Proposed Decision), at 13 (2012).

²⁴ Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 22 (citing Claim No. LIB-II-183, Decision No. LIB-II-178 (Proposed Decision), at 12 (2012)).

²⁵ See id.

²⁶ See Claim No. IRQ-II-267, Decision No. IRQ-II-145, at 10.

1990 evacuation flight with Mr. Ali. Because there is no evidence that Claimant remained voluntarily in Iraq at any time during this period, we conclude that he was under Iraq's control and thus detained from the date that Iraq may have authorized his release (on or about November 27, 1990) until December 2, 1990.

In sum, Iraq detained Claimant from August 2, 1990, until December 2, 1990.

(b) Threat: In its first decision awarding compensation for hostage-taking under the 2014 Referral, the Commission determined that the Iraqi government threatened U.S. nationals in Kuwait and Iraq numerous times with continued detention.²⁷ Both Iraqi President Saddam Hussein and the Speaker of Iraq's National Assembly Saadi Mahdi made clear that American nationals (as well as those from numerous other countries) would not be permitted to leave.²⁸ Claimant has thus established that Iraq threatened to continue to detain him.²⁹

(c) Third party coercion: The Commission has previously held that Iraq detained all U.S. nationals in Kuwait or Iraq at the time and threatened them with continued detention in order to compel the United States government to act in certain ways as an explicit and/or implicit condition for their release. Iraq itself stated that it sought three things from the United States government before it would release the detained U.S. nationals; it wanted the United States (i) not to attack Iraq, (ii) to withdraw its troops from Saudi Arabia; and/or (iii) to end the economic embargo imposed on

²⁹ While we determine that these statements apply to Claimant and other similarly situated U.S. nationals who were prevented from leaving Iraq or Kuwait after the invasion, we do not make any findings as to whether they also apply to U.S. nationals with diplomatic status: Iraqi officials made specific representations about the ability of diplomatic and consular staff members with U.S. nationality (and their relatives) to leave Iraq and Kuwait throughout the crisis. *See In Iraq: 'We Have A Problem' Iraq Holds Fleeing U.S. Diplomats Staff from Kuwait Reaches Baghdad, But Can't Leave*, PHILA. INQUIRER, Aug. 24, 1990, https://perma.cc/B2YF-79AY.

²⁷ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 23.

²⁸ See id.

³⁰ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 23.

Iraq.³¹ Indeed, at the time, the U.S. government itself understood Iraq's actions to be hostage-taking.³²

In sum, this claim meets the standard for hostage-taking within the meaning of the 2014 Referral. Iraq held Claimant hostage in violation of international law for a period of 123 days, and Claimant is thus entitled to compensation.

COMPENSATION

Having concluded that the present claim is compensable, the Commission must next determine the appropriate amount of compensation.

In its first decision awarding compensation for hostage-taking under the 2014 Referral, the Commission held that successful claimants should be awarded compensation in the amount of \$150,000 plus an additional \$5,000 for each day the claimant was in captivity.³³ Therefore, for the 123 days Iraq held Claimant hostage, he is entitled to an award of \$765,000, which is \$150,000 plus (123 x \$5,000). This amount constitutes the entirety of the compensation to which Claimant is entitled under the Claims Settlement Agreement.

The Commission hereby enters the following award, which will be certified to the Secretary of the Treasury for payment under sections 7 and 8 of the ICSA.³⁴

AWARD

³¹ See id. at 23-24.

³² See George H. W. Bush, "These Innocent People . . . Are, In Fact, Hostages" in U.S. Dep't of State, American Foreign Policy Current Documents 1990 484 (Sherrill Brown Wells ed. 1991); see also 2014 Referral at ¶ 3; cf. S.C. Res. 674 (Oct. 29, 1990) ("actions by ... Iraq authorities and occupying forces to take third-State nationals hostage" and demanded that Iraq "cease and desist" this practice).

³³ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 24-26.

³⁴ 22 U.S.C. §§ 1626-1627 (2012).

Claimant is entitled to an award in the amount of \$765,000.

Dated at Washington, DC, December 14, 2017 and entered as the Proposed Decision

of the Commission.

This decision was entered as the Commission's Final Decision on

January 19, 2018

Anuj C. Desai, Commissioner

Sylvia M. Becker, Commissioner

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, any objections must be filed within 15 days of delivery of this Proposed Decision. Absent objection, this decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after delivery, unless the Commission otherwise orders. FCSC Regulations, 45 C.F.R. § 509.5 (e), (g) (2017).