

JUN 22 3 43 PM '77
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
CLEVELAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	CIVIL NO. C 68-467
Plaintiff,)	
)	JUDGE ROBERT B. KRUPANSKY
-v-)	
)	
WORK WEAR CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

STIPULATION AND ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the United States and Work Wear Corporation, through their respective attorneys, as follows:

1. The United States, under Section IV(B) of the Final Judgment, raises no objection to the plan of divestiture set forth in the letters from defendant's attorneys to United States, dated December 22 and 29, 1976, January 13 and 28, 1977, February 9, 1977 and June 9, 1977, supplemented by this Stipulation and Order and the Order described in Paragraph 5 hereof. Such plan contemplates the transfer of defendant's United States rental service business to ARA Services, Inc. ("ARA"), through the following steps: (a) the spin-off to common shareholders of Work Wear Corporation ("Work Wear") of all the common stock of Work Wear Distribution Corp. ("New Work Wear"), a wholly owned Ohio subsidiary of Work Wear, to which Work Wear will have transferred its name and its domestic and foreign manufacturing operations and Canadian rental service business and (b) the acquisition by ARA of Work Wear's United States industrial laundry operations by means of the merger of Work Wear into ARA. At the time of such merger, Work Wear's only asset will be the stock of its United States industrial laundry subsidiary, Imatex Services, Inc. ("Imatex"), which, upon the merger, will become a subsidiary of ARA.

2. Upon consummation of said merger of Work Wear with and into ARA, New Work Wear will remain subject, for a period expiring September 27, 1981, to the injunction against acquisition of industrial laundries pursuant to the provisions of Section V(B) of the Final Judgment, but shall not be subject to any of the provisions set forth in Section V(A) (1) and (2) of the Final Judgment.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by the United States of America and ARA Services, Inc., by their respective attorneys, that:


3. ARA voluntarily submits to the jurisdiction of the Court solely for the purpose of permitting the entry of the Order attached hereto.

4. Neither ARA nor Imatex shall be subject to any provision set forth in Section V(A) or (B) of the Final Judgment, or to any of the other terms of the Final Judgment.

5. An Order in the form of the one attached hereto may be filed with and entered by the Court.

Dated: JUNE 17, 1977

FOR PLAINTIFF, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



Attorney, Department of Justice

OF COUNSEL:

SWARTZ, STARK, AMRON
& HABERMAN


HAHN, LOESER, FREEDHEIM,
DEAN & WELLMAN

KAHN, KLEINMAN, YANOWITZ
& ARNSON


OF COUNSEL:

WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING


SO ORDERED:


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE


FOR DEFENDANT, WORK WEAR CORPORATION



John D. Swartz
Swartz, Stark, Amron & Haberman
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 765-6930



Albert I. Borowitz




Harry C. Nester
Hahn, Loeser, Freedheim, Dean & Wellman
800 National City-East 6th Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 621-0150



Bennet Kleinman
Kahn, Kleinman, Yanowitz & Arnson
1300 Bond Court Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 696-3311

FOR ARA SERVICES, INC:



Arnold M. Lerman
Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering
1666 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20006
(202) 872-6000

FILED

JUN 22 3 43 PM '77

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
CLEVELAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. C 68-467
)	
WORK WEAR CORPORATION,)	JUDGE ROBERT B. KRUPANSKY
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. ARA Services, Inc. ("ARA") is made a party to this action for the sole purpose of permitting the entry of this Order. ARA has no obligations pursuant to the original judgment.
2. Following the transfer of the domestic industrial laundry business from Work Wear Corporation, for each calendar year commencing January 1, 1978, ARA shall not purchase from Work Wear Distribution Corp. ("New Work Wear") for any industrial laundry listed on Schedule A, work clothes in a dollar amount greater than 15% of the total dollar amount of work clothes purchased for such industrial laundry in the preceding calendar year. In addition, ARA shall purchase from sources other than New Work Wear for industrial laundries not listed on work clothes in an amount which exceeds:
 - (i) For each calendar year commencing on or after January 1, 1980, the aggregate dollar amount of work clothes purchased

from New Work Wear for Schedule A
laundries in the preceding calendar
year.

(ii) For the calendar year 1979, two-thirds
of the aggregate dollar amount of work
clothes purchased from New Work Wear for
Schedule A laundries in the calendar year
1978.

(iii) For the calendar year 1978, \$250,000.

3. Upon a finding by the Court that ARA's work clothes
purchases do not conform to the provisions of Paragraph 2 above,
ARA shall separate Joseph and Ira Kirshbaum from all work
clothes purchase decisions or terminate their employment. In
addition, the Court may order such other and further relief as
may be appropriate for the enforcement of this Order.

4. ARA shall not transfer or refer any business from
the industrial laundries listed in Schedule A to other industrial
laundries operated by ARA for the purpose of avoiding or circum-
venting the provisions of Paragraph 2 above.

5. This Order and any further order hereunder shall
expire whenever Joseph and Ira Kirshbaum each cease either (a) to
hold more than 2% of the stock of New Work Wear or any successor
thereof or (b) to be employed by ARA.

6. For the purposes of this Order, the term "work
clothes" shall have the same meaning as in the Final Judgment.

7. ARA shall submit a certified statement to the
Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division
every six (6) months showing what ARA has done in order to comply
with paragraphs 2 and 4 above and showing ARA's purchases of
work clothes from New Work Wear and other sources for each

laundry listed in Schedule A and affirming that the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 4 above have been complied with. Such statements shall be submitted by January 1, 1978 and every six (6) months thereafter. If ARA certifies to the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division that neither Joseph nor Ira Kirshbaum will thereafter, while holding more than 2% of the stock of New Work Wear, serve in any capacity in which he may influence ARA purchasing decisions for work clothes, the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 4 hereof shall be suspended and ARA shall thereafter be bound by the certification.

8. A. For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Order and subject to any legally recognized privilege, from time to time:

(1) Duly authorized representatives of the Department of Justice shall, upon written request of the Attorney General or of the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to ARA made to its principal office, be permitted:

(a) Access during office hours of ARA to inspect and copy all books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda, and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of ARA, who may have counsel present, relating to any of the matters contained in this Order; and

(b) Subject to the reasonable convenience of ARA and without restraint or interference from it, to interview officers, employees, and agents of ARA, who may have counsel present, regarding any such matters.